



State of Arizona
BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

1110 W. Washington Street, Suite 240, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, (602) 364-4930 Fax (602) 364-4931 • www.btr.az.gov

MINUTES

Arizona State Board of Technical Registration
LEGISLATION AND RULES COMMITTEE
1110 W. Washington Street, Suite 240
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
Thursday, December 11, 2014 – 9:00 a.m.

1. CALL TO ORDER – 9:01AM

2. ROLL CALL – Members in attendance: LeRoy Brady, Douglas Folk, William Greenslade, Edward Marley, and Ronald Starling. Absent: Stuart Lane. Staff: Melissa Cornelius, Michelle Johnson, Douglas Parlin, and Patrice Pritzl.

3. CALL TO THE PUBLIC – No one addressed the Committee.

4. ADOPTION OF MINUTES

Review, Consideration and Possible Action of the following:

A. Approve, modify and/or reject August 7, 2014 Committee minutes.
Mr. Marley Moved to approve the August 7, 2014 Committee Minutes. Mr. Brady seconded the motion. No further discussion, motion carried.

5. REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING:

A. Correspondence to the Board:

1. September 12, 2014 Letter from Elliott Berg, P.E., Tricord Engineering, LLC. regarding Substantive Policy Statement #3 as it relates to the fire protection industry.

The Committee reviewed Substantive Policy Statement #3 and suggested an edit to the policy's first sentence. The Committee also directed Ms. Cornelius to draft a letter to Mr. Berg regarding his request.

2. September 16, 2014 Letter from John Echeverri, EJ Engineering Group, Inc., regarding municipalities hiring unethical flow test firms.

Mr. Echeverri addressed the Committee explaining the concern in his correspondence relating to unqualified flow test businesses. The Committee reviewed the matter and decided to reach out to the fire protection engineering community to get their feedback on whether flow testing constitutes practice of engineering.

B. Whether to Create a Criminal Conviction Aggravation/Mitigation Substantive Policy Statement.

The Committee recommended that instead of creating a Substantive Policy Statement, applications should include a notice informing people that a criminal background will be conducted.

- C. Whether to Shorten the required 96 months of Experience Required for Architect Registration, in light of NCARB's proposed changes to IDP and its plan to offer accelerated access to the ARE.

The Committee reviewed whether to shorten the required 96 months of experience for architect registration. The consensus of the Committee was to support the change and to consider modifying the experience criteria in statute and rule for all the professions the Board regulates.

- D. Whether the Board should require all Applicants for Registration in Arizona to pass a State Specific "Jurisprudence" Examination.

The Committee reviewed whether the Board should require all applicants for registration in Arizona to pass a state specific "Jurisprudence" examination. The Committee suggested that an open book exam on the Board rules and regulations would be beneficial and directed staff to inquire about implementing this test when the new computer system is available.

- E. Does a professional registrant need to seal or stamp as-built plans if a contractor has made modifications?

- F. Does a professional registrant need to seal or stamp record plans if a contractor has made modifications?


The Committee discussed items E and F together and reviewed A.R.S. §32-152.

6. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

- Continue discussion on whether to shorten the requirements for registrants experience
- Continue discussion on the "Jurisprudence" Examination

7. FUTURE MEETINGS – March 19, 2015 at 9:30 AM

8. ADJOURNMENT – 11:46 AM



Edward T. Marley, Chairman



Melissa Cornelius, Executive Director