## MINUTES OF THE STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

#### SEPTEMBER 9, 1977

The meeting of the State Board of Technical Registration was called to order by Dr. R. A. Jimenez, Chairman, in Room 113, 1645 W. Jefferson, Phoenix, Arizona, Friday, September 9, 1977, at 9:05 A.M.

R. A. Jimenez, Chairman Sam Caruso, Vice-Chairman F. Mark Edson, Secretary

Wayne O. Earley Rod J. Gomez

Kemper Goodwin
Charles E. O'Bannon
Patrick H. Porcarello

John B. Trimble

Michael W. Sillyman, Asst. Atty. Gen.

It was moved by Mr. Porcarello and seconded by Mr. Edson that the minutes of the regular meeting of the Board on June 17, 1977, be approved as presented. Motion carried.

It was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. O'Bannon that the minutes of the special meeting of the Board on July 15, 1977, be approved as presented. Motion carried.

#### REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

No report.

#### REPORT OF THE RULES & BY-LAWS COMMITTEE

The public hearing for the proposed adoption of Rule R4-30-04, Rehearing or Review of Decision, was held at 1:30 P.M. There were no persons present to present statements, arguments or contentions or no correspondence received regarding this proposed change in the regulations.

It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Trimble that R4-30-04, Rehearing or Review of Decision, as shown on Minute Pages 3883 and 3884, be adopted subject to certification by the Attorney General. Motion carried.

#### REPORT OF THE LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

No report.

#### REPORT OF THE EVALUATION & EXAMINATION COMMITTEES

Letters from Francisco W. Kessler and R. Michael Fancher, architectural applicants were presented to the Board. Both applicants had requested waiver of the architectural design and site planning examination. Mr. Edson and Mr. Goodwin explained to the Board these gentlemen had passed the Professional Examination only in their basic state of residence and the committee had held them for the

The Rules and By-Laws Committee proposes the addition of the following rule to Article 1, General Provisions, for adoption by the Board:

## R4-30-04. Rehearing or Review of Decision

- A. Except as provided in subsection G, any party in a contested case before the State Board of Technical Registration who is aggrieved by a decision rendered in such case may file with the Board, not later than ten (10) days after service of the decision, a written motion for rehearing or review of the decision specifying the particular grounds therefor. For purposes of this subsection a decision shall be deemed to have been served when personally delivered or mailed by certified mail to the party at his last known residence or place of business.
- B. A motion for rehearing under this rule may be amended at any time before it is ruled upon by the Board. A response may be filed within ten (10) days after service of such motion or amended motion by any other party. The Board may require the filing of written briefs upon the issues raised in the motion and may provide for oral argument.
- C. A rehearing or reveiw of the decision may be granted for any of the following causes materially affecting the moving party's rights:
- 1. Irregularity in the administrative proceedings of the agency or its hearing officer or the prevailing party, or any order or abuse of discretion, whereby the moving party was deprived of a fair hearing;
- Misconduct of the Board or its hearing officer or the prevailing party;
- Accident or surprise which could not have been prevented by ordinary prudence;
- 4. Newly discovered material evidence which could not with reasonable diligence have been discovered and produced at the original hearing;
  - 5. Excessive or insufficient penalties;
- 6. Error in the admission or rejection of evidence or other errors of law occurring at the administrative hearing;
- 7. That the decision is not justified by the evidence or is contrary to law.
- D. The Board may affirm or modify the decision or grant a rehearing to all or any of the parties and on all or part of the issues for any of the reasons set forth in subsection C. An order granting a rehearing shall specify with particularity the ground or grounds on which the rehearing is granted, and the rehearing shall cover only those matters so specified.

Continued....

- E. Not later than ten (10) days after a decision is rendered, the Board may on its own initiative order a rehearing or review of its decision for any reason for which it might have granted a rehearing on motion of a party. After giving the parties or their counsel notice and an opportunity to be heard on the matter, the Board may grant a motion for rehearing for a reason not stated in the motion. In either case the order granting such a rehearing shall specify the grounds therefor.
- F. When a motion for rehearing is based upon affidavits, they shall be served with the motion. An opposing party may within ten (10) days after such service serve opposing affidavits, which period may be extended for an additional period not exceeding twenty (20) days by the Board for good cause shown or by written stipulation of the parties. Reply affidavits may be permitted.
- G. If in a particular decision the Board makes specific findings that the immediate effectiveness of such decision is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health and safety and that a rehearing or review of the decision is impracticable, unnecessary or contrary to the public interest, the decision may be issued as a final decision without an opportunity for a rehearing or review. If a decision is issued as a final decision without an opportunity for rehearing, any application for judicial review of the decision shall be made within the time limits permitted for applications for judicial review of the Board's final decisions.
- H. For purposes of this section the terms "contested case" and "party" shall be defined as provided in A.R.S. 41-1001.
- I. To the extent that the provisions of this rule are in conflict with the provisions of any statute providing for rehearing of decisions of the State Board of Technical Registration such statutory provisions shall govern.

The purpose of this adoption is to comply with A.R.S. 41-1010 which makes it mandatory for all agencies subject to the Administrative Procedures Act to provide an opportunity for a rehearing or review of any agency decision before it becomes final.

the first the transmit, beginning tradeser medically introduced section

the real for the second to the best too to select the control of the second to the sec

fills for the action we have been medical

#### REPORT OF THE EVALUATION & EXAMINATION COMMITTEES - Cont'd.

design and site planning examination as this is one of the requirements to be completed by all applicants before registration can be granted. At the annual meeting of the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards a resolution was passed which made this examination together with the Professional Examination a requirement for registration.

Mr. Edson presented the Architectural Evaluation Committee meeting report of August 11 and 12, 1977, as shown on Minute Pages 3886, 3887 and 3888. It was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that this report of the Architectural Evaluation Committee meeting be accepted and implemented by the Board. Motion carried.

Mr. Earley presented the Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee meeting report of August 11, 1977, as shown on Minute Pages 3889 and 3890. It was moved by Mr. Earley and seconded by Mr. Edson that this report of the Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee meeting be accepted and implemented by the Board. Motion carried.

Mr. David K. Weeks, Civil Engineer applicant, appeared before the Board requesting reconsideration of the Engineering Evaluation Committee's recommendation that his application be denied. Mr. Weeks passed out a resume of his experience to the Board as he felt that on his application he had submitted that it leaned more to his land surveying experience (he is a registered as a land surveyor) instead of his engineering experience. Mr. O'Bannon asked Mr. Weeks to explain more fully his duties with Shell Oil Company and after explanation of his work and discussion of his total time, it was moved by Mr. O'Bannon and seconded by Mr. Earley that Mr. Weeks be held for Parts 3 and 4 of the civil engineering examination. Motion carried.

Mr. Robert K. Sisley, Civil Engineer applicant, appeared before the Board requesting reconsideration of the Engineering Evaluation Committee's recommendation that his application be denied. In explaining his experience which had been mostly in construction as project engineer, he stated that he believed he spent 20% of his time in engineering. He did not have a registered engineer supervising him during the 42 months at Ruck Construction Co., but Mr. Lang could attest to this experience. After attempting to analyze Mr. Sisley's experience in total time and design, it was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Trimble that Mr. Sisley be held for Parts 3 and 4 of the civil engineering examination. Motion did not carry, and the recommendation of the Engineering Evaluation Committee stood.

Letter from Roy Broussard, Mechanical Engineer applicant, requesting reconsideration of the Engineering Evaluation Committee's recommendation that he be held for written examinations was presented. Mr. Gomez explained that Mr. Broussard did not have a degree and had not been registered in any state before, and the committee held him for Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4. It was moved by Mr. Caruso and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that Mr. Broussard's request be denied and the he be held for the written examination. Motion carried.

Mr. Gomez presented the report of the Engineering Evaluation Committee meeting held on August 16, 17, 18 and 19, 1977, as shown on Minute Pages 3891, 3892, 3893 and 3894. It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. O'Bannon that this report of the Engineering Evaluation Committee meeting, as amended, be accepted and implemented by the Board. Motion carried.

DATE: September 2, 1977

TO: State Board of Technical Registration

FROM: Architectural Evaluation Committee

SUBJECT: Evaluation of Applicants

The Architectural Evaluation Committee met on August 11 and 12, 1977, in Phoenix, Arizona, with the following members present:

F. Mark Edson Kemper Goodwin Patrick H. Porcarello

There being a quorum present at all times the committee reviewed the following applicants and make to the Board the recommendations shown regarding their professional registration in Arizona:

1. The following applicants having appeared before the committee and satisfied the committee they are fully qualified, including the treatise on seismic forces, to receive architectural registration in Arizona under A.R.S. 32-123.A and A.R.S. 32-126, are hereby recommended for registration:

Darnell, Wesley Alan	77-233	Phipps, William Arthur	77-282
De Guglielmo, Albert Louis	1135	Richardson, Walter John	77-367
Deutsch, Bernard	77-161	Sakir, Edward	77-113
Foug, James W.	77-313	Samaniego, Eduardo Jose	77-330
Froehlich, Arthur	77-304	Shuler, Paul Edwin	77-294
Grove, Frederick Leon	77-272	Smutny, Robert Frank	77-84
Lorimer, David T.	77-190	Todd, Douglas Irwin	77-406
Marter, Howard R.	77-238	Varner, Marion Jesse	77-378
Myklebust, John Arthur	77-405	Worthington, Carl August	77-312
Nalbandian, John V.	77-115		

II. The following applicants having appeared before the committee, while completing their personal audience interview, need demonstration of additional evidence of their proficiency, A.R.S. 32-123.B, and it is recommended to the Board that these individuals be held for the written examinations indicated:

### Applicants held for the December, 1977, and June, 1978, examinations:

Bicas, Enrique Bernardo	76-462	Full Qual. & Professional
Fancher, Robert Michael	77-359	E,F of Qual.
Kessler, Francisco W.	77-249	E,F of Qual.
Puiadas, Jose Cristobal	77-224	Full Qual. & Professional

III. The following applicants appeared before the committee and the evaluation of their application determined that they should be denied for lack of experience of a character satisfactory to the Board under A.R.S. 32-123.A with the refund as shown:

Gansline, William Royal	77-211	\$10.00
Shannon, Mark Hollis	77-281	\$10.00
Woodward, James William, Jr.	77-329	\$10.00

IV. The following applicant having been advised twice he was scheduled to appear before the committee and for his failure to do so, the committee recommends he be denied for failure to complete the requirements of the Board within a reasonable length of time:

Bicas, Samuel S.

76-465

V. The Architectural Examination Committee met on August 12, 1977, and reviewed the results of the Architectural Design & Site Planning examination given in June, 1977. The committee recommends that the results as shown on the page following this report be certified.

The committee recommends to the Board that Recommendations I through V of this report be accepted and implemented.

Respectfully submitted,

F. Mark Edson, Chairman

## JUNE, 1977 QUALIFYING EXAM ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN & SITE PLANNING

## ARCHITECTS

No.	Name	Sec. F	No.	Name	Sec. F
77-157	Anderson, Steven Clark	Pass	* 75-412	Stichler, Ronald A.	Pass
* 75-332	Baker, George Harvey	Pass	* 74-10	Van Dyke, Glen W.	Pass
71-414	Biddle, Warren Robert	Fail	76-455	Vogt, Kenneth James	Pass
76-459	Call, Dennis Aaron	Pass	77-114	Walzer, Mitchell B.	Fail
75-104	Christensen, Danny D.	Fail	77-163	Watson, James Richard	Pass
76-589	Connick, Stan Jay	Fail	77-134	Wilson, James Marvin	Pass
76-470	Davis, Dean Leigh	Fail	77-168	Yates, Dennis Dean	Fail
73-84	Dixon, Charles W.	Fail	\		
77-180	Dooling, David Paul	Fail		ARCHITECTS-IN-TRAINING	
77-132	Duff, Berry Noel	Fàil	77-9	Abell, James Logan	Pass
75-201	Fagin, Edward A.	Fail	77-21	Balbuena, D. Oscar R.	Fail
77-167	Famous, George W.	Fail	77-7	Bellamy, Karen	Fail
76-385	Fischbach, George T.	Fail	77-16	Briggs, Ronald Lee	Pass
77-199	Frerking, Michael H.	Fail	77-19	Comon, Gilbert A., Jr.	Fail
75-331	Fuller, Newell Judd	Fail	77-14	Cox, Val M.	Fail
76-391	Grigsby, David Cecil	Fail	77-3	Dawson, Bruce L.	Fail
* 76-367	Guinther, Thomas W.	Pass	77-15	Higgins, Joseph H.	Fail
75-98	Hubbard, Kenneth J.	Fail	77-12	Hopkins, William David	Fail
* 76-414	Hyatt, Duane G.	Pass	77-8	Jenkins, Dale E.	Pass
75-511	Johnson, Kenneth W.	Fail	77-1	King, Myson Brian	Fail
76-448	Kelly, Tommy James	Fail	76-8	Lockhart, Brian	Fail
74-52	Koch, Christopher Lee	Fail	77-11	Mansur, Charles Thom	Pass
71-318	Kopecek, Josef Robert	Fail	77-4	Nord, William C., IV	Fail
* 76-218	Kunz, Kenneth J.	Pass	77-17	Oliver, David Lamar	Fail
76-116	Lewis, Robert Ansley, Jr.	Fail	76-7	Roy, Arnold	Fail
77-154	Mogro, Carlos	Pass	77-5	Saba, Gary M.	Fail
76-134	Otwell, William S.	Fail	75-110	Schmitt, David Leo	Fail
* 77-223	Peterson, Douglas Neil	Pass	77-6	Tilton, Webster, III	Fail
73-212	Podolsky, William I.	Fail	75-5	Tuberty, Dennis J.	Fail
* 76-173	Potter, David A.	Pass	76-9	Wagner, Paul Walter	Fail
77-135	Ralston, Philip Johns	Fail	77-20	Weiss, Peter M.	Fail
77-182	Sheller, George F.	Pass	77-18	Wong, Robin Yin-Leung	Pass
77-133	Six, Richard S.	Pass	75-44	Young, Jerry Irving	Fail

DATE: September 2, 1977

TO: State Board of Technical Registration

FROM: Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee

SUBJECT: Evaluation of Applicants

The Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee met on August 11, 1977, in Phoenix, Arizona, with the following members present:

F. Mark Edson Wayne O. Earley Kemper Goodwin Patrick H. Porcarello

There being a quorum present at all times the committee reviewed the following applicant and makes to the Board the recommendation shown regarding his professional registration in Arizona:

1. The following applicant having appeared before the committee and satisfied the committee he is fully qualified to receive landscape architectural registration in Arizona under A.R.S. 32-123.A and A.R.S. 32-126, is hereby recommended for registration:

Rothman, Brian Lee

77-229

II. The Landscape Architectural Examination Committee reviewed the results of the landscape architectural examination given in June, 1977. The committee recommends that the results as shown on the page following this report be certified.

The committee recommends to the Board that Recommendations I and II of this report be accepted and implemented.

Respectfully submitted,

Wayne O. Earley, Chairman

#### LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS

		History	Prof.Prac.	Design	Design Impl	lem.
No.	Name	Α	В	C	D	Passed
72-416	Ashworth, Dean F.	F	Р	Р	Р	
77-95	Bell, Michael E.	Р	Р	Р	Р	A,B,C,D
76-108	Duffield, Mary Rose	Р	Р	Р	F	В
76-139	Nash, James Patrick	P	Р	Р	F	
77-112	Rogers, Walter E.	Р	Р	Р	Р	A,B,C,D
77-8	Umberger, Martin S.	Р	Р	Р	Р	A,B,C,D

## LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS-IN-TRAINING

Name	History A	Design C	Passed
Jokerst, Dennis E.	P	P	A
Leece, Michael Brian	F. F.	F	
Maguire, David Lloyd	Р	Р	A,C
Presto, Richard M.	P	Р	A,C
	Jokerst, Dennis E. Leece, Michael Brian Maguire, David Lloyd	Name A  Jokerst, Dennis E. P  Leece, Michael Brian F  Maguire, David Lloyd P	Name A C  Jokerst, Dennis E. P P  Leece, Michael Brian F F  Maguire, David Lloyd P P

DATE: September 2, 1977

TO: State Board of Technical Registration

FROM: Engineering Evaluation Committee

SUBJECT: Evaluation of Applicants

The Engineering Evaluation Committee met on August 16, 17, 18 and 19, 1977, in Phoenix, Arizona, with the following members present:

Sam Caruso C. E. O'Bannon Rod J. Gomez John B. Trimble R. A. Jimenez

There being a quorum present at all times the committee reviewed the following applicants and make to the Board the recommendations shown regarding their professional registration in Arizona.

1. The following applicants having appeared before the committee and satisfied the committee they are fully qualified to receive registration in Arizona under A.R.S. 32-123.A and A.R.S. 32-126, are hereby recommended for registration:

CHEMICAL ENGINEERING		CIVIL ENGINEERING - Cont'd.	
Chapman, Richard Glenn	77-242	Stack, John Raynold	77-221
		Stewart, Wayne Lee	77-262
CIVIL ENGINEERING		Sulser, Lawrence Adam, Jr.	77-274
Abegglen, Donn E.	77-234	Thompson, Ted E.	77-203
Balzarini, Charles Douglas	77-276	Turney, Thomas Charles	77-248
Cannis, Theodore Clark	77-117	Wong, Ramon R., II	77-18
Carr, Richard Lloyd	77-316		
Cole, Bruce Van Vorhis	77-241	ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING	
Collier, Bobby Dale	77-368	Bayless, James Warren, III	77-215
Conradsen, Jorgen Peter	77-217	Horne, Albert Sidney	77-200
Cox, Charles Gordon	77-296	Jones, Roger Clyde	77-299
Desai, Kishor Thakor	77-99	Leung, Richard Kam Tong	77-127
Dixon, Schaefer J.	77-277	Moss, Richard Myrel	77-94
Ghowrwal, Abdul Qadir	77-227	Warren, Mark Steven	77-268
Gingery, Deryl Wayne	77-103	Werner, John A., III	77-344
Hall, Robert Clarence, Jr.	77-347		
Jacobsen, Robert Allen	77-355	GEOLOGICAL ENGINEERING	
Kalupa, Ronald F.	77-336	Price, Charles Edgard, Jr.	77-207
Kertz, William Alan	77-356		
Kluesener, John W.	77-332	INDUSTRICAL ENGINEERING	
Kozich, Allan Alfred	77-150	Porter, Robert Curtis	77-194
Lindsey, Stanley Dean	76-422		
Maphis, Sam Wellington	77-152	MECHANICAL ENGINEERING	
Mason, Earl Edison	77-357	Adam, Paul James, Jr.	77-280
Mattke, Paul W.	77-266	Coyne, Robert Francis	77-236
Nelson, Waldemar Stanley	77-247	Duda, Raymond M.	77-310
Neyer, Jerome Charles	77-219	Funk, John Frank	77-343
Peters, Raymer Douglas	77-333	Schwarzbach, Martin Mitchell	77-301
Peterson, Milford Kenneth	77-305	White, John Robert	77-251
Rice, Richard Cleave	77-235	Williams, John Bryan	77-350
Rogers, Otha Jennings	77-208	Young, Richard Samuel	77-300
Smith, Haldon Edward	77-220	AND AND THE TOTAL PROPERTY PROPERTY.	
Speedie, James Alan	77-197	Continued	
	15/150 PERODI		

I. Continued.....

Novacek, Jerry Wayne

MINING ENGINEERING		STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING	
Dixon, William CArl Ploesser, Alan Philip	77-256 77-278	Beebe, Raymond Beach	77-373
Ploesser, Alan Philip	//-2/0	Belford, Don Roger Hoover, Clyde Donald	77-216 77-320
SANITARY ENGINEERING		A STATE OF THE STA	\$1.50 (#U0000)

11. The following applicants having appeared before the committee, while completing the personal audience interview, need demonstration of additional evidence of their proficiency, A.R.S. 32-123.B, and it is recommended to the Board that these individuals be held for the written examinations indicated:

77-64

## Applicants held for the November, 1977, examinations:

CIVIL ENGINEERING						
Bethell, John L.	77-342	Parts	3 and	4		
Callow, Thomas Edwin	77-271		3 and			
Easter, Jack A.	77-138		1, 2,		and	4
Evans, John Austin	77-291		3 and			
Farney, James Eugene	77-372		3 and			
Harn, Paul Alan	77-260		3 and			
Imig, Donald P.	77-218		3 and			
Johnson, George Bryan	77-206		3 and			
Kelly, Timothy Emmet	77-246		3 and			
Kimmel, Richard John	77-261		3 and			
Larson, Scott Marvin	77-285		3 and			
McLean, Thomas Michael	77-369		3 and			
Malik, Mahmood Akbar	77-327		1, 2,		and	4
Matsushino, Anne Miyo	77-265		3 and			
Miller, Phillip Bruce	77-340		3 and			
Rausch, Robert Ralph	77-253		3 and			
Sands, Thomas Gregory	77-270		3 and			
Scoble, Francis Matthew	77-377		1, 2,		and	4
Smith, Ramon Guy	77-231		3 and			
Sobieski, Paul Gerhard	77-323		3 and			
Turner, James Albert	77-258		3 and			
Weeks, David Kendall	77-259		3 and			
ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING	AT 10 - 5:50	14	(#) (SUMME)			
Ananthanarayanan, Balanarayanan	77-269	Parts	1, 2,	3	and	4
Barr, Howard Earl	77-141		3 and			
Bischoff, Stephen Julius	77-254		3 and			
Butkovich, James T.	77-255		1, 2,		and	4
Davis, John Edward	77-325		3 and			8
Emmons, Glen T.	77-214		3 and			
Hall, Esrie Sherman, Jr.	77-308		1, 2,		and	4
Johnson, Raymond R.	77-264		1, 2,			
Jolley, Virdes Allen	77-341		1, 2,			
Lepley, Thomas C.	77-303		3 and	162	arra	
Llewellyn, Robert Neilson	77-204		3 and			
Payne, Francis Leland	77-338		3 and			
Preckshot, George Garrell	77-267		1, 2,		and	4
Shelton, David Gordon	77-302		3 and		a.i.u	200
Sierra, Stanley	77-307		3 and			
		oon on an an an an an	377(9)(1/57)			

Continue.....

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING Cont'd					
Sykora, Kenneth Richard	77-309	Parts 3 ar			
Theiss, John Boyd	77-250	Parts 3 ar	nd 4		
Thomas, Kenneth James Peter	77-335	Parts 1, 2	2, 3	and	4
GEOLOGICAL ENGINEERING					
Thomas, Stewart Alton	77-337	Parts 1, 2	2, 3	and	4
GEOPHYSICAL ENGINEERING					
Scheffey, Paul Lincoln	77-209	Parts 3 ar	nd 4		
Scholley, the extra scholley		NOOSANO I MANAGE			
MECHANICAL ENGINEERING					
Bachel, Larry Francis	77-289	Parts 3 ar	nd 4		
Barnes, Darryl L.	77-334	Parts 1, 2			
Broussard, M. Roy		Parts 1, 2			
Burbine, Norman Henry	77-348	Parts 3 ar			
Chilton, Daniel L.	77-237	Parts 1, 2			4
Hecht-Nielsen, Robert	77-212	Parts 3 ar			
Hodge, Michael Frederick	77-328	Parts 1, 2			
Menconi, Peter Irish		Parts 3 ar			
Shaub, Fred Eugene		Parts 1, 2			
Shultz, Robert Allen	77-363	Parts 3 ar	id L	unu	
Thies, Joel 0.	77-252	Parts 1, 2			Ь
inies, Joer o.	11-252	raits i, 2	-, -	anu	
METALLURGICAL ENGINEERING					
Bossard, Gerald Wayne	77-263	Parts 3 ar	d L		
bossard, derard wayne	11 205	rares y ar			
MINING ENGINEERING					
Clelland, Ian Stuart	77-158	Parts 3 ar	d 4		
Phillips, Stephen Henry Elliot		Parts 1, 2			L
Till Tips, Stephen henry Elitot	11 320	1 41 15 1, 2	- , _	and	-
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING					
Holmes, John Forrest	77-319	Parts 5 ar	nd 6		
Kozich, Allan Alfred	77-149	Parts 5 ar			
Scatena, Louis Ronald Peter	77-210	Parts 5 ar			
Vogel, Gary Lynn	77-228	Parts 5 ar			
voger, dary Lynn	11-220	raits ) ai	iu c		
LAND SURVEYING					
Alcocer, Richard	77-290	Parts 1, 2	2	and	L
Barbee, William Francis	77-349	Parts 1, 2			
Carna James Jawis Ja		Parts 1, 2			
Carne, James Louis, Jr.	77-315				
Cleveland, Keith Lee	77-28	Parts 1, 2			4
Dryden, Charles Wyatt	77-257	Parts 3 ar	10 4		
Dyer, Maynard Ray	77-245	Part 4			
Flores, Luis A., Jr.	77-292	Part 4			
Hoffman, Claud Milton	77-56	Part 4			I.
Murrey, David Howard	77-293	Parts 1, 2			
Myers, Terry Lon	77-331	Parts 1, 2	-, 3	and	4
Nowakowski, Richard John	77-273	Part 4			1
Rice, George William	77-226	Parts 1, 2	, 3	and	4
ASSAVINA					
ASSAYING	77 207		1 0		
Jacobs, Michael George	77-387	Parts 1 ar	1d 2		

III. The following applicants appeared before the committee and the evaluation of their applications determined that they should be denied for lack of experience of a character satisfactory to the Board under A.R.S. 32-123.A, with the refund as shown:

Clay, Donald Wayne	77-230	Geologist	\$10.00
Kowalik, Thaddeus Stefan	77-346	Civil Engineer	\$10.00
Kozich, Allan Alfred	77-148	Electrical Engineer	\$25.00
Kozich, Allan Alfred	77-147	Mechanical Engineer	\$25.00
Niese, David Max	77-225	Structural Engineer	\$10.00
Sisley, Robert Kent	77-284	Civil Engineer	\$10.00
The state of the s			

IV. The following applicant having been advised twice he was scheduled to appear before the committee and for his failure to do so, the committee recommends he be denied for failure to complete the requirements of the Board within a reasonable length of time:

Pearson, Donald Stuart

75-547

Electrical Engineer

The committee recommends to the Board that Recommendations I through IV of this report be accepted and implemented.

Respectfully submitted,

Rod J. Gomez, Chairman

It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. O'Bannon that the following applicants are to be denied with neither prejudice nor refund at their request. Motion carried.

Bertella, Virginia G. A. Cohen, Howard S. Crowson, David L.	77-146 77-2 77-298	Civil Engineer Chemical Engineer Civil Engineer
Danowitz, Chester J.	77-187	Mechanical Engineer
Kapuvary, Charles K.	77-183 77-19	Architect Geologist
Scacifero, Anthony J. Thies, Joel	77-13	Engineer-in-Training
Thorpe, Thomas W.	77-400	Architect

It was moved by Mr. Caruso and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the following applicants for professional registration having completed the personal audience and all other requirements of the Board be granted registration and assigned the registration numbers as indicated. Motion carried.

ARCHITECTURE		CIVIL ENGINEERING	
Baker, George Harvey	11374	Abegglen, Donn E.	11402
Darnell, Wesley Alan	11375	Balzarini, Charles Douglas	11403
De Guglielmo, Albert Louis	11376		
Deutsch, Bernard	11377	Carr, Richard Lloyd	11405
Foug, James W.	11378	Cole, Bruce Van Vorhis	11406
Froehlich, Arthur	11379	Collier, Bobby Dale	11407
Grove, Frederick Leon	11380	Conradsen, Jorgen Peter	11408
Guinther, Thomas Willard	11381	Cox, Charles Gordon	11409
Hyatt, Duane G.	11382	Desai, Kishor Thakor	11410
Kunz, Kenneth J.	11383	Dixon, Schaefer J.	11411
Lorimer, David T.	11384	Ghowrwal, Abdul Qadir	11412
Marter, Howard R.	11385	Gingery, Deryl Wayne	11413
Myklebust, John Arthur	11386	Hall, Robert Clarence, Jr.	11414
Nalbandian, John V.	11387	Jacobsen, Robert Allen	11415
Peterson, Douglas Neil	11388	Kalupa, Ronald F.	11416
Phipps, William Arthur	11389	Kertz, William Alan	11417
Potter, David A.	11390	Kluesener, John W.	11418
Richardson, Walter John	11391	Kozich, Allan Alfred	11419
Sakir, Edward	11392	Lindsey, Stanley Dean	11420
Samaniego, Eduardo Jose	11393	Maphis, Sam Wellington	11421
Shuler, Paul Edwin	11394	Mason, Earl Edison	11422
Smutny, Robert Frank	11395	Mattke, Paul W.	11423
Stichler, Ronald Albert	11396	Nelson, Waldemar Stanley	11424
Todd, Douglas Irwin	11397	Neyer, Jerome Charles	11425
Van Dyke, Glen William	11398	Peters, Raymer Douglas	11426
Varner, Marion Jesse	11399	Peterson, Milford Kenneth	11427
Worthington, Carl August	11400	Rice, Richard Cleave	11428
		Rogers, Otha Jennings	11429
CHEMICAL ENGINEERING		Smith, Haldon Edward	11430
Chapman, Richard Glenn	11401	Speedie, James Alan	11431
		Stack, John Raynold	11432

Continued.....

CIVIL ENGINEERING - Cont'd.		MECHANICAL ENGINEERING - Cont'	d.
Stewart, Wayne Lee	11433	Schwarzbach, Martin Mitchell	11451
Sulser, Lawrence Adam, Jr.	11434	White, John Robert	11452
Thompson, Ted E. 11435		Williams, John Bryan	11453
Turney, Thomas Charles 11436		Young, Richard Samuel	11454
Wong, Ramon R., II		A street court to the orline for	
wong, Kamor K.,		MINING ENGINEERING	
ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING		Dixon, William Carl	11455
Bayless, James Warren, III	11438	Ploesser, Alan Philip	11456
Horne, Albert Sidney	11439		
Jones, Roger Clyde	11440	SANITARY ENGINEERING	
Leung, Richard Kam Tong	11441	Novacek, Jerry Wayne	11457
Moss, Richard Myrel	11442	movacek, corry mayne	
Warren, Mark Steven	11443	STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING	
Werner, John A., III	11444	Beebe, Raymond Beach	11458
werner, John A., III		Belford, Don Roger	11459
GEOLOGICAL ENGINEERING		Hoover, Clyde Donald	11460
Price, Charles Edgard, Jr.	11445	noover, cryde bonard	11100
Price, charles Eugard, or.	11117	LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE	
INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING		Bell, Michael E.	11461
Porter, Robert Curtis	11446	Rogers, Walter E.	11462
Porter, Robert Curtis	11440	Rothman, Brian Lee	11463
MECHANICAL ENGINEERING		Umberger, Martin Scot	11464
	11447	Uniberger, Martin Scot	11404
Adam, Paul James, Jr.	11448		
Coyne, Robert Francis			
Duda, Raymond M.			
Funk, John Frank	11450		

It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that the following applicants for in-training registration having satisfactorily completed the written examination be granted in-training registration and assigned the in-training registration numbers as indicated. Motion carried.

ENGINEER-IN-TRAINING		ENGINEER-IN-TRAINING - Cont'd.	
Aleksa, Robert Joseph	1808	Magistro, James	1827
Alexander, Thomas Michael	1809	Morgen, Henry James	1828
BAker, Mark T.	1810	Munden, John Clarence	
Benes, Lloyd E.	1811	Ochotorena, Martin Ballesteros	
Booth, Mark	1812	Pawlik, Louis John	1831
Breckenridge, Nils Joseph	1813	Rawlings, Erika M.	1832
de Pagter, Jacobus Adriaan	1814	Reed, Donald Henry	1833
Dynneson, Ronald E.	1815	Rousso, Maurice Jacob	1834
Emerson, Steven Lee	1816	St. Clair, Gregg	1835
Engbring, Thomas P.	1817	Schroeder, John A.	1836
Girouard, Kenneth	1818	Schumm, Donald Eugene	1837
Glover, Jeffrey B.	1819	Strehlow, Michael Wayne Byron	1838
Gyles, Brian Robert	1820	Tabor, Mark Allen	1839
Henessy, John E.	1821	Timmerman, Gary J.	1840
Hom, Paul Jung	1822	Vesecky, Pete	
Inzana, Michael Joseph	1823	Vinje, Keith Robert	
Leimkuehler, William H.	1824	Wallace, James Alexnader, III	
Lindberg, John J.	1847	Willer, Matthew Scott	1843
Locher, Michael L.	1825	Winfree, Michael B.	1844
McCarville, Thomas	1826	Wong, Phillip O.	1845
		Worley, Roger Dale	1846
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT-IN-TRAINING			
Jokerst, Dennis E.	11		
Magui	3/2/1		

12

Maguire, DAvid Lloyd Presto, Richard M.

#### REPORT OF THE PUBLIC INFORMATION COMMITTEE

Mr. Gomez reported that he and the Chairman attended the annual meeting of National Council of Engineering Examiners held in Atlanta, George, on July 31-August 3, 1977, and that he did not have the resolutions that were passed at the meeting as yet but would forward his written report to the office for record when received. He stated that one of the first things the members were informed on at the meeting was that NCEE had been served by the U. S. Attorney General with an order to submit all records and their Code of Ethics. They asked specific questions about their adopted Code of Ethics which was interjected into the agenda and went on all day in discussion. The one item questioned was no bidding. It was the consensus of all the states that NCEE immediately rescind their Code of Ethics as it does not represent the individual state boards and they did so.

There was alot of discussion on finances and assessing fees to various states. The larger states felt that when it came to setting up voting procedures they felt that they needed more than one vote. The Finance Committee came up with a report of they are going to treat every state the same by assessment of \$1250 and every state has just one vote regardless of the number of registrantsthey have. Additional assessment fees will be according to the number of examinations requested.

The lowa Board reported on their new law for continuing education to renew their license. When the lowa Board has established their regulations for implementing the new law, the National Council will assist by setting up a model for possible use by other states if they should enact such a law.

Mr. Gomez reported on the attendance by the other states and of representatives from as far away as South Africa were present. He also stated Mr. James Knickerbocker, past Board member, was presented with the Distinguished Service Award by the National Council.

#### REPORT OF THE BUDGET COMMITTEE

The budget request for Fiscal Year 1978-1979 in the amount of \$162,300 was submitted to the Budget Office, Finance Division on August 29, 1977. The Executive Director reported that the budget has been staying within the percentage increase necessary. For the benefit of the new members, he explained that 10% goes into the General Fund and other 90% is our fund for operating expenditures.

#### READING OF COMMUNICATIONS

Letter from George T. Walters, Land Surveying appliant #77-77, requesting an extension of his personal audience appearance was presented. It was moved by Mr. Caruso and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that Mr. Walters be granted a final extension. Motion carried.

Letter from Stuart A. Kessler, Architectural applicant #77-83, requesting an extension of his personal audience appearance, was presented. It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Porcarello he be granted an extension for the third and last time. Motion carried.

Letter from I. Newton Durboraw, Electrical Engineer applicant #77-324, requesting an extension of his personal audience appearance until February, 1978, was presented. It was moved by Mr. Porcarello and seconded by Mr. Caruso that he be granted an extension to the February, 1978, committee meeting. Motion carried.

Letters from James A. Dailey, Civil Engineer applicant, requesting reconsideration of the Board's action at the June 17, 1977, Board meeting which denied his application for failure to appear at the April, 1977, written examination were presented. It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that the Executive Director be instructed to write to Mr. Dailey that the Board reaffirmed the previous action of the denial of his application and that he has the right to reapply in 12 months. Motion carried.

Letters from Gordon E. Moore, Civil and Engineer and Gudmund Martinson, Architect were presented as shown on Minute Pages 3899 - 3903. Mr. Edson explained that Mr. Mark Shannon had worked at Davis Monthan Air Force Base since his graduation in 1974 under the registrant Mr. Martinson. His experience record on his application showed he had done primarily remodeling with the exception of one project. The committee felt he did not have a well-rounded experience and may have to change his employment where he can get the experience needed. The candidate should be aware of what consitutes good experience and seek out jobs where he can do this. It is the candidate's responsibility to evaluate his own experience record. The letters support much broader experience by Mr. Shannon than what was recorded on his application. Mr. Edson said he supported much better communication and that is why he explained to Mr. Moore about the intern architect program adopted by the National Council. Mr. Goodwin explained that they had informed the applicant he would not get in their opinion the varied experience in his present employment. After discussion, it was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that the Chairman of the Board write to Mr. Moore with a copy to Mr. Martinson of the comments brought out by Mr. Edson and state we simply question the fact that his experience he had received was not a type suitable to the Board and we felt he needed a wider variety which we did not feel he could get there as the work indicated in this particular applicant's record did not indicate his experience was satisfactory; we are not making an evaluation of their organization. Motion carried.

Letters from Edgar M. Bollin, regarding alleged violations of the Code, as shown on Minute Pages 3904 - 3915, were presented. Mr. Sillyman, Assistant Attorney General, stated that the accusations regarding Mr. Michaels of his aiding and abetting is not a valid complaint. Regarding Sierra Testing and Engineering, Inc. is a valid complaint and Mr. Taylor is engaged in the practice of engineering. He advised the Board could approach this either by seeking an injunction from future practice by this company or send a cease and desist letter to inform they they are in violation of the Statutes. Further investigation could be made as to whether they are continuing to engage in this.

In discussion Mr. Bollin's letters, the Board agreed that Mr. Michaels was not aiding and abetting by acceptance of the test results. It was noted that on the copy of the telephone directory that Mr. Bollin was advertising engineering services when he is a registered Geologist.

7132 EAST 31ST STREET

Tucson, Arizona 85710

PHONE 298-1968

17 August 1977

RECEIVED

Arizona State Board of Technical Registration 1645 West Jefferson Phoenix, Arizona 85007

STATE BOARD TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

Attn: Mr. E.G. Drewry Executive Director

Dear Sir: The attached piece of correspondence authored by F.Mark Edson a member of the board of registration has just come into my hands.

I, m a practicing architect and registered in the State of Arizona (7404), In the attached note there is an implication that there is not a practicing professional registrant at Davis-Monthan A.F.Base although there are three such registrants in architecture alone, and although Mr. Edson knows full well there is and that I in particular, have been practicing there for many years as Chief of Engineering/Technical Design Section.

For your information, Mr. Mark Shannon, the subject of the enclosure, has worked full time, directly under my supervision, for the last three years during which time he has received comprehensive and practical training in Design, drafting, structural calculations, office practice, cost estimating, specification writing, job supervision and customer relations of an intensity quite comparable with what he would be offered anywhere else in a Tucson architect's office. These facts were submitted to the board as requested on behalf of Mark Shannon.

I request, within two weeks from this date, an official apology from the board for the very crude and unprofessional inaccuracies submitted by an official board member in that capacity. It appears that the millions of dollars worth of arhitectural work produced by my staff under my direct supervision is not recognized by Mr. Edson. This I consider a direct professional insult, degrading and uncalled for, which must be rectified by an official apology.

Should the Board not find this appropriate, I intend to pursue the matter further by communicating directly with the Governor of Arizona with an official release to the State Press.

I take my work and my profession seriously and practice on a high ethical level. The communication of Mr.Edson, from his ivory tower, to another party, questioning my architectural practice, must and will be challenged.

Gudmund Martinson

# DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE 355TH CIVIL ENGINEERING SQUADRON (TAC) DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA 85707

AUG 23 1977

3900

REPLY TO

DEE/Moore/3195

SUBJECT:

Registration of Federal Architects and Engineers in the State of Arizona

Mr. Ralph L. Wyatt, Chairman State Board of Technical Registration 1645 West Jefferson, Suite 315 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Dear Mr. Wyatt:

An incident occurred during the meeting held by the Architectural Evaluation and Examination Committee in Phoenix on August 12, 1977 which I feel should be brought to the attention of each member of the State Board. The incident occurred during the oral interview of Mr. Mark Shannon from this office.

I recognize that the registration of an individual in any state is a matter between the individual and the State Board of Technical Registration for the appropriate state. I do not wish to concern this letter with the individual's qualifications for registration; however, I do wish to address two areas of concern.

I am attaching a copy of the information furnished to me by Mr. Mark Edson on 16 August 1977 which outlines the details of the two matters of concern. The first matter I wish to address is the communication between the Board and prospective candidates for registration. It would appear to me that the information contained on pages 9 through 14 of Circular of Information No. XI of the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards should be disseminated on a state-wide basis to all candidates with an appropriate form which will indicate to prospective candidates that this is the experience information desired by the Board. The present form used to list experiences does not request or indicate that these types of experiences should be recorded on the form. Ninety percent of all work on the base is carried from planning through design and construction management stages under registered personnel. Perhaps with improved descriptions of desired submittals the Board's and candidate's time might be saved in not requiring candidates to reappear or resubmit.

As you are aware the Federal Government has been striving to upgrade the quality of professional staffs. We at Davis-Monthan have taken great pride of being one of the most outstanding professional branches in the Air Force. We have been recognized as a leading branch in the Strategic Air Command and the Tactical Air Command and to imply that one of our employees should leave the Federal

Government to practice "under a practicing professional registrant" is a matter of serious concern to me. All principals on my staff are registered; this includes three architects, one mechanical, one electrical, and two civil engineers. The nature of work performed by engineers in the Government should not be classified as disqualifying for registration when supervised by professionals already registered.

I sincerely hope that the State Board will re-examine its position in this matter and broaden the intent of the registration actions to include and accept the professional work of architects and engineers working for Industry, Education, State, County, and Federal Government. If this broader spectrum cannot be accepted, I encourage the State Board to recommend national boards and examinations to cover Federal employees.

It is requested that this matter be reviewed and that I be informed of the proposed actions of the State Board in these matters.

GORDON E. MOORE, P.E., Chief Engineering, Construction and Environmental Planning Branch

1 Atch Mr. Mark Edson Ltr, 16 Aug 77

Cy to: Mr. Ralph L. Wyatt, Chairman

Mr. Joseph E. Fowells, Vice-Chairman

Mr. Charles W. Dryden, Secretary

Mr. E. G. Drewry, Executive Secretary

Mr. Sam Caruso

Mr. F. Mark Edson

Mr. Rod J. Gomez, P.E.

Mr. Patrick Henry Porcarello

Mr. Robert G. Wallace

Mr. Rudolf A. Jimenez, P.E. Civil Engrg Dept, Univ of Ariz Mr. F. Shelby Bennett, President Arizona Society of Professional Engineers

Mr. Hugh H. Connolly, President Southern Arizona Chapter Society of Professional Engineers

Mr. Michael A. Lugo, President Southern Arizona Chapter Institute of Architects

Mr. William A. Sawyer, Executive Director Arizona Society of Professional Engineers

		JIV, AMZON	A 85701 RECEIVED	U3902
	PI	none 623-8	<b>453</b> 459 49 1977	August 16, 1977
			STATE BOARD TECHNICAL CEDISTRATIO	RE
G G	ordon Moore	, P.E., C	Chief, Engineering Constr.	State Bd of Tech. Registration
200	55 CSG DEE		CATOLOGICA CONTRACTOR SERVICE	Architects Evaluation Committee
Da	vis Montha	n Air For	ce Base, Ariz. 85708	(Mark Shannon)
200 and			Minary States	19874, 1984
GENTL	EMEN:	acupino vo	NI W An L J O Deleverson	the following items:
野		in the state of	OU X Attached ☐ Under separate ☐ Plans	[22] 가는 : [27] 상상의 - "S. P. M. M. H.
	☐ Shop d		Change order	The line are a start of
	1.0	Bart Barry		
OPIES	DATE	NO.	DES	SCRIPTION
1	June 77	p.9-14	NCARB (National Council of Circular of Information N	f Architectural Registration Boards) o.XI - Practical Training Syllabus
	Sp	1 4 7 10		Shannons Record as submitted to the Bdize that his experience is lacking. You
		1 1 2 1		ssign him broadening types of work in ord
	1111551			
	1000			or the professional exam My Committee
				or the professional exam. My Committee st one year of this experience should be
			felt strongly that at lea under a practising profes	st one year of this experience should be
REMA of the no or this himself. Time. train	FOR B  RKS This  ne 36 month  n-going Into  work exper-  elf with red  program (S  The  ned in their  assurance the	proval ur use uested view and con siDS DUE material experien ern Devel ience, we quirement Similar t Board se r chosen hat, as a	felt strongly that at lead under a practising profession and registration	st one year of this experience should be sional registrant.  Resubmitcopies for approval Submitcopies for distribution Returncorrected prints on.  PRINTS RETURNED AFTER LOAN TO US many State Boards are looking for in terms of Accredited Schools. While there is roviding for initiation and reporting of has the responsibility for acquainting of encourage Mr. Shannon to enroll in the
REMA of the no or this himself. Time. train	For ap For you For rev For RKS This RKS This Re 36 month Regoing Into Work exper- If with red For program (S) The Red in their	proval ur use uested view and con alDS DUE material experien ern Devel ience, we quirement Similar t Board se r chosen hat, as a examinat	felt strongly that at lead under a practising profession and registration	Resubmitcopies for approval  Submitcopies for distribution Returncorrected prints  On.  PRINTS RETURNED AFTER LOAN TO US  many State Boards are looking for in term s of Accredited Schools. While there is roviding for initiation and reporting of has the responsibility for acquainting o encourage Mr. Shannon to enroll in the Office, which he is eligible for at this that Registrants are comprehensively fter examination. The Applicant has standards, once registered under these
REMA of the fine or this himself. Time. train the appropriate train the second	For ap For you As req For rev FOR B RKS This Ne 36 month N-going Intowork experient with recommendation their incommendation their incommendation in the incommendation in their incommendation in the incommend	proval ur use uested view and con siDS DUE material experien ern Devel ience, we quirement Similar t Board se r chosen hat, as a examinat	felt strongly that at lead under a practising profes whecked below:  Approved as submitted  Approved as noted  Returned for corrections ment  For your information  serves as a guide to what ce requirement for Graduate opment Program in Arizona profession and registered a result of our registration ion process will be accepting	Resubmitcopies for approval  Submitcopies for distribution Returncorrected prints  On.  PRINTS RETURNED AFTER LOAN TO US  many State Boards are looking for in term s of Accredited Schools. While there is roviding for initiation and reporting of has the responsibility for acquainting o encourage Mr. Shannon to enroll in the Office, which he is eligible for at this that Registrants are comprehensively fter examination. The Applicant has standards, once registered under these
REMA of the fine or this himself. Time. train the appropriate train the second	For ap For you As req For rev FOR B RKS This Ne 36 month N-going Intowork experient with recommendation their incommendation their incommendation in the incommendation in their incommendation in the incommend	proval ur use uested view and con siDS DUE material experien ern Devel ience, we quirement Similar t Board se r chosen hat, as a examinat	felt strongly that at lead under a practising profession and registration ion process will be accepti	Resubmitcopies for approval  Submitcopies for distribution Returncorrected prints  On.  PRINTS RETURNED AFTER LOAN TO US  many State Boards are looking for in term s of Accredited Schools. While there is roviding for initiation and reporting of has the responsibility for acquainting o encourage Mr. Shannon to enroll in the Office, which he is eligible for at this that Registrants are comprehensively fter examination. The Applicant has standards, once registered under these

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE 355TH CIVIL ENGINEERING SQUADRON (TAC) DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA 85707



STATE BOARD TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

REPLY TO ATTN OF:

DEE/Moore/3195

AUG 31 1977

SUBJECT:

Registration of Federal Architects and Engineers in the RECEI State of Arizona (Your Ltr, 29 Aug 77) SEP - 1 1977

Mr. Edward G. Drewry, Executive Director State Board of Technical Registration 1645 W. Jefferson Phoenix, AZ 85007

Dear Mr. Drewry:

I have received your letter of 29 August 1977. It does not contain evidence of my real concerns. Please advise the board at the September 9, 1977 meeting that I am not communicating with the board relative to Mr. Shannon's application.

The purpose of my letter of 23 August 1977 was to:

- 1. Highlight the need for improved communication with regard to the application form to seek information desired by the board, and
- 2. To question the ethics of the board when it recommends to a young architect applicant to change employer and leave the office of one registered architect to seek a job in another architect's office.

Yours very truly,

GORDON E. MOORE, P.E., Chief Cy to: Mr. Ralph L. Wyatt Engineering, Construction and

Environmental Planning Branch

Mr. William A. Sawyer

U3904 BOLLIN SCIENTIFIC LABORATORY SEP - 1 1977 Soils and Materials Testing STATE BOARD TECHNICAL REGISTRATION R.R. 1. BOX 1006 SIERRA VISTA. ARIZONA 85635 . 16021 458-9123 16021 458-6052 EDGAR M. BOLLIN. Ph.D. Registered Geologist Mr. Edward Drewry, Executive Director August 30, 1977 Arizona State Board of Technical Registration 1645 West Jefferson Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Dear Mr. Drewry: On the 26 of August I telephoned your office as a courtesy to let you know that I was filing a complaint against another registrant. I was informed by your secretary that a complaint form pursuant to 32-128 must be filled out which I received the next day by mail. Upon the advice of my lawyer, I cannot complete nor sign this document, as he himself as a lawyer would not sugn such a document. I do not have the legal authority to conduct an investigation as implied by this document. That is the duty of the State Board of Technical Registration. I am formally reporting to the Board my knowledge of the situation and such proof as I have on hand. I expect the State Board to conduct the investigation as required by law. Respectfully submitted, Edgar M. Bollin EMB:sk cc: All Members of The State Board of Technical Registration Mr. Bruce Babbitt, Attorney General, State of Arizona Mrs. Beverly Jenney, Cochise County Attorney Enclosures

U3905 RECEIVED

## BOLLIN SCIENTIFIC LABORATORY

SEP - 11977

Soils and Materials Testing R.R. 1. BOX 1006 SIERRA VISTA, ARIZONA 85635

STATE BOARD TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

EDGAR M. BOLLIN, Ph.D. Registered Geologist . 16021 458-9123 16021 458-6052

Mr. Edward Drewry, Executive Director Arizona State Board of Technical Registration 1645 West Jefferson Phoenix, Arizona 85007

August 26, 1977

Subject: Code Violation, Section 32-128, Revocation of Certificate, George P. Michael, Junior

Dear Mr. Drewry:

I wish to request that the State Board of Technical Registration proceed immediately in the matter of direct and specific violation of the State Code, Section 32-128, Part 3, "Aiding and Abetting an unregistered person to evade the provisions of this chapter...".

Mr. Michael since recently assuming office as the City Engineer of the City of Sierra Vista, Arizona, has been accepting test results from the firm of "Sierra Testing and Engineering, Inc." This firm, Mr. L.B. Taylor, President, has been operating illegally for the past five or six years without having the work "under the full authority and responsible charge of a registrant, who is also a principal of the firm or officer of the corporation. The name of said registrant shall appear whensoever the firm name is used in the professional practice of the firm or corporation." (Section 32-141). The attached letter from Mr. Michael dated July 12, 1977, shows that Mr. Michael is accepting this work. I do not have access to the City files for copies of test results, but I do know that test results have been accepted by Mr. Michael for Vista Village Number Three Subdivision for utility trench compactions, under curb compaction and concrete tests in addition to the Villas de San Andrea Subdivision referenced in the above mentioned letter.

In addition to accepting work in which the registrant is not a member of the firm, is not in charge of the work, nor even present in the County as Mr. Tucker (Registration No. 2242) has not been in Sierra Vista for more than a few days during this year, Mr. Michael has now hired the firm of "Sierra Testing and Engineering, Inc." to test the construction of streets of the City of Sierra Vista, specifically Lenzner Avenue, which is in further violation of Section 32-142A (Public Works).

Letters of January 5, 1973 and April 20, 1977 are attached to show that Mr. Taylor has been operating in violation of A.R.S. 32-141 for some time and do not involve the City Engineer as they were submitted to the County.

The report of February 16, 1977 is attached as it is separate and distinct from the above mentioned Vista Village reports and was accepted by the City as Sierra Ready-Mix and Contracting Company was allowed to pave the streets in Vista Village Number Three Subdivision.

Mr. Michael cannot plead that he did not know that Mr. Taylor was operating illegally as the reports themselves show this by the lack of the name of the registrant on the stationary in direct violation of A.R.S. 32-141 and it is his responsibility as a receiving authority to know the status of firms submitting certified material. Furthermore, Mr. Michael cannot plead ignorance of the Code as he has just this spring passed an examination on the Code to receive his professional registration in Arizona. The situation is of such common knowledge locally as to plead ignorance of the situation would imply either gross negligence or incompetence.

It may be argued that Mr. Tucker may stamp work in Sierra Vista under Part 1 of A.R.S. 32-141 as he does reside in Arizona. However, the firm is definitely in violation of Part 2 as his name does not appear on the stationary. The firm is also in violation of Part 3 as there is no Registrant "in" the office as required.

That Mr. Tucker is not a regular employee of "Sierra Testing and Engineering, Inc." can be readily substantiated by referring to the Arizona Department of Economic Security.

I shall appreciate your assistance in this matter at your earliest possible convenience.

Very truly yours, EDGAR M. BOLLIN

Edger M. Bollin



# city of sierra vista

400 SHERBUNDY, NORTHWEST SIERRA VISTA, ARIZONA 85635 U3907.

APEA CODE 602

ADMINISTRATION 458-3315

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS 458-2840

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY 458-3311

July 12, 1977

Mrs. Sue Bollin Bollin Scientific Laboratory R.R. 1, Box 1006 Sierra Vista, AZ 85635

17

Dear Mrs. Bollin:

Mr. Strouse has checked into your inquiry regarding soils testing for the street design in the Villas de San Andrea Subdivision. He has confirmed that the engineer on the project has a report supplied by Sierra Testing Lab.

We appreciate your concern and interest in projects within the City and encourage your future cooperation in our efforts to assure quality design and construction of our City facilities.

Mr. Strouse has agreed that your proposal to supply us with a weekly activity report on testing you are involved in will be most helpful. Thank you for the suggestion.

Very truly yours,

GEORGE P. MICHAEL, JR., P.E.

Director of Public Works

GPM/sw



\*We are a SERVICE anization"



TESTING and ENGINEERING, INC.

January 5, 1973

Mr. M. M. Sinks Circle S Ranch Estates P. O. Box 578 Sierra Vista, Arizona 85635

> Re: In place preliminary soil samples Circle S Ranch Estates Sierra Vista, Arizona

Dear Mr. Sinks:

In accordance with your request, we performed sampling and testing of soil samples in the proposed roadway on site of the subject project.

A premarked plat was submitted to us prior to sampling. All samples were taken as indicated within reasonable tolerances.

Test procedures and results are submitted in the subsequent pages of this report.

Respectfully submitted,

David Niese, P.E.

Reg. #8081

Amos B. Hatt, Sr.

Vice President - General Manager

ABH:pt

TESTING and ENGINEERING, INC.

April 20, 1977

ables from the pit Approvious

Circle S Ranch Estates PO Box 578 Sierra Vista, AZ 85635

Attached are the test results taken on Circle S Ranch Estates. The following streets were tested in accordance with Cochise County Specifications:

Sunnyvale Rd
Madelein Dr
Brickley Dr
Rogers Dr
Linda Vista Dr
Circle S Dr

L. B. Taylor



-Ne are SERVICE organization



TESTING and ENGINEERING, INC.

Feb 16, 1977

Sierra Ready Mix PO Box 1506 Sierra Vista, AZ 85635

PROJECT: Vista Villege

We determined the job mix formula for the asphaltic concrete surface coarse to be used on the above project. The aggregate used in the mixture consisted of fins, intermediate and coarse aggregate from the stockpiles in your yard and originating from the pit approximatly 3 miles west of the Y Townsites.

The asphalt used in the mixture consisted of AR4000 with 1% anti-strip obtained from the Arisona Refining Company.

Specimens containing the aggregate in the proportions shown were prepared and tested in accordance with ASTM 1559, Marshall Method, using 50 blows per side.

The criteria used in determining the optimum asphalt content was based on the M. A. G. specifications.

SPECIFICATIONS

Stability minimum pounds
Flow meximum 1/100 inch units
Voids total mix percent
Voids filled with Bitumen percent
Asphalt percent of weight total mix

AGGREGATE GRADATIONS SPECIFICATIONS Sieve Openings

1"
3/4"
1/2"
3/8"
No. 4
No. 8
No. 30
No. 200

50 Hlow Compaction 1000 20 2-4 75-85 5.5%-7.5%

\$5-100
\$5-100
\$5-100
\$5-75
\$5-65
20-100
2-3

## TESTS OF AGGREGATE USED IN MIX DESIGN

Material Sieve & Passing	Coarse AGG.	Intermed, AGG.	Fine	Elend 25-20-55
1/2 3/8	100	100	100	100 80-85 <b>-</b> 90
1/4 No. 4	riagi lec.":	60	100	62-67-72 56-61-66
No. 8 No. 10	32-223-0-32-	•7	97 96	48-53-58 48-53-58
No. 16 No. 30			82 55	40-45-50 25-30-35
No. 40 No. 50	. Syste Boxes	period the Nume	45	20-25-30
No. 100 No. 200	n sad fire inc	en Aukini, 31-196.	37 18 10	5-10-15 \$-6-8

Using the above blend of aggregate and mixing with 6.4% blend of asphalt we obtained the following results:

Stability				2865
Flow				12
Voids total mix		To volice mi		4.0%
Voids filled with bitu	men	my All Bhath		78.4%
Index Stability retain	ned	n littlespor	of the 3	77.7%

The aggregate and asphalt shall be heated sufficiently to result in a mixture temperature of 300F to 325F when discharged from the pug mill. The aggregate shall not be heated more than 25F above the asphalt temperature.

Respectfully submitted,

L. B. Taylor (

President

## BOLLIN SCIENTIFIC LABORATORY

Soils and Materials Testing R.R. I. BOX 1006 SIERRA VISTA, ARIZONA 85635

EDGAR M. BOLLIN, Ph.D. Registered Geologist

16021 458-9123 16021 458-6052

Mr. Edward Drewry, Executive Director Arizona State Board of Technical Registration 1645 West Jefferson Phoenix, Arizona 85007

August 30, 1977

Subject: Mr. L.B. Taylor, President of the firm "Sierra Testing and Engineering, Inc."; Violation of A.R.S. 32-106.01-B, 32-121, 32-125-D, 32-141, 32-142-A, 32-145-1 & 32-145-2.

Dear Mr. Drewry:

I request that the State Board petition the Superior Court to issue an injunction forthwith in the name of the State Board against the above named person and firm under A.R.S. 32-106.01, Section A, to enjoin the practice of engineering. Under Section B of this statue I do so charge that Mr. L.B. Taylor and the firm of Sierra Testing and Engineering, Inc. engaged in the practice of engineering on the 26 day of May, 1977 in Cochise County without a registration and without being exempt pursuant to Section 32-144 from registration requirements.

Attached is a report of this date concerning a Soil Investigation of the Circle K Building, Highway 92 South, Sierra Vista, Arizona. This report was submitted to the Director of the Building Department of the City of Sierra Vista, Arizona, Mr. Richard J. Kirkman, following a request to the owner to submit a soil study for the purpose of determining if the bearing capacity of the soil was sufficient for the design of the footings.

In as much as this report is self incriminating and sufficient unto itself to justify the issuance of such an injunction, I request that the Executive Director act thereon immediately if within his powers.

At the very least, I would expect the State Board to act immediately on this matter of the injunction without the prolonged delay of a lengthy investigation.

On the remainder of the charges I would expect the Board to deliberate fully and recommend that the Cochise County Attorney investigate such charges as they recommend.

Mr. Edward Drewry Page II

Mr. Taylor has not complied with 32-121 as he does not have a registration. He has repeatedly violated 32-125-D in that for the major portion of the sealed reports submitted to the County and to the Building Department and Engineering Department of the City of Sierra Vista have been on reports prepared by Mr. Taylor.

The firm of Sierra Testing and Engineering, Inc. is in violation of 32-141 in that there is no registrant in full authority or responsible charge nor present in the office or even in the same county. The two registrants who have been used, Mr. David Niese, 8081, and Mr. Samuel Tucker, 2242, have never resided in Sierra Vista, never been in the office for an appreciable period of time, have not appeared on the firm stationary and have not been officers of the corporation. The Department of Economic Security files will show that the firm does not employ either of these registrants.

The firm and Mr. Taylor are in violation of 32-142-A in that the present construction inspection and testing of Lenzner Avenue for the City of Sierra Vista is in progress without the presence of a registrant.

Mr. Taylor is guilty of a misdemeanor under 32-145-1 in that he has practiced engineering as evidence by the report for the attached Circle K Building. Mr. William Bridgewater, former Engineering Inspector for Cochise County has informed me that numerous reports of Mr. Taylor's without a seal exist in the files of the Cochise County Engineer's Office. This may be readily confirmed by investigation of these files.

Mr. Taylor is in violation of 32-145-1 in that the name of the company itself implies that he is qualified to practice engineering and the attached copy of last years yellow pages from the Sierra Vista telephone directory proves that he is in violation of 32-145-2 also.

Respectfully submitted, Edgar M. Bollin

Eggun Mr Bollin



TESTING and ENGINEERING, INC.

26 May 1977

Circle K Corporation 4500 S. 40th St. Phoenix, Arizona

Re: Circle K Store on Highway 92 S., Sierra Vista, Arizona

Gentlemen:

On 25 May 1977 we conducted an onsite soil investigation to determine if and where fill materials had previously been dumped and the density of the footings. A copy of our tests is attached.

Based upon our tests we recommend the North, West and South footing be scarified to a depth of 6" and recompacted to at least 95% of maximum density (ASTM 698). The East footing should be dug to at least 3' below grade and either filled with concrete or recompacted in 6" lifts to 95% maximum density.

Remove all materials from the area north of the gas tanks which show chunks of asphalt. This material may be reused but will be replaced in lifts of 6" and compacted to 95% maximum density, under the supervision of Sierra Testing and Engineering, Inc.

Respectfully submitted.

L. B. Taylor President

LBT/dn

encl.

03915

## W 11481/2 19 Douglas - 364-3194 sectisement This Page) Cat Grooming . -458-5651 Sierra Vista -& Equipment-N & BATH CABINETS nstruction - Remodeling Delivery - Large Warehouse Formico - Cultured Marble VERY SATURDAY TIL 2 P.M. TIMATES - CALL COLLECT Stance ------623-5813 IUCTION ler Contractors-General -364-3524 ouglas----378-6781 erra Vista ADVENTISING CO LTD . \_\_458-6416 www Sierra Vista--entions TION OF GOVT EMPLOYEES MEETINGS-OF EVERY MONTH 7 P.M. S LETTER FOR LOCATION) FT. HUACHUCA uca -----458-5351 196 --364-4381 CAL #75 iness Mgr ng Distance-----LOCAL NO 479 --268-1449 t Bus Agent ---364-5871 rs of America 125 8 Douglas------364-4375 EXERS OF AMERICA LOC 6305 a - 1st Tues of Each Month enry F. Perez - Pres. Add. - P.O. Box Drawer 11 ----- 364-3941 Dental lory Ivania listance----- 889-5721 evertisement This Page) and Following Column) ems covering your sales elop new territories -

ictions, save travel time,

Lompany business office

ne Power. Call your

## Laboratories-Dental (Cont'd)

LEDINGHAM GORDON R

WHY PAY MORE!

DENTURE SERVICE

RELINES - REPAIRS

THREE LABORATORIES TO SERVE YOU STATEWIDE

#### Laboratories-Medical

COLUMBIA CLINICAL LABORATORY

SERVING THE HEALTH NEEDS
OF DOUGLAS & COCHISE COUNTY

COMPLETE MEDICAL LAB.

BY REFERRAL ONLY
HOURS 7 A.M.-7 P.M.
HOUSE CALLS ON REQUEST
CHUCK KALAF DIRECTOR

AFTER HOURS & EMERGENCIES ON BEPER

533 11 Douglas -----364-7161

On Doctor's Referral Only 1105 San Antonio Av Douglas----364-3487

SOUTHEASTERN MICROBIOLOGY SERVICE

. CLINICAL

INDUSTRIAL
 SANITATION

- CLINICAL SERVICE -DOCTORS REFERRAL ONLY WALTER J. CLIFFORD Registered Microbiologist

148 El Camino Real Sierra Vista----458-4906

Warren Medical Laboratory 108 Arizona Bisbee ------432-4891

## Laboratories-Research &

#### Development

Bollin Scientific Laboratory 1201 Fry Blvd Sierra Vista -----458-9123

## Laboratories-Testing

BOLLIN SCIENTIFIC LABORATORY

MATERIALS TESTING
SUBDIVISION APPROVALS
ASPHALT - CONCRETE
FOUNDATIONS - SOILS
EDGAR M. BOLLIN Ph.D.
REGISTERED GEOLOGIST

1201 Fry Blvd Sierra Vista-----458-9123

(Continued Following Column)

When you want quick repairs made, use the Yellow Pages Telephone Directory.

It has a convenient listing of thousands

of dealers and services.

## Laboratories-Testing (Cont'd)

ENGINEERS TESTING LABORATORIES

Since 1955
Soil/Foundation Engineering
Materials Testing/Inspection
Chemical Analysis/Pollution Testing
Nondestructive Testing/Inspection
Phoenix - Tucson - Flagstaff - Lake Havasu

423 5 Olsen Av Tucson Long Distance -----624-8894

Ferguson & Associates Engineers Inc

206 N 6 Sierra Vista------458-4379
If no answer call-----458-5321
SIERRA TESTING AND ENGINEERING INC

296A Fry Bivd SE Sierra Vista-----458-8260
(See Advertisement This Page)

Low family rates for Long Distance calls are in effect all day Sunday.





## KINO STARR LABORATORY



FOR THE DENTAL
PROFESSION ONLY
INSURED & DELIVERED TO YOUR OFFICE

Specializing In:

CROWN & BRIDGE • PORCELAIN TO METAL

PJCs • PRECISION PARTIALS

COMPLEX DESIGNS

CALL COLLECT
TUCSON LONG DISTANCE

889-5721

P.O. BOX 27207 TUCSON 85726 3301 E PENNSYLVANIA ST., TUCSON 85714



SIERRA VISTA, ARIZONA 85635

(602) 458-8260

In the matter of Mr. Taylor and Sierra Testing the Executive Director suggested it be investigated to determine if Mr. Taylor has an engineer on the payroll before a cease and desist letter be sent. It was moved by Mr. O'Bannon and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that the Board instruct the Executive Director to investigate the Sierra Testing and Engineering company and depending on the findings that he follow through with the Attorney General's office in the way that it is deemed necessary and notify Mr. Bollin that it is under consideration by the Board with a note about his advertisement in the telephone directory. Motion carried.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Mr. Sillyman reported that in the matter of E. A. Hollman whose registrations were suspended by the Board for a period of six months at the May 6, 1977, special Board meeting, has been appealed by Mr. Hollman to the Superior Court in Pima County. Motion to set date for oral arguments should be within 30 to 60 days. Tom Prose of the Attorney General's office is handling the appeal.

Letter to the Attorney General's office requesting an opinion on the "grandfather clause" as shown on Minute Page 3917, and his opinion, dated July 14, 1977, as shown on Minute Page 3918, 3919 and 3920, were presented. It indicated to the Board that they had no legal basis to regulate a "grandfather clause."

#### NEW BUSINESS

It was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. O'Bannon that the Landscape Architect member be authorized to attend the annual meeting of Council of Landscape Architectural Registration Boards in Minneapolis, Minnesota on September 22-23, 1977.

Mr. Gomez presented a letter to the Board, as shown on Minute Pages 3921 and 3922, for their consideration. It was moved by Mr. O'Bannon and seconded by Mr. Edson that No. 18 of the personal audience examination be eliminated and an appropriate substitute be made and reported at the December Board meeting. Motion carried.

Mr. Gomez explained that up until now we had accepted registration of land surveyors from other states without examination. Since Part 4 of the land surveying examination deals strictly with Arizona land laws, the land surveyors from other states will now be required to pass Part 4 before registration can be granted.

Mr. Earley reported he had contacted Jack Stanton of the Data Center regarding providing a list of registrants by disciplines. Mr. Stanton said the programming would cost approximately \$100 for such a printout. Discussion was held of the possibility of listing in the alphabetical section of the annual report, the registrants by discipline. It was moved by Mr. O'Bannon and seconded by Mr. Gomez that the Executive Director find out the exact cost of producing such a list. Motion carried.

Mr. Goodwin suggested that with the annual renewal notice a notice be sent to all registrants requiring those which are operating as a firm or corporation furnish this office with a copy of their letterhead showing the principals or officers of their firm or corporation. In discussion of this suggestion, it was noted that the compliance by some individuals would not be forthcoming.

Continued on Page 3923

December 20, 1976

Mr. Francis Fleming, Asst. Atty. Gen.
Attorney General's Office
State Capitol
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Dear Mr. Fleming:

At the meeting of the Board held December 10, 1976, it was resolved that an opinion be requested from the Attorney General whether or not the Board may use a "grandfather clause" as a requirement for registration for a limited time. The grandfather clause would be applied only to new disciplines and would be replaced by the normal examination procedure when the time period expires.

Sincerely,

Edward G. Drewry
Executive Director

EGD/Js



DEPARTMENT OF LAW

# Attorney General STATE CAPITOL

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

July 14, 1977

BRUCE E. BABBITT ATTORNEY GENERAL

Mr. Edward G. Drewry
Executive Director
State Board of Technical Registration
1645 West Jefferson
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Re: 77-144 (R76-501)

Dear Mr. Drewry:

This letter is in response to your request for an opinion from this office regarding the following question:

When the Board of Technical Registration initially recognizes a branch of engineering, may a "grandfather clause" be utilized by the Board to enable all applicants to receive a Certificate of Registration in that branch for a limited period of time until qualification standards and an examination procedure can be established?

A.R.S. § 32-106.B requires the Board to decide which branches of engineering shall be recognized for purposes of the technical registration laws. Once the Board recognizes a particular branch of engineering Title 32, Chapter 1, Article 2, Arizona Revised Statutes governs with respect to the requirements for registration in that branch. The general qualifications for an applicant for registration as an engineer in Arizona are that he or she:

. . . shall be of good moral character and repute, and shall have engaged actively for at least eight years in . . . engineering . . . work of a character satisfactory to the board, but each year . . . of study satisfactorily completed in an . . . engineering school approved by the board up to a maximum of five years may be considered equivalent to one year of active engagement. (Emphasis added.)

A.R.S. § 32-122.A.

Mr. Edward G. Drewry July 14, 1977 Page Two

The applicant desiring to practice engineering must make application for registration on a form prescribed by the board, and

A. . . . [i]f the evidence submitted satisfies the board that the applicant is fully qualified to practice the profession for which registration is asked, it shall give him a certificate of registration . . .

B. If in the judgment of the board the applicant has not furnished satisfactory evidence of qualifications for registration, it may require additional data, or may require the applicant to submit to an oral or written examination.

#### A.R.S. § 32-123.A and B.

Unless an applicant can show that he has completed the requisite number of years of active engagement in the practice of engineering of a nature satisfying the board that he is fully qualified, then he is not eligible to receive a Certificate of Registration.

A "grandfather clause" is an exception to a regulatory scheme and is

generally found where occupations not formerly regulated by statute are brought under legislative control. They permit those who have been in continuous practice in the particular occupation for a prescribed period immediately preceding the effective date of the act to receive a license.

State Board of Dispensing Opticians v. Schwab, 93 Ariz. 328, 329, 380 P.2d 784, 785 (1963)

A review of the state laws governing registration of engineers reveals no such exception. When the Legislature fails to make an exception, it is presumed that no exception is intended. Bushnell v. Superior Court of Maricopa County, 102 Ariz. 309, 428 P.2d 987 (1967). Further, the authority of an

Mr. Edward G. Drewry July 14, 1977 Page Three

administrative agency is limited by statute, and the agency may not exceed those limits. Kendall v. Malcolm, 98 Ariz. 329, 404 P.2d 414 (1965). An attempt by an administrative agency to create a rule or regulation inconsistent with its statutory grant would be considered a usurpation of the constitutional powers vested in the legislative body. Swift & Co. v. State Tax Comm., 105 Ariz. 226, 462 P.2d 775 (1969).

The Board has been given discretion to determine what constitutes engineering work of a character satisfactory to meet the eight year requirement of active engagement in the profession. However, it has no statutory authority to excuse that eight year work requirement or to except any applicant from necessary qualifications other than as provided in Title 32, Ch. 1, Arizona Revised Statutes. Furthermore, there is no statutory authority whatsoever which would allow the issuance of a Certificate of Registration "for a limited time."

Accordingly, it is the opinion of this office that the Board of Technical Registration may not utilize a "grandfather clause" to enable applicants to receive a Certificate of Registration in a designated branch of engineering without first being furnished evidence that satisfies the Board that the applicant has actively engaged in at least eight years of engineering work of a satisfactory character pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-122.

Sincerely,

BRUCE E. BABBITT Attorney General

MICHAEL W. SILLYMAN Assistant Attorney General

MWS: irs



Rod J. Gomez, P.E. Arthur H. Martin, P.E. Ramon Elias, P.E. Michael E. Harris, P.E.

September 9, 1977

State Board of Technical Registration 1645 West Jefferson Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Re: Personal Audience Examination Validity of Question #18

#### Gentlemen:

I have been a member of this board and the Engineers Evaluation Committee for the past two years. During this time I have had the occassion to evaluate the results of the written Personal Audience Examination which is administered to every applicant to determine their knowledge of the Code, Rules and By-Laws of the State Board of Technical Registration. I believe that my own and other board members evaluation is primarily based on the applicants general comments and personal questions regarding his or the boards' interpretation of Code.

I might further relate, that most applicants generally hold a lot of respect for the board members and consequently when in doubt regarding the interpretation of any rules of conduct, they will most generally accept the board members own interpretation of the rules. I therefore feel it is certainly important that the board members interpretations, of the most questionable or vague rules, be correct and consistent with the intent of the law. In this regard I have the following comments and observations regarding the present examination which I wish to share with you as follows:

(1) Apparently the majority of the applicants, especially the more experienced out of state registrants have complemented the board and this state for requiring and conducting the personal audience and Code Examination. They seem impressed with our registration procedure because of its businesslike and professional attitudes. Evidently our present procedures impart a sense of conscientious responsibility from the board and the staff. Obviously, the procedure should be continued as long as possible.

State Board of Technical Registration -2-Personal Audience Examination Validity of Question

- (2) The written examination given to every applicant during their personal audience is referred to as the Personal Audience Examination, Series 7. The examination has apparently never been given an official title or name for legal reference purposes. In as much as the examination is serving such a worth while purpose and is so effective in encouraging the applicants to learn the Code, I suggest that this board provide an official name for the examination for legal and procedural reference purposes. For example, "Code and Rules Examination".
- (3) There is one question (reference #18) in the present examination, regarding the relative practice of Structural and Civil Engineering which is confusing, ambiguous and inapplicable to this examination. Consequently, the question is misunderstood and missed by most applicants. First of all the question has nothing to do about the Code, Rules or By-Laws and a satisfactory interpretation of the question cannot be found in the printed matter normally submitted for review by the applicants.

In my opinion, the question does not belong in the examination and should be removed. I believe the interpretation of this question by some previous board members was ambiguous and inconsistant with the provision of ARS 32-143. For example, applicants are usually told that Structural Engineers cannot practice Civil Engineering, which is true and also applicable to any other engineering disciplines. However, applicants are also told that Civil Engineers can practice Structural Engineering which I believe is erroneous. Structural Engineers are required to take an additional 8 hours of examination in earthquake and special structural analysis to prove his competency in that field. I therefore submit that the law did not intend for the Civil Engineer to be given the special distinction of being qualified to practice structural engineering or any other discipline presently recognized by other registration, otherwise there would be no need for the other registrations.

In consideration of the above referenced comments, I request that the board consider that question #18 be eliminated from the examination and a more appropriate question regarding the Code, Rules and By-Laws, be substituted in its place. The boards' Evaluation and Examination Committee could compose and submit suggested substitute question for the boards approval.

The boards' attention to this matter and applicable action should be considered at their earliest convenience.

Respectfully Submitted,

Rod J. Gomez, P.E.

Chairman, Engineering Evaluation

and Examination Committee

RJG:ht

cc: All Board Members

#### NEW BUSINESS - Continued

Mr. Drewry explained that in the past we have sent out a newsletter with previous distributions regarding certain aspects of the Code and might do the same in this instance. It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Trimble that a newsletter be sent with the renewal notices referring to the proper Code where the name of the registrant should be on the letterhead. Motion carried.

The following meeting dates were approved:

Engineering Examination Committee (to review exams to be given on November 5 & 6, 1977) - October 21, 1977

Architectural and Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee - November 9 & 10, 1977

Engineering Evaluation Committee - November 15, 16, 17 & 18, 1977

Regular Board meeting in Phoenix - December 2, 1977

The Architectural Professional Examination will be held December 12 and 13, 1977, in Phoenix at the Civic Plaza and in Tucson at the Holidy Inn East.

#### ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by Mr. 0'Bannon and seconded by Mr. Gomez that the meeting be adjourned at 2:40 P.M. Motion carried.

much he had not been this to areas he form the Figh

CHAIRMAN

SECRETARY

#### MINUTES OF THE STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

DECEMBER 2, 1977

The meeting of the State Board of Technical Registration was called to order by Dr. R. A. Jimenez, Chairman, in Room 113, 1645 W. Jefferson, Phoenix, Arizona, Friday, December 2, 1977, at 9:10 A.M.

ABSENT

PRESENT
R. A. Jimenez, Chairman
Sam Caruso
F. Mark Edson, Secretary
Wayne O. Earley
Rod. J. Gomez
Kemper Goodwin
Charles E. O'Bannon
Patrick H. Porcarello
John B. Trimble
Michael W. Sillyman, Asst. Atty. Gen.

It was moved by Mr. Porcarello and seconded by Mr. Gomez that the minutes of the regular meeting of the Board on September 9, 1977, be approved as presented. Motion carried.

#### REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

No report.

#### REPORT OF THE RULES & BY-LAWS COMMITTEE

No report.

#### REPORT OF THE LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

The committee had no report but Mr. Goodwin inquired as to what really is the function of the committee. When he had served on the Board previously, the committee worked with the professions and tried to get certain changes made in the registration law. The Chairman stated that the function of the Board was to implement the law but suggested that the committee itself define their rule as to what direction their committee should take.

#### REPORT OF THE EVALUATION & EXAMINATION COMMITTEES

Letter from Joseph T. Colosa, architectural applicant #77-528, requesting permission to address the full Board was presented and Mr. Colosa appeared before the Board, explaining his background in architectural work. His reason for appearing was to be permitted to take the Professional examination in December even though he had not been able to appear before the Architectural Evaluation Committee in November. The reason he had been deleted from the schedule of applicants for their meeting was one of his references had not responded, Mr. Balzic, but who did send a telegram on November 10, 1977 since the first questinnaire had not been received by the office. A second questionnaire was received while the meeting was in progress, and Mr. Edson recommended that the Architectural Evaluation Committee meet during the lunch hour to review Mr. Colosa's file since this reference was the only thing that might preclude his taking the examination.

#### REPORT OF THE EVALUATION & EXAMINATION COMMITTEES - Cont'd.

When the Board reconvened after lunch, it was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that Mr. Colosa be admitted to take the Professional examination in December and the Qualifying Examination in June. Motion carried.

Mr. Paul Cinchurak requested to appear before the Board regarding his architectural application #77-465. The architectural committee had recommended his application be denied for lack of experience. Mr. Chinchurak appeared before the Board and quoted the law regarding architectural practice and qualifications of an applicant. He explained he had worked for the City of Phoenix for 17 years under the direction of Andrew Tang. Mr. Edson explained the committee's position of this case and the committee felt the character of experience as shown on his application was unacceptable as to what they would like to see and additional experience was necessary. Mr. Chinchurak, to explain the quality of his work, passed out for the Board's review the plans he had prepared and some contract documents. He read several letters from architects and others commending him on his work performed on various projects. Mr. Caruso inquired of the architect committee how they arrived at evaluating his application where he needed three more years of experience. Mr. Goodwin stated it was the quality of his work not the 17 years of time. The majority of the work he had done was small warehouses and remodeling, which was simply not well-rounded experience. If he kept on checking plans and repeating the experience he already had, the quality of his experience would not be improved. Mr. Chinchurak, in response to Mr. Gomez, told them his title was Architectural Technician 4. He had started out as a 2 and had gone as high as he could in this position. Mr. Goodwin noted that he had applied for Civil Engineering registration in 1965 and was an engineering aide for the City at that time, where he was doing work on roads, sewers, etc. and not designing building projects. It was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that this discussion be closed and the matter tabled until the full report of the Architectural Evaluation Committee. Motion carried.

A petition for reconsideration for Gregory James Robinson, architectural applicant #77-484, was presented and Mr. Robinson together with his attorney, Scott Schlievert, appeared before the Board. Mr. Robinson requested from the Architectural Evaluation Committee those specific reasons for which his application was being denied to allow him to take the professional examination. The principle concern of the Architectural Evaluation Committee was Mr. Robinson's arrangement in his work. He lives in Tucson, works in Tucson, but works for a registered Architect who resides in San Diego, and their interpreation is he is not working under the direct supervision of the registrant. Mr. Robinson said that Mr. Nagle, his employer, did not have an office in Tucson but he was working on two projects for Mr. Nagle in the Tucson area as a designer to finish out the contracts, but does not solicit contracts for him. Mr. Goodwin stated he is doing production in Arizona without an office, and Mr. Robinson is not under his direct supervision since he does not have daily consultation. When the committee considers the total scope of the work an architect is required to have such as preparing specifications, producing drawings and design, you cannot get that on your own, but under the experience of a man who is licensed. He should be working in his office in San Diego. Mr. Robinson's attorney inquired since from the rules he could not determine what requirements have to be made if there is a place which contains the decisions of the Board and was informed the minutes of the Board are public record. Since the previous Board had denied Mr. Robinson and said he needed one more year at that time, how much credit would he receive

#### REPORT OF THE EVALUATION & EXAMINATION COMMITTEES - Cont'd.

from his present employment for the past year. It was indicated possibly four months when working with the Horizon Corporation. It was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that the hearing be closed and tabled until the full report of the Architectural Evaluation Committee. Motion carried.

Mr. F. L. Miller, architectural applicant #77-473, appeared before the Board, and Mr. Edson explained that the recommendation of the committee on Mr. Miller was that he was to obtain one more year of qualifying experience. Mr. Miller stated he could only remember two things which the committee said he needed for qualifying experience and they were client relationship and cost analysis. He stated he would like the Board to consider, in all sincereity, he does have enough time and enough experience. It was explained that the committee reports are not final but it is Board action that decides on an application. He explained that when at St. Johns he was in charge of maintenance on the plumbing and electrical work. He did alot of the calculations giving him the background for electrical and mechanical experience. He stated that cost analysis is a vague term but it is when you try to keep within the budget with the going prices of construction. Mr. Edson inquired if he had other work experience which was not in his application since his was a marginal case. He stated he worked for the County where he received structural experience and gained thorough knowledge of the Code. He also stated he had a desire to move up with registration in the government. Mr. Goodwin explained that it is not the same architectural work as development of design, working drawings, cost estimates, etc. and does not have enough time. Mr. Edson explained that he showed concurrent time with the University during the same period as he was employed and was given credit of five years for his schooling and did allow for his summer work. It was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that the hearing be closed and tabled until the report of the Architectural Evaluation Committee. Motion carried.

Mr. Edson presented the Architectural Evaluation Committee meeting report of November 9 and 10, 1977, as shown on Minute Pages 3927, 3928, 3929, 3930 and 3931. It was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. Goodwin that this report of the Architectural Evaluation Committee meeting be accepted and implemented by the Board. Motion carried.

After the acceptance of the Committee report, Dr. Jimenez advised those present who had appealed their applications, they had the right to appeal the action of the Board and that copies of the minutes are available for reproduction costs.

Mr. Earley presented the Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee meeting report of November 10, 1977, as shown on Minute Page 3932. It was moved by Mr. Earley and seconded by Mr. Goodwin that the report of the Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee meeting be accepted and implemented by the Board. Motion carried.

Mr. Meredith L. Williams, Mechanical Engineering applicant #77-509, appeared before the Board and stated he was told by the Engineering Evaluation Committee he would have to take Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4 of the written examination. He took a 16-hour examination in Michigan, but did not pass Part 2 of their three-part examination. He then moved to Wisconsin where he submitted his record and on the basis of his education and experience was granted a license in 1965 as a mechanical engineer. He gave his background both professionally and outside activities of his profession. It was moved by Dr. O'Bannon and seconded by Mr. Gomez that Mr. Williams' appeal be denied and reaffirm the recommendations of the Evaluation Committee. Motion carried.

Continued on Page 3933

DATE: November 23, 1977

TO:

State Board of Technical Registration

FROM:

Architectural Evaluation Committee

SUBJECT: Evaluation of Applicants

The Architectural Evaluation Committee met on November 9 and 10, 1977, in Phoenix, Arizona, with the following members present:

F. Mark Edson Kemper Goodwin

There being a quorum present at all times the committee reviewed the following applicants and make to the Board the recommendations shown regarding their professional registration in Arizona:

1. The following applicants having appeared before the committee and satisfied the committee they are fully qualified, including the treatise on seismic forces, to receive architectural registration in Arizona under A.R.S. 32-123.A and A.R.S. 32-126, are hereby recommended for registration:

Bailey, Robert Griffith, Jr.	77-420	Jones, Merrill Aubrey	77-437
Cooper, Clifford A.	77-314	Kerr, Roger Eliot	77-459
DeRevere, Dell Haas	77-516	Kessler, Stuart Alan	77-83
Duff, Edwin Ramond	77-446	Meek, Harold L.	77-515
Fisher, A. Robert	77-494	Schlientz, Randall Harry	77-351
Gilmour, James Lowell	77-456	Seitz, George Whittier	77-365
Janssen, Herman Phillip	77-97	Walters, Stanley Irving	77-472

II. The following applicants having appeared before the committee, while completing their personal audience interview, need demonstration of additional evidence of their proficiency, A.R.S. 32-123.B, and it is recommended to the Board that these indivdiuals be held for the written examinations indicated:

#### Applicants held for the December, 1977 and June, 1978, examinations:

Abell, James Logan	77-507	Professional
	77-507	
Al-Alawi, Kamal	77-525	Full Qual. & Professional
Balmer, Wesley Robert	77-205	E,F of Qual. & Professional
Beier, Terry Grant	77-470	Professional
Bern, Ross Hilton	77-536	Professional
Cosentino, Anthony P.	77-339	Professional
Drakulich, Donald M.	77-539	Professional
Hodges, Thomas Ronald	77-483	E,F of Qual. & Professional
Hutchison, Randall Lee	77-498	E,F of Qual. & Professional
Jarchow, John Frederich	77-362	E,F of Qual.
Jensvold, Daniel Martin	77-413	Professional
Jones, David Lee	77-485	Professional
Kimball, Bruce W.	77-474	Professional
Kuzmik, Michael Lee	77-512	Professional
Ladd, Stephen Gary	77-496	E,F of Qual. & Professional
Lesnick, Christopher Michael	77-464	E,F of Qual. & Professional
Littler, Norman Herbert, Jr.	77-444	Professional

II. Continued.....

MacVittie, Michael Martin, Thomas Charles, II Nolan, James Arthur Patterson, Jon Barry Rand, Guilford Allen Rehfeldt, Richard Philip Roberts, James Anson Schick, Richard Henry	77-457 77-471 77-514 77-430 77-497 77-463 77-495 77-487	Professional Professional E,F of Qual. & Professional E,F of Qual. & Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional
Schmitt, David Leo Sexton, Douglas Robert	77-540 77-493	E,F of Qual. & Professional E,F of Qual. & Professional
Smales, John Michael Solberg, Richard Craig	77-469 77-486	Professional Professional
Stevens, Michael Evans	77-488	Professional
Territo, Vincent M.	77-475	Professional
Thompson, Stephen John	77-455	Professional
Thomson, David Fisher Unti, Harvey George	77-450 77-394	Professional Professional
Watkins, David Walter	77-429	Professional
Ziehn, William Charles	77-275	E,F of Qual. & Professional

III. The following applicants appeared before the committee and the evaluation of their applications determined that they should be denied for lack of experience of a character satisfactory to the Board under A.R.S. 32-123.A with the refund as shown:

Brooks, John L.	77-535	\$10.00
Chinchurak, Paul	77-465	\$10.00
Christensen, Danny Dale	77-508	\$10.00
Drake, Elizabeth Ann	77-529	\$10.00
Fernandes, Joseph Ferreira	77-504	\$10.00
Lockhart, Brian	77-524	\$10.00
Mazziotti, Vincent Gregory	77-538	\$10.00
Miller, Fidelis Leonard	77-473	\$10.00
Palmeri, Richard P.	77-513	\$10.00
Robinson, Gregory James	77-484	\$10.00
Weiss, Peter M.	77-451	\$10.00

IV. The following applicants having been advised twice or more they were scheduled to appear before the committee and for their failure to do so, the committee recommends they be denied for failure to complete the requirements of the Board within a reasonable length of time:

Eyerman, Thomas Jude	77-202
Kennon, Paul Atherton, Jr.	77-175
Morris, Randy J.	77-311
Nakamura, Clarence I.	77-279
Torok, Tamas Peter	77-184

V. The committee recommends the following applicant be denied for failure to submit his treatise on seismic forces within a reasonable length of time:

Colton, Bernard William

VI. The Architectural Examination Committee reviewed the results of the Architectural Theory and Construction Theory and Practice of the qualifying examination given in June, 1977. The committee recommends that the results as shown on the pages following this report be certified.

The committee recommends to the Board that Recommendations I through VI of this report be accepted and implemented.

Respectfully submitted,

F. Mark Edson, Chairman

A	RCHITECTS	Arch.Theory	Const.Theory & Practice	03930
No.	Name	A	B,C,D	Passed
71-414	Biddle, Warren R.	Р	F	Α
72-103	Coracides, Basilio B.	P	F	
74-499	Duncan, Clarence D.	F	F	
77-167	Famous, George W.	F	Р	B,C,D
75-331	Fuller, Newell Judd	F	F	
75-479	Hinkle, Clyde Wallace	F	Р	
*76-105	Hunter, Wallace Lee	P	Р	Α
71-318	Kopecek, Josef Robert	P	Р	B,C,D
76-116	Lewis, Robert A., Jr.	P	Р	A,B,C,D
*75 <b>-</b> 154	Linderoth, Terry R.	P	Р	Α
74-538	Lucero, Edward H.	F	F	
75-358	Robison, Robert C.	F	Р	
±76-312	Searer, Larry E.	P	Р	A,B,C,D
77-163	Watson, James Richard	P	Р	A,B,C,D
*75-363	Williams Harold P.	P	P	B,C,D
7.41	RCHITECTS-IN-TRAINING			
<u></u>	Abell lames Logan	р	. 8 (	ARCD
*77-9	Abell, James Logan	P	P	A,B,C,D
77-21	Balbuena, D. Oscar R.	F	F	
77-21 77-7	Balbuena, D. Oscar R. Bellamy, Karen	F P		A,B,C,D
77-21 77-7 *77-16	Balbuena, D. Oscar R. Bellamy, Karen Briggs, Ronald Lee	F	F P P	
77-21 77-7 *77-16 77-19	Balbuena, D. Oscar R. Bellamy, Karen Briggs, Ronald Lee Comon, Gilbert A., Jr.	F P P	F P P	A,B,C,D A,B,C,D
77-21 77-7 *77-16 77-19 77-14	Balbuena, D. Oscar R. Bellamy, Karen Briggs, Ronald Lee Comon, Gilbert A., Jr. Cox, Val M.	F P F P	F P P F	A,B,C,D A,B,C,D
77-21 77-7 *77-16 77-19 77-14 77-3	Balbuena, D. Oscar R. Bellamy, Karen Briggs, Ronald Lee Comon, Gilbert A., Jr. Cox, Val M. Dawson, Bruce L.	F P P F P	F P F P	A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D
77-21 77-7 *77-16 77-19 77-14 77-3 *76-3	Balbuena, D. Oscar R. Bellamy, Karen Briggs, Ronald Lee Comon, Gilbert A., Jr. Cox, Val M. Dawson, Bruce L. Fife, Dana Richard	F P F P P	F P F P P	A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D
77-21 77-7 *77-16 77-19 77-14 77-3 *76-3 *75-102	Balbuena, D. Oscar R. Bellamy, Karen Briggs, Ronald Lee Comon, Gilbert A., Jr. Cox, Val M. Dawson, Bruce L. Fife, Dana Richard Hankins, James R., Jr.	F P P F P P	F P P F P P	A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A
77-21 77-7 *77-16 77-19 77-14 77-3 *76-3 *75-102	Balbuena, D. Oscar R. Bellamy, Karen Briggs, Ronald Lee Comon, Gilbert A., Jr. Cox, Val M. Dawson, Bruce L. Fife, Dana Richard Hankins, James R., Jr. Higgins, Joseph H.	F P P P P	F P P P P P	A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A B,C,D A,B,C,D
77-21 77-7 *77-16 77-19 77-14 77-3 *76-3 *75-102 77-15 77-12	Balbuena, D. Oscar R. Bellamy, Karen Briggs, Ronald Lee Comon, Gilbert A., Jr. Cox, Val M. Dawson, Bruce L. Fife, Dana Richard Hankins, James R., Jr. Higgins, Joseph H. Hopkins, William D.	F P P P P P	F P F P P P P	A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A B,C,D A,B,C,D
77-21 77-7 *77-16 77-19 77-14 77-3 *76-3 *75-102 77-15 77-12 *77-8	Balbuena, D. Oscar R. Bellamy, Karen Briggs, Ronald Lee Comon, Gilbert A., Jr. Cox, Val M. Dawson, Bruce L. Fife, Dana Richard Hankins, James R., Jr. Higgins, Joseph H. Hopkins, William D. Jenkins, Dale E.	F P P P P P P	F P P P P P P P	A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D
77-21 77-7 *77-16 77-19 77-14 77-3 *76-3 *75-102 77-15 77-12 *77-8 77-1	Balbuena, D. Oscar R. Bellamy, Karen Briggs, Ronald Lee Comon, Gilbert A., Jr. Cox, Val M. Dawson, Bruce L. Fife, Dana Richard Hankins, James R., Jr. Higgins, Joseph H. Hopkins, William D. Jenkins, Dale E. King, Myson Brian	F P P P P P	F P P P P P P F	A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A B,C,D A,B,C,D
77-21 77-7 *77-16 77-19 77-14 77-3 *76-3 *75-102 77-15 77-12 *77-8 77-1 75-109	Balbuena, D. Oscar R. Bellamy, Karen Briggs, Ronald Lee Comon, Gilbert A., Jr. Cox, Val M. Dawson, Bruce L. Fife, Dana Richard Hankins, James R., Jr. Higgins, Joseph H. Hopkins, William D. Jenkins, Dale E. King, Myson Brian Lindquist, Bruce L.	F P F P P P P P	F P F P P P F F F	A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D
77-21 77-7 *77-16 77-19 77-14 77-3 *76-3 *75-102 77-15 77-12 *77-8 77-1 75-109 77-11	Balbuena, D. Oscar R. Bellamy, Karen Briggs, Ronald Lee Comon, Gilbert A., Jr. Cox, Val M. Dawson, Bruce L. Fife, Dana Richard Hankins, James R., Jr. Higgins, Joseph H. Hopkins, William D. Jenkins, Dale E. King, Myson Brian Lindquist, Bruce L. Mansur, Charles T.	F P P P P P P P	F P F P P P P F F F	A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A
77-21 77-7 *77-16 77-19 77-14 77-3 *76-3 *75-102 77-15 77-12 *77-8 77-1 75-109	Balbuena, D. Oscar R. Bellamy, Karen Briggs, Ronald Lee Comon, Gilbert A., Jr. Cox, Val M. Dawson, Bruce L. Fife, Dana Richard Hankins, James R., Jr. Higgins, Joseph H. Hopkins, William D. Jenkins, Dale E. King, Myson Brian Lindquist, Bruce L.	F P F P P P P P	F P F P P P F F F	A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D A,B,C,D

H

AR	CHITECTS-IN-TRAINING	Arch.Theory	Const.Theory & Practice	69391
No.	Name	Arcii. Heory	B,C,D	Passed
77-6	Tilton, Webster, III	P	F	А
76-5	Unti, Harvey G.	P	F	
±76-4	Territo, Vincent M.	P	P	A
77-20	Weiss, Peter M.	P	F	Α
77-18	Wong, Rodin Y.	F	F	

the field of the contract of the field the contract of the series of the fellowing

The foliowing applicant having appeared to fore the character, while combatted to personal sufficient interesting, seeds communicated to admit toward available, and it is a proposed to the forest available.

and a deal votes a mental for the written extellections redigerate

The Candidage Architectural Leading for Doubles reviewed the Your III

Life implementation associated open deep fixed buffield and property

that the Doubles recommends that many line fulfield be ground

the later for at the Becomber Sparit marting, and the Santonber 9, 1972.

The recommendation of the board that Assessmentations I and it are given

fully word med.

DATE: November 23, 1977

TO: State Board of Technical Registration

FROM: Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee

SUBJECT: Evaluation of Applicants

The Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee met on November 10, 1977, in Phoenix, Arizona, with the following members present:

> Wayne O. Earley F. Mark Edson Kemper Goodwin

There being a quorum present at all times the committee reviewed the following applicant and makes to the Board the recommendation shown regarding his professional registration in Arizona:

1. The following applicant having appeared before the committee, while completing the personal audience interview, needs demonstration of additional evidence of his proficiency, A.R.S. 32-123.B, and it is recommended to the Board that this individual be held for the written examinations indicated:

Applicant held for the June, 1978, examinations:

Coffeen, Jeffrey Hale 77-452 Parts A,B,C,D

II. The Landscape Architectural Examination Committee reviewed the June, 1977, Design Implementation examination of Mary Rose Duffield and accepted it as passing. The committee recommends that Mary Rose Duffield be granted registration at the December Board meeting, and the September 9, 1977, Minutes of the Board, Page 3890, be amended accordingly.

The committee recommends to the Board that Recommendations I and II of this report be accepted and implemented.

Respectfully submitted,

Wayne O. Earley, Chairman

#### REPORT OF THE EVALUATION & EXAMINATION COMMITTEES - Cont'd.

It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that in view of Mr. Williams' experience he be held for only Parts 3 and 4 of the examination. Motion carried.

Mr. Louis D. Rainery, Civil Engineer applicant, requested registration without examination, and appeared before the Board. He explained he was registered in Alaska by education and experience as they did not require a written examination at that time. He has worked as a consultant for over 20 years on million dollar projects, but has moved to Arizona and is familiar with the climate. It was moved by Mr. Caruso and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that he be held for Parts 3 and 4 of the written examination as recommended by the committee. Motion carried.

Mr. Howard L. Woolsoncroft, Mechanical Engineer applicant, appeared before the Board, and Mr. Trimble excused himself from participation in this hearing as Mr. Woolsoncroft is his employee. The Engineering Evaluation Committee recommended he be held for Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4 of the written examination and Mr. Woolsoncroft appealed this decision as he was mislead that he would be required to take the EIT exam. When he applied several years ago he was held for only for Parts 3 and 4 and Parts 1 and 2 were waived on the basis of his degree. He felt in the interest of fairness and the EIT is fairly easy when you take it seven years ago right after graduation, the EIT should be again waived. He understood that if he did not take the EIT in Arizona and should move to another state, they might require his taking the EIT for registration there, and he was intending to stay in Arizona but if he did move to another state, he realized it was his responsibility to take the test. It was moved by Dr. O'Bannon and seconded by Mr. Caruso that the recommendation of the Engineering Evaluation Committee be accepted, holding him for all four parts. Motion carried.

The Engineering Evaluation Committee requested full Board review of Mr. George M. Feltovic's civil engineering application, #77-447, because in evaluating his experience he was lacking experience of a quality acceptable to the Board. Mr. Caruso explained that this was his second application and the previous Board had denied it for lack of experience, indicating he needed 1-2/3 year of experience before reapplying. Mr. Feltovic is presently working for a developer and his past experience included electrical and mechanical work even though he is applying for civil registration. After considerable discussion as to how much time he needs before reapplying, it was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Gomez the applicant is not qualified to take the examination because of lack of experience of a character satisfactory to the Board. Motion carried.

A letter from Dr. Charles M. Anderson, geological applicant #77-410, requesting the Board's consideration of granting him geological registration without examination, was presented. It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that his appeal be denied and he be held for Parts 3 and 4 of the written examination. Motion carried.

#### REPORT OF THE EVALUATION & EXAMINATION COMMITTEES -Cont'd.

Letter from Louis C. Lagomarsino, regarding the new policy of holding applicants from other states for Part 4 of the land surveying examination, as shown on Minute Page 3935 and 3936, was presented. The Chairman stated also the policy had been questioned because Civil Engineers from other states are not required to take Part 4 of the land surveying exam but do surveying in this state. It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Caruso that the Engineering Evaluation and Examination Committee review this requirement in the light of these requests and report back to the Board. Motion carried.

Mr. Gomez presented the Engineering Evaluation Committee meeting report of November 16, 17, and 18, 1977, as shown on Minute Pages 3937, 3938 and 3939. It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Caruso that the report of the Engineering Evaluation Committee, as amended, be accepted and implemented by the Board. Motion carried.

It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Goodwin that the following applicants are to be denied for failure to comply with the Examination Rules, R4-30-13, E. Motion carried.

Ahmed, Najmuddin	75-403	Electrical Engineer	
Bafaro, Victor V.	77-126	Engineer-in-Training	
Baker, Mark R.	77-140	Engineer-in-Training	
Barriga, Robert, Jr.	77-35	Engineer-in-Training	
Bawden, Thomas D.	77-21	Engineer-in-Training	
Belzner, Bartholomew P.	77-86	Engineer-in-Training	
Bishop, Robert Grandon	75-88	Engineer-in-Training	
Bossard, Gerald Wayne	77-263	Metallurgical Engineer	
Cabrera-Garcia, Ramon Daniel	77-114	Engineer-in-Training	
Cejka, John Robert	76-321	Electrical Engineer	
Clelland, Ian Stuart	77-158	Mining Engineer	
Craven, William T., Jr.	77-124	Engineer-in-Training	
Currie, Jack Burton	73-749	Mechanical Engineer	
Davis, Robert Henry	77-6	Mechanical Engineer	
Durschmidt, Don F.	77-66	Engineer-in-Training	
Evanston, Robert E.	77-27	Engineer-in-Training	
Garrison, Raymond Leo, Jr.	77-97	Engineer-in-Training	
Guthrie, Theodore Truman	74-328	Electrical Engineer	
Hahman, William Richard, Sr.	77-89	Geologist	
Hall, DAvid Bruce	74-466	Land Surveyor	
Herfkens, Francis R.	75-569	Civil Engineer	
Hill, Joe Doyce	77-81	Mechanical Engineer	
Hoover, Richard Lee	75-474	Civil Engineer	
Klooz, Paul Charles	76-250	Mechanical Engineer	
Landry, John Joseph	73-760	Geologist	
Langemeier, William Sherman	77-50	Engineer-in-Training	
Lee, Maurice Leon	76-5	Land Surveyor	
Lisac, James M.	77-123	Engineer-in-Training	
McCollum, Ross Richard	77-116	Engineer-in-Training	
McGlamery, William R., Jr.	77-29	Engineer-in-Training	
McKay, Lafayette Camp	76-503	Electrical Engineer	
Mastin, Richard A.	73-11	Engineer-in-Training	
Howerton, Floyd R.	77-28	Engineer-in-Training	
		tinued on Page 3940	
	CON	cilided oil rage 3340	





### HANNESSEN & GIRAND CONSULTING ENGINEERS INC.

November 28, 1977

6611 NORTH BLACK CANYON HIGHWAY

PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85015

TELEPHONE (602) 242-3420

State Board of Technical Registration 1645 W. Jefferson Phoenix, AZ 85007

#### Gentlemen:

It has been brought to my attention that the Arizona State Board of Technical Registration has established a rule under which an applicant for registration as Land Surveyor must take Part Four of the examination, regardless of whether or not he has previously passed a written examination in another state.

I wish to express my concern and opposition to such a policy for the following reasons:

- Most states have strict requirements for Land Surveyor registration. Indeed, in most urban states (New York, New Jersey, and California, for example) they exceed the requirements of Arizona. If an individual has demonstrated his competence through prior examination and certification in a state with equal or higher standards, then he should be granted Arizona registration.
- Requiring an applicant to take Part Four places an unreasonable time constraint upon him. With the necessity of filing for the examination, the infrequency of the examination itself, and the period of time for grading and informing the applicant that he has passed, a period of nine to twelve months can elapse. This has the effect of depriving the applicant of an opportunity to earn a livelihood for up to one year.

Other states alleviate this delay by issuing a temporary license to practice. I am informed that your board has discontinued this in Arizona.

LOUIS C. LAGOMARSINO

ROBERT L. NORTON

ROBERT W. JOHNSON

O. CLAY BOLING WAYNE P. REILEY ROBERT N. BUCHANAN

JON GIRAND

State Board of Technical Registration November 28, 1977

- 3. The code of the State Board (32-101) states that the purpose of the State Board is to "provide for the safety, health and welfare of the public." Because an applicant may have to wait this nine to twelve months for registration, a lesser qualified individual may be assigned to a position that would have been given to the applicant. In this case the public good would not be served.
- 4. Section 32-126 of the Code states that "the Board may register without examination an applicant who holds a valid and subsisting certificate of registration issued by another state .... which has requirements for registration satisfactory to the board."

Section 32-123.B. states "If in the judgment of the board the applicant has not furnished satisfactory evidence of qualification for registration, it may require additional data, or may require the applicant to submit to an oral or written examination."

I believe that registration by examination in a state with strict requirements such as California is "satisfactory evidence of qualification" and the Board should register such an individual without examination.

Sincerely,

Louis C. Lagomarsino, P.E., L.S.

President /

LCL/evg



DATE: November 23, 1977

TO:

State Board of Technical Registration

FROM:

Engineering Evaluation Committee

SUBJECT: Evaluation of Applicants

The Engineering Evaluation Committee met on November 16, 17 and 18, 1977, in Phoenix, Arizona, with the following members present:

> Sam Caruso Rod J. Gomez R. A. Jimenez

Stayetler, Robert B.

C. E. O'Bannon John B. Trimble

There being a quorum present at all times the committee reviewed the following applicants and make to the Board the recommendations shown regarding their professional registration in Arizona.

1. The following applicants having appeared before the committee and satisfied the committee they are fully qualified to receive registration in Arizona under A.R.S. 32-123.A and A.R.S. 32-126, are hereby recommended for registration:

CHEMICAL ENGINEERING Schmitt, Ralph Joseph	77-442	CIVIL ENGINEERING - Cont'd. Tiseo, Benedict	77-198
Selbe, Rexford Leland	77-385	Trivison, Robert Joseph, Jr.	77-411
Serbe, Rexford Lefand	11-303		77-411
CIVIL ENGINEERING		Vaughn, Clarence Foster Wasbotten, Thomas Paul	77-490
Andreason, Kenneth R.	77-360		77-403
Beers, James Robert, III	77-416	Weingart, Daniel	11-409
	77-410	ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING	
Breivik, A. Norris	77-397	ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING	77 1.11.
Burks, Robert Allen		Cox, Garland Don	77-414
Creager, William Bronson	77-443	Ellingson, Richard Lee	77-503
Driscoll, Dennis Christopher		Kollitides, Ernest A.	77-383
Eaton, Frank Raymond, Jr.	77-240	Kropewnicki, Stanley John	77-552
Falk, William Randall	77-415	Welch, Conrad Lee	77-126
Fisk, Edward Ray	77-434	White, Logan Truman, Jr.	77-551
Fowlkes, Ricky T.	77-481	Yuen, Moon H.	77-445
Gage, Guy Glen	77-361		
Geiger, David Howard	77-421	MECHANICAL ENGINEERING	
Hintz, Luther Paul	77-545	Abraham, Eugene V.	77-412
Lipps, Donald Alfred	77-375	Cooley, Donald Lee	77-364
McVicker, Lloyd Alexander	77-482	Cranston, Ivan Ray, Jr.	77-499
Martin, Leon Berdan	77-321	Foster, Kenneth	77-438
Mitchell, Robert Lewis	77-101	Johnson, Grant Elmore	77-424
Montague, David James	77-404	McNeil, Harold Joseph	77-489
Ogawa, Ronald Isamu	77-461	Marano, Carl Anthony	77-423
Powell, David O.	77-522	Meckler, Milton	77-396
Ridenour, Benny Dale	77-322	Niles, Stephen Edward	77-393
Sagramoso, Daniel Edgar	77-462	Patulski, Scott Andrew	77-387
Shah, Anand Chimanlal	77-480	Stern, Richard Arnold	77-439
Shaughnessy, William E., Jr.	77-547	Weber, Howard I.	77-422
Shimasaki, William Michinori	77-306		
Singhal, Avinash Chandra	77-548	Continued	
Stavotles D.L. D	77 01		

77-91

1. Continued.....

MINING ENGINEERING		STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING	
Grosvenor, Niles E.	77-381	Geller, Alvin	77-500
McCutchan, Verne Claude Piper, R. Davidson	77-460 77-145	Kraft, Ronald K.	77-510
SANITARY ENGINEERING			
Higgins, Thomas E.	77-479		
McLaughlin, Ronald Coe	77-448		

II. The following applicants having appeared before the committee, while completing the personal audience interview, need demonstration of additional evidence of their proficiency, A.R.S. 32-123.B, and it is recommended to the Board that these individuals be held for the written examinations indicated:

#### Applicants held for the April, 1978, examinations:

CIVIL ENGINEERING		
Corum, Donald Kelly	77-317	Parts 3 and 4
Crawford, David Scott	77-370	Parts 3 and 4
Davis, Otto	77-417	Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4
Hansen, Larry Bruce	77-408	
Harabor, Mihai	77-433	Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4
Lefler, Lyle Gene		Parts 3 and 4
McPherson, Dale Jon		Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4
Maack, Ralph Walter		Parts 3 and 4
Nelson, ElRoy	77-379	Parts 3 and 4
Rainery, Louis Donald	77-399	Parts 3 and 4
Smith, Harold Ronald	77-111	Parts 3 and 4
Svensson, Roy William	77-449	Parts 3 and 4
Taylor, James Howard	77-371	Parts 3 and 4
saysar, rames nonara	11 51.	rures y und 4
ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING		
Austin, Barry E.	77-555	Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4
Greene, Jack		Parts 3 and 4
Skala, James Albert	77-386	Parts 3 and 4
Thomas, Marcus Maurice	77-388	Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4
Weber, Howard 1.	77-436	Parts 3 and 4
, nonara 11	11 130	Tares y and 4
HIGHWAY ENGINEERING		
Lipps, Donald Alfred	77-376	Parts 3 and 4
MECHANICAL ENGINEERING		
Drost, Barry Dean	77-402	Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4
Meraz, Julio Cesar	77-401	Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4
Pendell, George W.	77-477	Parts 3 and 4
Price, Mike Steven	77-532	Parts 3 and 4
Schwalm, Robert James	77-523	Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4
Williams, Meredith Lawrence	77-509	Parts 3 and 4
Wilson, Gregory Calvin	77-382	Parts 3 and 4
Woolsoncroft, Howard Lee	77-425	Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4
	,,>	, . , . , . ,
MINING ENGINEERING		
Johnson, Thomas Curtis	77-432	Parts 3 and 4
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	· · ·

Anderson, Charles M. Armstrong, Edward J.	77-410 77-1	Parts 3 and 4 Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4
LAND SURVEYING		
Braden, Larry David	77-467	Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4
Brown, Kenneth James	77-501	Part 4
Buchanan, Jack Alfred	77-418	Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4
Herschbach, Clarence Albert	77-354	Part 4
Kennerson, Jack L.	77-288	Part 4
Krohn, Karl Newbury	77-398	Part 4
Roach, James Christopher	77-390	Part 4
Young, William Lawson	77-419	Part 4

III. The following applicants appeared before the committee and the evaluation of their applications determined that they should be denied for lack of experience of a character satisfactory to the Board under A.R.S. 32-123.A, with the refund as shown:

Feltovic, George M. Casper, Ronald James	77-447 77-384	Civil Engineer Highway Engineer	\$10.00 \$10.00
Mattison, Jeffrey R.	77-531	Mechanical Engineer	\$10.00
Wilcox, Gary Paul	77-431	Mechanical Engineer	\$10.00

IV. The following applicants having been advised twice they were scheduled to appear before the committee and for their failure to do so, the committee recommends they be denied for failure to complete the requirements of the Board within a reasonable length of time:

Aro, David Edwin	77-283	Mining Engineer
Bledsoe, Larry Dean Clark, William Allen	77-286 77-353	Civil Engineer Civil Engineer
Piccirillo, Louis J.	77-232	Mechanical Engineer
Walters, George Thomas	77-77	Land Surveyor

The committee recommends to the Board that Recommendations I through IV of this report be accepted and implemented.

Respectfully submitted,

Rod J. Gomez, Chairman

Mazanek, Timothy L. Nowlin, Robert Winston	77-110 77-188	Engineer-in-Training Electrical Engineer
Pickens, Grady Wright	76-433	Land Surveyor
Porter, David Carter	77-58	Engineer-in-Training
Schenk, Charles W.	77-16	Engineer-in-Training
Silicki, Joseph David	76-134	Engineer-in-Training
Tehrani, Kamran Solaiman	76-152	Engineer-in-Training
Winkler, Lynn Ames, Jr.	77-31	Land Surveyor
Wurfel, Hans-Georg F.	77-108	Engineer-in-Training
Wyant, Lloyd Ronald	76-565	Civil Engineer
Zerlaut, Gene A.	76-302	Chemical Engineer

It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that the following applicants are to be denied with neither prejudice nor refund at their request. Motion carried.

Dugan, John Charles	76-335	Electrical Enginer
Forney, Ross Henry	76-255	Mechanical Engineer
Hochhauser, Murray	77-454	Mechanical Engineer
Johns, Henry LeRoy, Jr.	77-239	Architect
Jolley, Virdes A.	77-6	Engineer-in-Training
Kozich, Allan Alfred	77-149	Structural Engineer
Schmitt, David Leo	75-110	Architect-in-Training
Showalter, Ernest Dean	76-234	Electrical Engineer
Shumard, George Eric, Jr.	76-277	Electrical Engineer
Umberger, Martin Scot	77-505	Architect
Unti, Harvey George	76-5	Architect-in-Training

It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the following applicants for professional registration having completed the personal audience and all other requirements of this Board be granted registration and assigned the registration numbers as indicated. Motion carried.

		CIVIL ENGINEERING	
ARCHITECTURE		Andreason, Kenneth R.	11485
Bailey, Robert Griffith, Jr.	11465	Beers, James Robert, III	11486
Cooper, Clifford A.	11466	Breivik, A. Norris	11487
DeRevere, Dell Haas	11467	Burks, Robert Allen	11488
Duff, Edwin Ramond	11468		11489
Fisher, A. Robert	11469	Creager, William Bronson Driscoll, Dennis Christopher	11490
Gilmour, James Lowell	11470	Eaton, Frank Raymond, Jr.	11491
Hunter, Wallace Lee	11471	Falk, William Randall	11492
Janssen, Herman Phillip	11472	Fisk, Edward Ray	11493
Jones, Merrill Aubrey	11473	Fowlkes, Ricky T.	11494
Kerr, Roger Eliot	11474	Gage, Guy Glen	11495
Kessler, Stuart Alan	11475	Geiger, David Howard	11496
Linderoth, Terry Raymond	11476	Hintz, Luther Paul	11497
Meek, Harold L.	11477	Lipps, Donald Alfred	11498
Schlientz, Randall Harry	11478	McVicker, Lloyd Alexander	11499
Searer, Larry Elliott	11479	Martin, Leon Berdan	11500
Seitz, George Whittier	11480	Mitchell, Robert Lewis	11501
Walters, Stanley Irving	11481	Montague, David James	11502
Williams, Harold Patrick	11482	Ogawa, Ronald Isamu	11503
the own ships and the		Powell, David O.	11504
CHEMICAL ENGINEERING		Ridenour, Benny Dale	11505
Schmitt, Ralph Joseph	11483	Sagramoso, Daniel Edgar	11506
Selbe, Rexford Leland	11484	Shah, Anand Chimanlal	11507

Continued.....

CIVIL ENGINEERING - Cont'd.		MECHANICAL ENGINEERING -	Cont'd.
Shaughnessy, William Edward, Jr.	11508	Johnson, Grant Elmore	11528
Shimasaki, William Michinori	11509	McNeil, Harold Joseph	11529
Singhal, Avinash Chandra	11510	Marano, Carl Anthony	11530
Steytler, Robert B.	11511	Meckler, Milton	11531
Tiseo, Benedict	11512	Niles, Stephen Edward	11532
Trivison, Robert Joseph, Jr.	11513	Patulski, Scott Andrew	11533
Vaughn, Clarence Foster	11514	Stern, Richard Arnold	11534
Wasbotten, Thomas Paul	11515	Weber, Howard I.	11535
Weingart, Daniel	11516	***************************************	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		MINING ENGINEERING	
ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING		Grosvenor, Niles E.	11536
Cox, Garland Don	11517	McCutchan, Verne Claude	11537
Ellingson, Richard Lee	11518	Piper, R. Davidson	11538
Kollitides, Ernest A.	11519	SANITARY ENGINEERING	
Kropewnicki, Stanley John	11520	Higgins, Thomas E.	11539
Welch, Conrad Lee	11521	McLaughlin, Ronald Coe	11540
White, Logan Truman, Jr.	11522		11 (37)
Yuen, Moon H.	11523	STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING	
		Geller, Alvin	11541
MECHANICAL ENGINEERING		Kraft, Ronald K.	11542
Abraham, Eugene V.	11524		
Cooley, Donald Lee	11525	LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE	
Cranston, Ivan Ray, Jr.	11526	Duffield, Mary Rose	11543
Foster, Kenneth	11527		

It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the following applicants for in-training registration having satisfactorily completed the written examination be granted in-training registration and assigned the intraining registration numbers as indicated. Motion carried.

ARCHITECT-IN-TRAINING		ENGINEER-IN-TRAINING	
Abell, James Logan	266	Arnold, James Mark	1857
Briggs, Ronald Lee	267	Barrett, Fredrick William	1849
Fife, Dana Richard	268	Cheema, Manjit Singh	1858
Hankins, James Robert, Jr.	269	Ciborowski, Thomas James	1850
Jenkins, Dale E.	270	Douglass, David A.	1859
Territo, Vincent M.	271	Elberts, Rick Charles	1851
		Keane, William F.	1852
		Lundgren, Samuel G.	1853
		Martin, Noah William	1860
		Payne, James Stephen	1854
		Rhoades, Richard Stephen	1855
		Shannon, David T.	1856

#### REPORT OF THE PUBLIC INFORMATION COMMITTEE

Mr. Edson reported there seems to be a move on continuing education by AIA and also NCARB recommends professional development and recertification. It is something we should continue to monitor. I have not felt any pressure of any activity on the Board level but it is incumbent upon all of us to keep abreast of this. Mr. Goodwin stated that it is not as strong in Arizona because they have mini-seminars at their monthly meeting and as long as attendance is good, it will alleviate the pressure to make it a requirement.

Mr. Earley reported he attended the annual meeting of the Council of Landscape Architect Boards in Minnesota and expressed his thanks for being allowed to make this meeting, and becoming involved as to their requirements of their testing and how they make them legally defensible. He will make a formal report at a later date when he has received the minutes of their meeting.

#### REPORT OF THE BUDGET COMMITTEE

No report.

#### REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

The Chairman has requested an explanation of the changes in the Technical Registration Fund.

A.R.S. 32-109 has been amended by the Legislature in 1977 and now reads as follows, with A.R.S. 35-143.01 also shown as part of the 1977 legislation:

#### § 32-109 Technical registration fund

- A. The secretary shall transmit to the state treasurer all fees or other revenues received by the board. The treasurer shall place ten per cent of all fees and revenues in the general fund to assist in defraying the cost of maintaining the state government and shall place the remainder in a separate fund known as the technical registration fund, to be used only in defraying expenses of the board and in prosecuting violations of this chapter.
- B. Monies deposited in the technical registration fund shall be subject to the provisions of  $\S$  35-143.01.

#### § 35-143.01. Special funds; appropriation

- A. All monies deposited by the state treasurer in special agency funds of self-supporting regulatory agencies, as provided in § 35-142, to be used by such agency for administration and enforcement, shall be subject to annual legislative appropriation.
- B. No special fund self-supporting regulatory agency may expend more funds than are appropriated by the legislature for a fiscal year.
- C. Any unexpended or unencumbered balance of funds remaining in the special funds of self-supporting regulatory agencies as provided in \$35-142 at the end of the fiscal year shall not revert to the general fund.

(Paragraph C was included as an amendment as a result of our letter to Rep. Cooper, who sponsored the bill)

The annual legislative appropriation is made after the agency submits its budget request, of which you received a copy with the agenda for the September meeting, and after the Governor's budget division and the joint legislative budget

committee have reviewed the request and made their recommendations to the Legislature.

Our object, therefore, is to maintain sufficient funds to defray our expenses, and to secure an adequate appropriation from the Legislature so we may expend those funds as required.

On the other hand, our fund is built up by receiving application fees, examination fees and renewal fees. The most flexible figure is renewal fees, and by adjusting the annual fee, the Board has attempted to maintain a reasonable balance in the fund without undue cost to the registrants. The balance must always be sufficient to defray expenses from July 1 to November 15, when the renewals are beginning to come in.

Past boards, by reducing fees from \$20 to \$15, and from \$15 to \$10, have considered that relief could be extended to the registrants without jeopardizing our ability to meet expenses.

The following statement shows financial activity since fiscal year 1970-1971 and is projected to show estimates through 1979-1980.

It is suggested these figures be reviewed early in 1979 to dtermine if a rule change is necessary to implement an increase for 1980, and stated on the renewal notices in October, 1979.

#### TECHNICAL REGISTRATION FUND

FISCAL YEAR	90% of INCOME	EXPENSES	PROFIT OR (LOSS)	FUND BALANCE JUNE 30	RENEWAL FEE
1970-1971	116,660	79,152	37,508	54,976	\$20
1971-1972	127,538	83,235	44,303	99,280	\$20
1972-1973	116,991	95,962	21,029	120,210	\$15
1973-1974	124,438	106,700	17,738	137,948	\$15
1974-1975	129,600	116,849	12,751	150,693	\$15
1975-1976	103,209	117,590	(14,381)	136,312	\$10
1976-1977	107,363	123,500	(16,137)	120,175	\$10
1977-1978	Estimated 110,000	Estimated 130,175	Estimated (20,175)	100,000	\$10
1978-1979	112,000	137,000	(25,000)	75,000	\$10
1979-1980	115,000	145,000	(30,000)	45,000	?

The Executive Director also presented the Cash Flow Report shown on the following page.

Balance on Deposit as of July 1, 1977 - \$119,917.02

Balance on Deposit as of Report Date - \$173,590.99

Total Allocated Receipts to Technical Fund as of Report Date - \$53,673.97

Technical Fund Balance as of Report Date - \$135,475.86

LUMP SUM APPROPRIATED

\$160,800.00

Expenditures	Amount to Date
Personal Services	\$21,808.27
Employee Related Costs	3,283.37
Travel - In State	1,266.40
Travel - Out of State	2,556.50
Data Processing	275.91
Professional Services	225.00
Office Supplies, Maint.,Express & Adv.	3,083.43
Microfilm	187.49
Insurance	300.00
Postage	2,921.50
Telephone	767.46
Xerox	204.75
Revolving Fund	50.00
Refunds	220.50
TOTAL SPENT TO DATE	\$37,150.58
Balance of Appropriated Monie	s \$123,649.



#### REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR - Cont'd.

After the Report of the Executive Director, Mr. Goodwin stated he could possibly see that may have more requests for policing our profession and personally would not like to see these funds drop this close as \$45,000. The Executive Director stated that the Board may want to consider increasing the annual renewal fee before 1980.

#### READING OF COMMUNICATIONS

Letter from Donald Stuart Pearson, electrical engineering applicant who was denied at the September 9, 1977, Board meeting for failure to appear for his personal audience, was presented. The Executive Director explained that Mr. Pearson had been seriously ill and this was the reason for his inability to appear. It was moved by Dr. O'Bannon and seconded by Mr. Earley in view of the circumstances Mr. Pearson's application be reinstated. Motion carried.

Letter from R. M. Robb, mining engineering applicant, requesting he be granted registration on the basis of having passed Parts 1, 3 and 4 of the written examination by accepting the 67% he received on the April, 1977, examination in Part 2, was presented. It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that the passing grade is 70% so that 67% is a failure and his request be denied. Motion carried.

Letter from Jose A. Trujillo, requesting the Board to review the previous complaint against Eugene Ireland, Electrical Engineer, No. 6682, was presented. The Executive Director explained that when Mr. Trujillo first registered this complaint with the Board, the representative from the Attorney General stated that it was a problem for the Highway Personnel Department. The Board noted that the highway department requires in their job descriptions that the District Engineer need only be an engineer and not definitely a Civil Engineer as this position is one of administration and judgment. It was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. Caruso the Board reaffirm the previous action taken by the Board at their June 11, 1976, meeting. Motion carried.

Letter from Louis C. Sullivan regarding a telephone communication study for the Mesa Public Schoolas, as shown on Minute Page 3946 and 3947, was presented. It was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. Porcarello recommending that it be referred to the Rules and By-Laws Committee and reported back to the Board. Motion carried.

Letter from William A. Sawyer, Executive Director of the Arizona Consulting Engineers Association, regarding interpretation of A.R.S. 32-141, was presented as shown on Minute Page 3948. Mr. Sawyer was present and stated that since writing this letter, there has been considerable discussion among his officers regarding this letter and there are more ramifications than just the question he had posed. He stated that a majority of their firms are practicing as corporations and they are aware of the concern of corporate practice in order to have some responsibility or financial responsibility if you have one responsible corporate officer licensed in one discipline can bind the corporation for all services provided in that corporation. In reading the law, it refers to a registrant, it does not say it is a registrant in each specific discipline. The Consulting Engineers Association would like to investigate the ramifications and have more formal input to the Board before the Board makes a definite decision. Mr. Goodwin explained, in general, that if an architectural firm

SULLIVAN & MASSON CONSULTING ENGINEERS
725 WEST McDOWELL ROAD - PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007 - 602-257-8525

November 18, 1977

LOUIS C. SULLIVAN MILTON M. MASSON ROBERT D. CURLEY DALE D. HARMAN ROBERT W. JOHNSON

Edward Drewery, Secretary Board of Registration 1645 W. Jefferson Phoenix, Arizona

Dear Mr. Drewery:

I am writing this letter to register my disagreement on your opinion to the Mesa Public Schools that a communication study is not engineering, and does not require a registered engineer to provide this specialized study. The first point I want to make is "engineering practice" as described in the "Code" is as follows, and I quote it here for easy reference:

"Engineering practice" means any professional service or creative work requiring engineering education, training and experience, and the application of special knowledge of the mathematical, physical and engineering sciences to such professional services or creative work as; consultation, research, investigation, evaluation, planning, surveying, design, location, development, and review of construction for conformance with contract documents and design, in connection with any, public or private utility, structure, building, machine, equipment, process, work or project. A person shall be deemed to be practicing, or offering to practice, engineering if he practices any branch of the profession of engineering, or verbal claim, sign, advertisement, letterhead, card, or any other manner, represents himself to be a professional engineer, or holds himself out as able to perform, or does perform any engineering service or other service as recognized by educational authorities as engineering.

The above underlined areas are specifically what a "communications consultant" does and it is a branch of electrical engineering. I have spoken with Mr. Thomas Tice, Professor Chairman of the Electrical Engineering Department at ASU, and he readily admits the electronic communications is taught in the electrical engineering department. The "Scope of Work" issued by the Mesa Public School specifically asks for all the items mentioned in the "Code" definition of

"engineering practice."

The "Scope of Work" as issued by Mesa Public Schools reads:

- A. Analyze present system as per attached specification. Please attach step-bystep procedures you will follow to make your analysis.
  - B. Up-dating of present system as per attached specifications.

Phase 2 Private-Owned Telephone System

Design, write bid specifications, analyze bids and supervise execution of system installation as per attached specification.

The second point, and perhaps the most important, is reference to A.R.S. 32-144 entitled "Exemptions and Limitations."
Under "B" is described the exemption of registration by "work done by communications common carrier or its affiliates or any of them provided such work is in connection with or incident to the products, systems or non-engineering services of such communications common carrier or its affiliates or public service corporation, and provided that the engineering work is not offered directly to the public."

Be delineating the specifics of exemption the converse is to also specifically implied to require registration. Stated simply, any work done in communications for the public shall be done "under the full authority and responsible charge of a registrant, who is also a principal of the firm or office of the corporation," except as exempted in A.R.S. 32-144.

I respectfully submit that you reconsider your opinion to Mesa Public Schools that a registered engineer is not necessary to provide the communication engineering study. This construction work could subsequently be \$500,000 to \$1,000,000, and I'm sure you do not want that public entity employing a "consultant" that may not be qualified based on your recommendation and intrepretation.

Sincerely,

Louis C. Sullivan, P.E.

President

LCS:ss



### arizona consulting engineers association

25 NORTH 16th STREET . SUITE 108

PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016 • PHONES: (602) 266-4926 - 279-0168

November 28, 1977

Mr. Rudolph A. Jimenez Chairman, State Board of Technical Registration Civil Engineering Department University of Arizona Tucson, Arizona 85721

Dear Mr. Jimenez:

The following question has been raised as to the proper interpretation of Section 32-141 of Article 3 of the Code of the State Board of Technical Registration:

"If a corporate firm is engaged in Arizona in the practice of more than one of the professions licensed by the State Board of Technical Registration, must the corporate firm have a principal of the firm or corporate officer licensed for each of the professions in which engaged (architecture, assaying, engineering, geology, landscape architecture or land surveying)?"

Language in this section " ... under the full authority and responsible charge of a registrant ... " (emphasis supplied), indicates to me that a principal of the firm or officer of the corporation registered in any one of the professions licensed by the Board would permit the corporate firm to engage in all of the professions licensed by the Board, provided the firm employs a registrant in each of the professions in which services are provided.

It would be appreciated if this question could be placed on the agenda for consideration of the Board at its December 2, 1977, meeting for an early answer.

Sincerely.

William A. Sawyer Executive Director

cc: Sam Caruso Wayne O. Earley S. Mark Edson Rod Gomez

Kemper Goodwin
Charles O'Bamnon Patrick H. Porcarello John Trimble

#### READING OF COMMUNICATIONS - Cont'd.

hires a civil engineer, mechanical or structural engineer to do the engineering drawings and stamps those plans, the responsibility the law says is the one who seals it. Dr. Jimenez said that under the full responsibility a firm needs the principal in each discipline registered. Mr. Sawyer requested that the Board take it under deliberation and assign it to a committee or get an Attorney General's opinion. The Chairman told Mr. Sawyer the Board would take his letter under further consideration and let them know.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Mr. Gomez reported that the Engineering Evaluation Committee will prepare a Problem 18 for the Personal Audience Examination and report at the next meeting in March, 1978.

At the September 9, 1977, Board meeting, the Executive Director was instructed to get a quotation for producing a list of registrants by disciplines from the Data Processing Division. The report from the Data Center on developing and implementing a porgram that will print out landscape architects showing name and adress, alphabetically, which would cost not to exceed \$384.00, and estimated cost for one run would be \$50.00. It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that the Executive Director be instructed to find out the cost for printing the annual report with three types of lists; numerical, alphabetical and alphabetical by discipline. Motion carried.

It was moved by Mr. Earley and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that the Executive Director be instructed to obtain a printout of a list alphabetically by discipline which can be obtained for a cost of not to exceed \$500.00, and as long as it does not interrupt the annual report. Motion carried with one nay.

Dr. Edgar M. Bollin was present and after the Board had read his letter of November 28 regarding Mr. Michael, Dr. Bollin stated the situation in Sierra Vista had changed and wished the Board to disregard his letter regarding Mr. Michael but still wished the Board to consider his formal complaint filed against Mr. Michael.

Chairman Jimenez then read a summary of the complaints from Dr. Bollin and the investigator's report as follows:

 Beginning with Dr. Bollin's letter dated August 26, 1977, his stated subject was "Code Violation, Section 32-128, Revocation of Certificate George P. Michael, Jr."

At its meeting held September 9, 1977, the Board, after consultation with a representative from the office of the Attorney General directed that Dr. Bollin be informed that the allegation that Mr. Michael was aiding and abetting a non-registrant is not valid.

The subject of Dr. Bollin's letter dated August 30, 1977, was stated thus: "Mr. L. B. Taylor, President of the firm "Sierra Testing and Engineering, Inc." Violation of A.R.S. 32-106.01-B, 32-121, 32-125D, 32-141 32-141-A, 32-145-1 and 32-145-2." See pages 3898 and 3916, minutes of September 9 meeting. As directed by the meeting, our letter dated September 27, 1977, was sent to Dr. Bollin, stating the allegation

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS - Cont'd.

against Mr. Michael is not valid, that the Board is giving further consideration to the matter of Mr. Taylor, and that the Board noted that Dr. Bollin is required to have a registered engineer as a principal of his firm. Also that his formal complaints received September 23 will be brought to the attention of the Board at the next meeting.

The Board instructed that Sierra Testing & Engineering Co. be investigated.

3. On September 23, a formal complaint was received againt Mr. Michael, alleging aiding and abetting under A.R.S. 32-128-3 and also a violation of A.R.S. 32-142,A.

He submitted a second complaint against Samuel Tucker, Civil Engineer No. 2242, charging him with violations of A.R.S. 32-128-3 and 32-125,C.

His accompanying letter contained attachments:

- (1) Copy of letter to Circle S Ranch Estates, sealed by Mr. Tucker.
- (2) Copy of report filed with Corporation Commission showing Sam Tucker as Vice-President.
- (3) Copy of a report for the proposed project of Ralph's Kawasaki, showing Mr. Tucker's name on the letterhead and bearing Mr. Tucker's seal.

#### Investigator's Report

This was prepared by Howard C. Sauter, a professional investigator, after interviewing the people involved.

Mr. Sauter was asked to seek factual information to enable the Board to determine if the engineering work done by Sierra Testing was under the full authority and responsible charge of Mr. Tucker. Mr. Sauter found that Dr. Bollin's statements did not agree with Mr. Taylor's statements regarding Mr. Tucker's presence in Sierra Vista, and that they were both without any evidence to support their statements. Mr. Sauter, also, interviewed Mr. Tucker as to his participation, and has included a statement from Mr. Tucker, recorded with his permission. Mr. Tucker appears to have summed up his opinion about compliance with the law on page 2 of his recorded statement, 3rd paragraph. However, the recording is lengthy and while it contains many words, there is no actual evidence as to his presence in Sierra Vista.

Mr. Sauter's investigation also contained copies of reports from Sierra Testing which were sealed by Clarence Wilcox, #1270, W. H. Wheeler, #2057, Robert Tomasso #8841, and Fred. M. Hewitt #7360.

It was reported that there is no evidence whether or not Mr. Tucker was present in Sierra Vista and he has now taken a job in San Diego. Mr. Sillyman statedhe had no solid evidence to support this complaint and suggested no further action on this particular violation. It was moved by Mr. Earley and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that no action be taken on the complaint against Mr. Tucker. Motion carried.

Regarding the complaint against Mr. Michael, Mr. Sillyman stated Dr. Bollin had accused Mr. Michael of aiding and abetting by accepting unauthorized stamped plans of Mr. Tucker. This would require Mr. Michael to investigate on his own to make sure Mr. Tucker was in full responsible charge when stamping the plans. Mr. Sillyman did not think it was aiding and abetting of an unregistered person. It was the consensus that it is not incumbent upon a city engineer or building inspector to determine whether the plans are valid or not only that they meet the codes of the City as they are stamped. It was moved by Mr. Caruso and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that no action be taken on the complaint of Mr. Michael. Motion carried.

Regarding the complaint against Mr. Taylor, Mr. Sillyman stated that the investigator's report showed in the past Mr. Taylor had violated the Statutes as he had no registrant of his corporation to stamp his work nor name on the corporation letterhead. However, in the last two years he has had an officer of the corporation. Since Mr. Tucker has moved to San Diego, he did not think Mr. Taylor is continuing in a legal way, and suggest the Board send a cease and desist letter. It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Gomez that such a cease and desist letter be sent to Mr. Taylor of Sierra Testing. Motion carried.

Discussion followed with Dr. Bollin regarding whether soils testing was geological or engineering work.

Letter from George P. Michael, as shown on Minute Pages 3952 and 3953, was presented. It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that as a result of their discussion with Dr. Bollin, a geologist can do soil testing but not any design recommendations. Motion carried.

#### NEW BUSINESS

The Executive Director reported on the complaint received from Gailyn Porter against the Kalfas Corporation and Peter and Sofia Anastos, as shown on Minute Pages 3954 through 3959. After consultation with the Attorney General's Assistant, Mr. Sillyman, a cease and desist order had been mailed to Sofia Anastos which was not accepted through the mail and the constable in Mohave County hand delivered the order, as shown on Minute Pages 3960 and 3961. The Executive Director presented the correspondence following this cease and desist order, as shown on Minute Pages 3962, 3963, 3964 and 3965. It was moved by Mr. Porcarello and seconded by Mr. Caruso that the Executive Director notify Mr. Gailyn Porter that the Kalfas Corporation and Peter and Sofia Anastos through the cease and desist order have now complied with the law. Motion carried.

Complaint from William K. Ekstrom, Jr., Deputy County Attorney, Mohave County, against Herb Shipley, as shown on Minute Pages 3966 through 3970, was presented. The complaint alleges that Mr. Shipley improperly filed his plats under A.R.S. 33-105 and wished us to invoke the provisions of A.R.S. 32-128(A) 2 and (B). It was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the Board reaffirm the previous response to Mr. Ekstrom that it is not within our jurisdiction. Motion carried.

## CITY OF SIERRA VISTA

TELEPHONE 458-2840



400 SHERBUNDY, N.W. SIERRA VISTA, ARIZONA 85635

October 21, 1977

Mr. Edward Drewry
Executive Director
State Board of Technical Registration
1645 West Jefferson
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Dear Mr. Drewry:

As a result of a recent controversy between two materials testing firms in Sierra Vista, a question has come up that I would like clarified. One firm is owned and operated by a Registered Geologist and the other firm is operated by a Materials Technician and a Registered Engineer. The Geologist has filed certain complaints with your office against the competing firm. The Engineer has in turn threatened to file a complaint against the Geologist. He maintains that the definition of geological practice does not include testing to determine the physical properties of soil, gravel, asphalt, and concrete, drawing conclusions from such tests and making design recommendations. After reviewing the A.R.S. definition of geological practice, I feel it would be quite a liberal interpretation to say that "application of special knowledge of the earth sciences" includes the activities indicated by the Engineer. Consequently, I would like to call upon your expertise and advice. Has the Board of Registration addressed this question and determined that a Registered Geologist is qualified to test materials, draw conclusions and make design recommendations for street and/or structure foundations?

I would appreciate your response to this question as soon as possible. The City is currently in receipt of soil reports and design recommendations that have been certified by the Geologist. I would like to avoid being placed in a position

Mr. Edward Drewry Page Two October 21, 1977

of requiring that the developer retain an Engineer if the Board of Registration recognizes a Geologist as being qualified to perform such work. Should you have any questions or require more information, please call me at 458-2840.

Sincerely,

GEORGE P. MICHAEL, JR., P.E. Director of Public Works

GPM/sw

## State Board of Technical Aggistration

03954

FOR

ARCHITECTS, ASSAYERS, ENGINEERS, GEOLOGISTS, LANDGCAPE ARCHITECTS AND LAND SURVEYORS

PHOENIX, ARIZONA 35007 271-4053

SWORN COMPLAINT

STATE OF ARIZONA County of Maricopa) I Gailyn L. Porter being first duly sworn, upon my oath, deposes and says: That I charge the following Corporation and its officers named as guilty of a misdemeanor, That, pursuant to A.R.S. 32-128, I wish to file a sworn complaint with the Arizona State Board of Technical Registration in regard to The Kalfas Corporation and Peter and Sofia Anastos (Name of Individual) 2173 McCulloch Blvd. Lake Havasu City, Az. 86403 That I have investigated certain incidents, the details of which are more particularly described in the affidavits of Gailyn L. Porter Architect A.I.A. , attached hereto, and by reference made a part (Names) \_, respectively; hereof as Exhibits A That as a result of said investigation, it is my opinion that are The Kalfas Corp. & Officers xx in violation of ARS 32-128 (Name of person) and should be prohibited from continuing such misrepresentation,. or show proof that they will comply with said statute in every detail. (Name)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires:

SWORN AFFIDAVIT

Exhibit A

STATE OF ARIZONA )

County of Maricopa

I, Gailyn L. Porter , being first duly sworn

upon my oath, deposes and says:

Peter and Sofia Anastos, doing business as The Kalfas Corporation, Lake Havasu City, Arizona, are in violation of the State of Arizona Code of the State Board of Technical Registration.

The individuals named above are representing themselves as Engineer and Architect respectively and are practicing Engineering and Architecture illegally as reflected by the Corporate letter-head attached as enclosure 1.

In view of the fact that the company Home Office is in Farmington, Mass., the Corporation is in violation of ARS 32-101 Article 4, Section R4-30-43, ARS. 32-101

I certify that the above statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and ability.

NAME

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this\_

day of

12

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires:



KALEAS

= TURN-KEY DEVELOPERS =



SOFIA ANASTOS Executive Vice President

ARCHITECTS, ENGINEERS, & GENERAL CONTRACTORS
TURN-KEY DEVELOPERS

2178 McCULLOCH BLVD. SUITE 11
TEL (602) 855-9499 LAKE HAVASU CITY, AZ. 86403

## State Board of Technical Registration

03957

ARCHITECTS, ASSAYERS, ENGINEERS, GEOLOGISTS, LANDGCAPE ARCHITECTS AND LAND SURVEYORS 1645 W. JEFFERSON

PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007 271-4053

SWORN COMPLAINT

STATE OF ARIZONA )	
County of Maricopa	
A Park Salam Co. Speed At All Co.	- Northwest and an Williams for this
I Gailyn L. Porter	being first duly sworn, upon
my oath, deposes and says:	
iri Araston has represent	mented binself to me, their elients,
That I charge the fol a misdemeanor,	lowing individual as guilty of
That, pursuant to A.R.S.	32-128, I wish to file a sworn
complaint with the Arizona State Bo	ard of Technical Registration in regard
to Peter C. Anastos	•
(Name of Individual) Kalfas Corporation 217	3 McCulloch Blad
Lake Havasu City. Ari	zona 86403 certain incidents, the details of which
are more particularly described in	the affidavits of Gailyn L. Porter
	2.572
Architect A.I.A., attache (Names)	d hereto, and by reference made a part
Α.	
hereof as Exhibits A	_, respectively;
That as a result of said	investigation, it is my opinion that
Peter Anastos	is misrepresenting himself as a
(Name of person) Civil Engineer in violation	of the State of Arizona Code of the
State Board of Technical Reg	istration.
	Care l Pat
	(Name)
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to	1 DA
19.72.	
***	Sight He wil
Gradula Systems	NOTARY PUBLIC
My Commission Expires:	MY Commercial Commerci

SWORN AFFIDAVIT

Exhibit\_ A

STATE OF ARIZONA )

County of Maricopa

I, Gailyn L. Porter , being first duly sworn upon my oath, deposes and says:

Peter C. Anastos doing business as an officer in the Kalfas Corporation, Lake Havasu City, is in violation of the Code of the State Board of Technical Registration, State of Arizona.

Mr. Anastoa has represented himself to me, their clients, members of the Architectural Committee of Lake Havasu City and Mohave Co. officials as a Civil Engineer as reflected by the business card attached as inclosure 1.

Mr. Anastos has introduced and represented his wife, Sofia Anastos, as an Architect to me, their clients, and others as indicated above.

By such misrepresentation, I charge he is in violation of ARS 32-128 and is guilty of a misdemeanor as per Sect. 32-145

I certify that the above statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and ability.

NAME

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this

day of C

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission etc. es esci et, 1960

My Commission Expires:

03959



provided by this chapter.

PETER C. ANASTOS
Civil Engineer

ARCHITECTS, ENGINEERS, & GENERAL CONTRACTORS
TURN-KEY DEVELOPERS

2178 McCULLOCH BLVD. SUITE 11
TEL (602) 855-9499 LAKE HAVASU CITY, AZ. 86403

reflerer, geologist, landsone architect, or land servaper; and

## State Board of Technical Registration

FOR

ARCHITECTS, ASSAYERS, ENGINEERS, GEOLOGISTS, LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS AND LAND SURVEYORS

1645 W, JEFFERSON

PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007 271-4053

Mrs. Sofia Anastos, Exec. Vice-President
Kalfas Corporation
2178 McCulloch Blvd., Suite 11
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86403

Re: Notice to Cease and Desist

Dear Mrs. Anastos:

The Arizona Technical Registration Act, under A.R.S. 32-141, Firm or Corporate Practice, states:

"No firm or corporation shall engage in the practice of architecture, assaying, geology, engineering, landscape architecture, or land surveying unless the work is under the full authority and responsible charge of a registrant, who is also a principal of the firm or officer of the corporation. The name of said registrant shall appear whensoever the firm name is used in the professional practice of the firm or corporation."

Also, it is stated in part under A.R.S. 32-145, that: "Any person who commits any of the following acts is guilty of a misdemeanor:

- "1. Practices, offers to practice or by any implication holds himself out as qualified to practice as an architect, assayer engineer, geologist, landscape architect, or land surveyor, who is not registered as provided by this chapter.
- "2. Advertises or displays a card, sign or other device which may indicate to the public that he is an architect, assayer, engineer, geologist, landscape architect, or land surveyor, or is qualified to practice as such, who is not registered as provided by this chapter.
- "3. Assumes the title of engineer, architect, geologist, assayer, landscape architect, or land surveyor, or uses or attempts to use as his own a certificate of registration of another, or uses or attempts to use an expired or revoked certificate of registration."

It has been brought to the attention of the Board that your firm has offered services as architects and engineers, and that Mr. Peter C. Anastos, Treasurer of your corporation, has offered services as a Civil Engineer.

Mrs. Sofia Anastos

These offers of architectural and engineering services were made by means of business cards and the corporate letterhead.

The Board's records do not indicate that you are registered as an Architect or Engineer in the State of Arizona, nor that Mr. Peter C. Anastos is registered as a Civil Engineer in this State.

You are requested to provide the names of officers of your corporation who are registered in Arizona as an architect and as an engineer, and who reside in this State.

In the event that officers of your corporation do not possess these qualifications, you must immediately cease and desist from offering services by means of cards, signs or other devices which might imply that the corporation has officers who are registered in Arizona to practice architecture or engineering and who are residents of Arizona. Mr. Peter C. Anastos must also immediately cease and desist from offering his services as a Civil Engineer, engaging in the practice of civil engineering, or assuming the title of civil engineer.

If you fail to comply with these requests, the Board will have no alternative but to refer the matter to the Attorney General for the institution of criminal and/or civil proceedings against you in the Superior Court of Arizona. A.R.S. 32-106.01 authorizes the Board to institute civil proceedings to enjoin such practices and, as stated, above, if a person violates A.R.S. 32-145, such person is guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by a fine and/or by imprisonment.

You are directed to advise the Board in writing not later than November 10, 1977, of your efforts to comply with this notice. Your response should be directed to the office of the Board as shown on this letterhead.

DATED: October 26, 1977.

ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

Edward G. Drewry Executive Director

cc: Michael W. Sillyman Assistant Attorney General



TURN-KEY DEVELOPERS

2178 McCULLOCH BLVD, SUITE 11
TEL (602) 855-9499 LAKE HAVASU CITY, AZ, 86403

November 15, 1977

State of Arizona State Board of Technical Registration 1645 W. Jefferson Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Re: Intent to Cease and Desist

Dear Mr. Drewry:

We have in the past offered our services as Architects and Engineers; at which time our staff included an Architect and an Engineer, both licensed in Arizona and junior officers of the corporation.

As for the question of Mr. Anastos offering his services or advertising as an engineer; any such act was by accident and an error of omission. His business cards were erroneously printed with "Civil Engineer" beneath his name, omitting E.I.T. Subsequently "E.I.T." was typed beneath "Civil Engineer". Any business cards which left this office without "E.I.T." typed as indicated on the enclosed card did so without Mr. Anastos' knowledge or by accident. Mr. Anastos is a graduate Civil Engineer, having a B.S.C.E. from Lowell Technological Institute, Lowell, Massachusetts; passed his E.I.T. examination in April, 1975, and intends to become a P.E. in 1979.

At the present time we retain a consultant Architect and Engineer, both licensed in Arizona. However, they are not members of the permanent staff nor are they officers of the corporation. We are in the process of scheduling a meeting of the Board of Directors of the corporation in order to elect the above mentioned consultants as officers of the corporation, which is complicated by the fact that some of our board directors are presently out of state.

Until such time as we can schedule the election, we will strike the words "Architecture, Engineering... from the letterhead and substitute " Building Design, Site Development".

Page 2

We regret that these events occurred and assure you that we will be vigilant in preventing any such violation in the future.

Respectfully yours,

Peter C. Anastos General Manager

cc: Michael W. Sillyman Asst. Attorney General

PA/sb

for the to inform

880 mg

## State Board of Technical Assistration

FOR

ARCHITECTS, ASSAYERS, ENGINEERS, GEOLOGISTS, LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS AND LAND SURVEYORS

PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007 271-4053

November 21, 1977

Mr. Peter C. Anastos, General Manager KALFAS Corp. 2178 McCulloch Blvd., Ste. 11 Lake Havasu City, AZ 86403

Dear Mr. Anastos:

Thank you for your response to our letter dated October 26, 1977.

There are still some items which need further consideration concerning your firm's practice as architects and/or engineers.

Compliance with the law requires that Arizona registrants in each field be officers of the corporation and residents in Arizona so they are present in the office to be "in full authority and responsible charge" of all architectural or engineering work done by themselves or other employees, and to be personally available to clients.

The intent of being officers is that such persons will have legal authority to commit the corporation financially. It is noted that none of your officers on record with the Arizona Corporation Commission as of October 3, 1977, were registered by this Board.

Mr. Anastos, your EIT exam will be considered when your experience record permits you to apply for professional registration in Arizona, but until you become so registered, you are not permitted to indicate to the public that you are an engineer or that you are qualified to practice as such.

Your consultant Architect and Engineer as depicted in your letter are entitled to practice or offer to practice their professions as individuals in Arizona, but your firm is not lawfully permitted to offer services which you expect to be performed by those individuals.

It may be desirable for you to inform us before your board meeting who are expected to be elected officers, so we may assure you of their status before action is taken.

Yours very truly,

Edward G. Drewry Executive Director

EGD/js





TURN-KEY DEVELOPERS

2178 McCULLOCH BLVD. SUITE 11 TEL (602) 855-9499 LAKE HAVASU CITY, AZ. 86403

November 23, 1977

State Board of Technical Registration 1645 W. Jefferson Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Attn: Edward G. Drewry

Dear Mr. Drewry:

In reply to your letter of November 21, 1977, and to review our position regarding the question of Architect-Engineer advertising.

- 1. We no longer advertise as "Architects-Engineers".
- We do not offer or advertise the services of our consultant Architect or Engineer. We do inform our clients that their services are available when necessary.
- 3. We advertise our staff services as "Building Design", "Site Development" and "General Contractors".

At such time as we schedule a meeting of the corporate Board of Directors, we will advise your office of the officers elect and of their professional status.

Yours truly,

Peter Anastos General Manager

PA/sb

### MOHAVE COUNTY ATTORNEY

MOHAVE COUNTY COURTHOUSE . KINGMAN . ARIZONA 86401



D. B. BABBITT GARY R. POPE County Attorney

HAMHLTON:B-UNGERWOOD-CHIEF DEDUCE

September 13, 1977

STATE DOMES THEF MICH. PROGRESS TO A

Edward G. Drewry, Executive Director State Board of Technical Registration 1645 West Jefferson Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Dear Mr. Drewry:

I am enclosing your letter of September 9, 1977, and am again sending the exhibits, along with an executed complaint.

Although, as you accurately state, my original letter sets forth a violation of A.R.S. §33-105, it seems clear to me that the Board is empowered to investigate this matter and take any appropriate disciplinary action under the provisions of A.R.S. §128(A)(2) and §128(B) [providing for investigation upon oral or written complaints not under oath].

Mohave County has encountered some serious problems with boundary discrepancies due, in part, to the fact that some surveyors and engineers have failed to consistantly act in complete accord with recording statutes concerning such discrepancies. It is incumbent upon your board, as the licensing agency for such individuals, to assure the public that your licensees will not engage in such misconduct. While I don't believe that criminal action is appropriate or that the individual's license should necessarily be revoked, certainly, if found guilty of misconduct or gross negligence, a serious reprimand would be in order.

I would appreciate your advising me at your earliest convenience of your intentions concerning this complaint. Thank you for your prompt answer to my previous letter.

Very truly yours,

D. B. BABBITT Mohave County Attorney

illiam 6 WILLIAM J EKSTROM, JR.

Deputy County Attorney

WJE:sd

Enclosures

## State Board of Technical Registration

FOR

ARCHITECTS, ASSAYERS, ENGINEERS, GEOLOGISTS, LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS AND LAND SURVEYORS

PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007 271-4053

SWORN COMPLAINT

STATE OF ARIZONA )
County of Mohave
I_WILLIAM J. EKSTROM, JR. being first duly sworn, upon
my oath, deposes and says:
That I am a Deputy County Attorney of Mohave County, Arizona;
That, pursuant to A.R.S. 32-128, I wish to file a sworn
complaint with the Arizona State Board of Technical Registration in regard
to Herb Shipley
(Name of Individual)
That I have investigated certain incidents, the details of which
are more particularly described in the affidavits of exhibits
(Names), attached hereto, and by reference made a part
hereof as Exhibits, respectively;
That as a result of said investigation, it is my opinion that
Herb Shipley is in violation of A.R.S. 33-105
(Name of person)
William & Botton
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 13th day of September,
19.77
Sherrine Davis

My Commission Expires: Feb. 6, 1981

NOTARY PUBLIC

SWORN AFFIDAVIT

Exhibi	+
TOTT TO T	-

STATE OF ARIZONA ) County of MOHAVE)

I, WILLIAM J. EKSTROM, JR. , being first duly sworn upon my oath, deposes and says:

office and the clark of the pasted of Supervisors re-

See enclosed Exhibits A through F

I certify that the above statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and ability.

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 13th day of September

#### Synopsis of Exhibits

- Exhibit A Xerox copy of an 8 1/2 x 11 map prepared by H. Shipley, RLS 5361, which is the subject of this report.
- Exhibit B Index map prepared by this office to indicate the scope of Section 7.
- Exhibit C Excerpts from the Manual of Instructions for the Survey of the Public Lands of the United States (1973).
- Exhibit D A print of N. J. Devlin, Job No. 1402, indicating the original Subdivisional Survey of Section 7.
- Exhibit E A xerox of correspondence between this office, your office and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors regarding the aforementioned survey. (Exhibit A)
- Exhibit F A xerox of an excerpt from a newspaper, which brings the problem to light in terms the public can appreciate.

As per Exhibit E, the Shipley Survey was brought to my attention by the Arizona Title and Trust Company through the Board of Supervisors' office. The title company was concerned over the large discrepancy purported to exist by Shipley (see ARS 33-105 for requirements on filing a Record of Survey.) By extrapolating 90 days (ARS 33-105) from the end of May (see Exhibit A) one determines August 29, 1977 to be the expiration date of Mr. Shipley's statutory limitation on filing the aforementioned Record of Survey, hence he is in violation of ARS 33-105.

It is incumbent on surveyors to provide identification, in the official record, of such a discrepancy so as to perpetuate the positions of monuments "where they were" and "not where they should be".

Exhibit A contains several inconsistencies with the rules regarding Public Land Surveying, as quoted thereon, and consequently should be suspect from the point of view of reliability to begin with. To clarify this suggestion recourse must be had to the prescribed methods of determining the four corners of a government lot in a fractional section such as Section 7, T2lN, R16W. On Exhibit A I have outlined in red the statement regarding said survey's strict compliance with the U.S. B.L.M. Manual of Instructions. Hence, refer to Exhibit C, in particular, the paragraph underlined in Section 3-92, pg. 86. With this doctrine in mind refer to Exhibit B for a step by step breakdown in the establishing of the four corners for Government Lot 12, Section 7, T2lN, R16W, G. & S.R.M.

- 1. Determine the position for Point A by proportionate measurement between the closing corner monuments established at the NW and SW corners of Section 7.
- 2. Establish Point B by intersection of the N-S and E-W centersection lines.
- 3. Measure Line A to B and by proportionate measure determine
  - 4. Measure Line B to D and establish Point C by proportionate measure.
  - 5. Measure Line D to E and establish Point G by proportionate measure.
  - 6. Measure Line E to A and establish Point F by proportionate measure.
  - 7. Determine Point I by intersecting lines F to C and H to G.

The Shipley survey indicates that he measured West, 3960.0 feet and 2640.0 feet, no proportion applied here! Exhibit D is a print of a map delineating the subdivisional survey of Section 7 performed by Mr. N. J. Devlin. This map correctly indicates the aforementioned procedure and thereby indicates the true disposition for the four corners of Government Lot 12.

It is this disregard for compliance with regulation by Mr. Shipley that results in boundary disputes; inquiries such as Exhibit E and results such as Exhibit F.

Respectfully submitted,

Jack M. Kesler, RLS, USMS

County Surveyor '

#### NEW BUSINESS - Cont'd.

Authorization was requested for travel to attend the annual meeting of the Western Conference of State Architectural Registration Boards in Albuquerque, New Mexico in March, 1978. It was moved by Mr. Porcarello and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that all three architects may attend the meeting if they wish to, and the Executive Director request a waiver from the Governor's office of only one attending an out-of-State meeting. Motion carried with two nays.

The following dates were approved for the next committee and board meetings:

Either late January or early February - Engineering Examination Committee meeting to review results of the November, 1977, examinations. Special Board meeting to be called after this meeting.

February 9-10, 1978 - Architectural & Landscape Architectural Committee

February 14, 15, 16 & 17, 1978 - Engineering Evaluation Committee

March 10, 1978 - Regular Board Meeting in Tucson

#### ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by Dr. O'Bannon and seconded by Mr. Earley that the meeting be adjourned at 4:15 P.M. Motion carried.

CHAIRMAN

SECRETARY

#### MINUTES OF THE STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

FEBRUARY 3, 1978

A special meeting of the State Board of Technical Registration was called to order by Dr. R. A. Jimenez, Chairman, in Room 315, 1645 W. Jefferson, Phoenix, Arizona, Friday, February 3, 1978, at 9:00 A.M.

PRESENT
R. A. Jimenez, Chairman
Sam Caruso, Vice-Chairman
F. Mark Edson, Se-retary
Wayne O. Earley
Rod J. Gomez
Kemper Goodwin
Patrick H. Porcarello
John B. Trimble

ABSENT Charles E. O'Bannon

It was moved by Mr. Porcarello and seconded by Mr. Edson that the minutes of the regular meeting of the Board on December 2, 1977, be approved as presented. Motion carried.

#### REPORT OF THE ARCHITECTURAL EXAMINATION COMMITTEE

Mr. Edson presented the Report of the Architectural Examination Committee meeting held January 27, 1978, as shown on Minute Pages 3973 and 3974. It was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that the report of the Architectural Examination Committee meeting held January 27, 1978, be accepted and implemented by the Board. Motion carried.

#### REPORT OF THE ENGINEERING EXAMINATION COMMITTEE

Mr. Gomez presented the Report of the Engineering Examination Committee meeting held February 2, 1978, as shown on Minute Pages 3975 through 3984. It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Caruso that the report of the Engineering Examination Committee meeting held February 2, 1978, be accepted and implemented by the Board. Motion carried.

The Schedule of the April Examinations was presented as shown on Minute Page 3985. Chairman Jimenez noted that these dates were the ones which had been established nationally and commented that the Board should try to use as much as possible those examinations prepared by the National office to ensure uniformity with other states. Also, the national examinations' grading system has a good record for being defensible.

It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that the above schedule of the April examinations as presented be approved. Motion carried.

#### **NEW BUSINESS**

Due to the Western Conference Architect meeting be held March 9-10, 1978, it was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Gomez that the date of the March Board meeting be changed from March 10 to March 3 in Tucson. Motion carried.

Continued on Minute Page 3988

DATE: January 27, 1978

TO: State Board of Technical Registration

FROM: Architectural Examination Committee

The Architectural Examination Committee met on Friday, January 27, 1978, in Phoenix, Arizona, with the following members present:

F. Mark Edson Kemper Goodwin Patrick H. Porcarello

The committee meeting was held to review the results of the Architectural Professional Examination given in December, 1977, and recommends to the Board that the examination results as shown on the page following this report be certified.

The Architectural Examination Committee recommends this report be accepted and implemented by the Board.

Respectfully submitted,

F. Mark Edson, Chairman

# RESULTS OF ARCHITECTS' PROFESSIONAL EXAMINATION DECEMBER, 1977

7		NAME	GRADE	NO.	NAME	GRADE
NO NO	-507	Abell, James Logan	PASS	77-154	Mogro, Carlos	FAIL
	-157	Anderson, Steven Clark	PASS	71-391	Moos, Stephen Leon	FAIL
	-205	Balmer, Wesley Robert	PASS	77-514	Nolan, James Arthur	FAIL
	-558	Batchelder, James Allen	FAIL	76-134	Otwell, William S.	PASS
	-470	Beier, Terry Grant	PASS	77-430	Patterson, Jon Barry	PASS
	-536	Bern, Ross Hilton	PASS	73-212	Podolsky, William I.	FAIL
	-414	Biddle, Warren Robert	FAIL	77-224	Pujdas, Jose C.	FAIL
7.07	-138	Bracamonte, Robert A.	PASS	77-135	Ralston, Philip Johns	FAIL
	-459	Call, Dennis Aaron	PASS	*77-497	Rand, Guilford Allen	PASS
	-157	Castano, George	PASS	*77-463	Rehfeldt, Richard P.	PASS
813	-460	Cheung, Douglas C.	FAIL	*76-444	Ripley, Britt Julin	PASS
*76	-423	Chow, Shao Li	PASS	*77-495	Roberts, James Anson	PASS
77	-528	Colosa, Joseph T.	FAIL	75-358	Robison, Robert C.	PASS
*76	-176	Coover, Christopher	PASS	*77-487	Schick, Richard Henry	PASS
77	-339	Cosentino, Anthony P.	FAIL	77-540	Schmitt, David Leo	PASS
76	-396	Dong, Tillie	FAIL	*77-96	Schuman, Stanley Paul	PASS
77	-180	Dooling, David Paul	FAIL	75-401	Seats, Timothy Alan	FAIL
77	-539	Drakulich, Donald M.	FAIL	77-493	Sexton, Douglas Robert	PASS
74	-499	Duncan, Clarence D.	FAIL	*77-182	Sheller, George F.	PASS
77	-167	Famous, George W.	PASS	*77-133	Six, Richard S.	PASS
72	-135	Fraunhoffer, Herman J.	FAIL	76-353	Slatewala, Abdul A.	FAIL
77	-199	Frerking, Michael H.	PASS	*77-469	Smales, John Michael	PASS
77	-483	Hodges, Thomas Ronald	PASS	*77-486	Solberg, Richard C.	PASS
77	-498	Hutchison, Randall L.	PASS	*77-488	Stevens, Michael Evans	PASS
*77	-413	Jensvold, Daniel Martin	PASS	77-475	Territo, Vincent M.	FAIL
*77	-485	Jones, David Lee	PASS	*77-455	Thompson, Stephen J.	PASS
*77	-474	Kimball, Bruce W.	PASS	*77-450	Thomson, David Fisher	PASS
	-512	Kuzmik, Michael Lee	FAIL	76-443	Trice, Glenn Eugene	FAIL
	-496	Ladd, Stephen Gary	PASS	*77~394	Unti, Harvey George	PASS
	-464	Lesnick, Christopher M.	PASS	*76-455	Vogt, Kenneth James	PASS
	-444	Littler, Norman H., Jr.	PASS	77-114	Walzer, Mitchell B.	FAIL
	-441	Lovchik, Lily Wong	FAIL	*77-429	Watkins, David Walter	PASS
	-538	Lucero, Edward H.	FAIL	*77-163	Watson, James Richard	PASS
	-457	MacVittie, Michael	PASS	77-134	Wilson, James Marvin	FAIL
*77	-471	Martin, Thomas C., II	PASS	77-275	Ziehn, William Charles	PASS

DATE: February 2, 1978

TO: State Board of Technical Registration

FROM: Engineering Examination Committee

The Engineering Examination Committee met on Thursday, February 2, 1978, in Phoenix, Arizona, with the following members present:

Rod Gomez Sam Caruso R. A. Jimenez John B. Trimble

The committee meeting was held to review the results of the examinations given in November, 1977, except for the land surveying examinations which were unavailable, and recommends to the Board that the examination results as shown on the following pages of this report be certified.

By resolution, the committee recommends that the requirement of taking Part 4 of the land surveying examination for those out-of-State registrants who wish to become registered as land surveyors in Arizona be deleted. Those applicants who were previously held for this examination at the September and December, 1977, Board meetings will not be required to take this examination and recommend they be granted registration.

By resolution, the committee recommends that Part 3 of the land surveying examination as well as Parts 1 and 2 be obtained from the National Council of Engineering Examiners. Also, the committee recommends that the Executive Director be authorized to secure Part 4 of the land surveying examination from a source wherein the person who prepares the examination does not teach a review course to proposed applicants.

The Engineering Examination Committee recommends this report be accepted and implemented by the Board.

Respectfully submitted,

Rod Gomez, Chairman

NO.	NAME	Part	Part II	Part III	Part IV	Passed
CHEMICA	L ENGINEERING	I		P P	P	1,2
11288	Chait, Maurice J. (for record)	Р	Р	87	1-	1,2
74-268	Cole, Edward Arthur	Ex	Ex	P	F	
76-193	Hammon, Lyndon Ray	Ex	Ex	F	F	
76-119	Roberts, S. Von	Р	Р	F	F	
76-260	Rosenstock, Hubert	P	P	F	F	
75-558	Villemez, George R.	Ex	Ex	F	F	
CIVIL F	NGINEERING					
77-342	Bethell, John L.	Р	P	F	F	
70-58	Bertella, Guido J.	Р	F	Р	P	
76-585	Buckley, Terry V.	F	F	F	F	
76-208	Buxton, Lawrence A.	P	Р	Р	F	1,3
76-508	Chen, James S. C.	Ex	Ex	F	F	
70-311	Dalrymple, Guerry M.	F	F	F	P	
* 76-541	Doss, Robert Hull	P	Р	Р	Р	3,4
*76-551	Dunn, William Clyde	Р	Р	P	Р	1,2,3,4
*77-291	Evans, John Austin	P	P	P	Р	3,4
* 77-372	Farney, James Eugene	P	Р	P	P	3,4
77-63	Fincel, John M.	P	Р	P	F	
* 76-542	Fisher, James W.	P	P	P	Р	3
,,,,,,,						
75-354	Funderburk, Harvey A.	F	Р	P	Р	2
* 77-129	Goldman, Fred Edward	Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
* 77-98	Haak, Ralph Donald	Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
77-260		Р	P	P	F	3
* 76-540		Р	Р	P	P	3,4
* 76-144		Ex	Ex	P	Р	3
74-373		P	Р	P	F	
* 77-218		Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4
* 77-47	Jenkins, William C.	Ex	Ex	P	Р	3,4
* 77-206	Johnson, George B.	Р	Р	Р	P	3,4
* 77-246		Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4
77-261	The state of the s	Р	Р	Р	F	3
* 77-87	King, Fredrick Lewis	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4
77-61	Koski, Michael Lyle	Ex	Ex	F	F	
* 77-285	Larson, Scott Marvin	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4

	4	·n		4	г
-11	м	-13	LΡ	vs.	⊦
	ч	r	w		L

NO. CIVIL E 11306 77-369 75-266 77-327 75-231 71-472 76-10 76-103 77-340 76-584	NGINEERING - Cont'd.  Lundwall, Dan Richard (for record) McLean, Thomas M.  Mahnke, Stephen A.  Malik, Mahmood A.  Masowdi, Amir M.  Matthews, Lawrence E.,Jr.  Mercer, Donald Jay Milicevic, Novica	Part I P Ex F P Ex	Part II P P Ex P F	Part III P P P P	Part IV P P P F	Passed 1,2 3,4 4 2,3
11306 77-369 75-266 77-327 75-231 71-472 76-10 76-103 77-340	Lundwall, Dan Richard (for record) McLean, Thomas M. Mahnke, Stephen A. Malik, Mahmood A. Masowdi, Amir M. Matthews, Lawrence E.,Jr. Mercer, Donald Jay Milicevic, Novica	P Ex F P	P Ex P F	P P P	P P F	3,4 4 2,3
75-266 77-327 75-231 71-472 76-10 76-103 77-340	McLean, Thomas M.  Mahnke, Stephen A.  Malik, Mahmood A.  Masowdi, Amir M.  Matthews, Lawrence E., Jr.  Mercer, Donald Jay  Milicevic, Novica	Ex F P	Ex P F	P P	P F	4 2,3
75-266 77-327 75-231 71-472 76-10 76-103 77-340	Malik, Mahmood A.  Masowdi, Amir M.  Matthews, Lawrence E., Jr.  Mercer, Donald Jay  Milicevic, Novica	F P P	P F	P	F	2,3
77-327 75-231 71-472 76-10 76-103 77-340	Masowdi, Amir M.  Matthews, Lawrence E.,Jr.  Mercer, Donald Jay  Milicevic, Novica	P P	F			
75-231 71-472 76-10 76-103 77-340	Matthews, Lawrence E.,Jr. Mercer, Donald Jay Milicevic, Novica	P	a di	P		
71-472 76-10 76-103 77-340	Mercer, Donald Jay Milicevic, Novica		P		F	3
76-10 76-103 77-340	Milicevic, Novica	Ex		P	P	4
76-103 77-340			Ex	Ex	F	
		F	Р	F	F	2
76-584	Miller, Phillip B.	Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
	Morgan, Raymond D.	P	P	Р	Р	3,4
10289	Nauseda, Barry B.	F	F	P	Р	
75-421	Nixon, Charles G.	P	F	P	P	1
77-90	Nowatzki, Edward A.	Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
76-384	Ochoa-Romero, Ramon B.	Ex	Ex	F	Ex	
76-573	Patel, Mahesh N.	P	P	P	P	1
75-433	Peterka, Gregory J.	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3
77-253	Rausch, Robert R.	P	P	F	F	
11315	Ritter, John B.	F	Р	P	P	2
77-270	Sands, Thomas G.	Р	Р	Р	Р	3,4
77-377	Scoble, Francis M.	P	P	F	F	1,2
76-329	Smith, Eugene L.	Ex	Ex	P	P	3
77-231	Smith, Ramon Guy	Ex	Ex	F	F	
77-323	Sobieski, Paul G.	P	P	P	F	3
76-563	Swan, Deidre Ann	Р	P	Р	Р	3,4
74-392	Teply, George J.	F	F	P	Р	
77-258	Turner, James A.	Р	P	P	P	3,4
77-37	Wallace, Robert M.	P	P	Р	Р	3
77-259	Weeks, David K.	P	P	P	P	3,4
76-320	Wilkie, Paul A.	F	P	F	F	2
6-68	Wilson, John L.	Ex	Ex	F	F	
74-444	Wong, Jimmy	F	Р	Р	Р	
6-407	Yeager, Larry R.	Ex	Ex	F	F	
	75-421 77-90 76-384 76-573 75-433 77-253 1315 77-270 77-377 76-329 77-231 77-323 76-563 4-392 77-258 77-259 6-320 6-68 4-444	Nauseda, Barry B. (for record) Nixon, Charles G. Nowatzki, Edward A. Ochoa-Romero, Ramon B. Patel, Mahesh N. Peterka, Gregory J. Rausch, Robert R. Ritter, John B. (for record) Sands, Thomas G. C-270 Sands, Thomas G. C-373 Scoble, Francis M. C-329 Smith, Eugene L. C-231 Smith, Ramon Guy C-323 Sobieski, Paul G. C-563 Swan, Deidre Ann C-392 Turner, James A. C-370 Wallace, Robert M. C-384 Ochoa-Romero, Ramon B. C-572 Sands, Mahesh N. C-372 Sobieski, Paul G. C-372 Smith, Ramon Guy C-373 Sobieski, Paul G. C-563 Swan, Deidre Ann C-392 Turner, James A. C-370 Wallace, Robert M. C-259 Weeks, David K. C-320 Wilkie, Paul A. C-668 Wilson, John L. C-4444 Wong, Jimmy	Nauseda, Barry B. (for record) Nixon, Charles G. No-421 Nixon, Charles G. No-421 Nixon, Charles G. No-421 Nixon, Charles G. No-422 Nowatzki, Edward A. No-423 Patel, Mahesh N. No-423 Peterka, Gregory J. No-423 Peterka, Gregory J. No-423 Rausch, Robert R. No-423 Soble, Francis M. No-423 Soble, Francis M. No-423 Sobleski, Paul G. No-423 Sobleski, Paul G. No-423 Rausch, Robert M. No-423 Rausch, Robert R. No-423 Rausch, Ro	Nauseda, Barry B. (for record) Nixon, Charles G. Nowatzki, Edward A. Novatzki, Nowatzki, Nowatzk	Nauseda, Barry B. (for record) Nixon, Charles G. P F P Novatzki, Edward A. Ex Ex P Novatzki, Edward A. Ex Ex F P Novatzki, Edward A. Ex Ex F Novatzki, Rausch, Robert R. P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	Nauseda, Barry B. (for record)  75-421 Nixon, Charles G.  P F P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P

		XXXXXX					30 10
	NO.	NAME CAL ENGINEERING	Part I	Part II	Part III	Part IV	Passed
		CAL ENGINEERING Ananthanarayanan, B.	P	F	F	F	1
17	77-269	Bahl, Prem K.	Р	Р	Р	P	2
	* 76-514	Barr, Howard Earl	Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
	* 77-141	Bischoff, Stephen J.	P	Р	Р	P	3,4
	* 77-254	Butkovich, James T.	F	F	Р	P	3,4
	77-255	Campbell, William	Р	F	Р	P	3,4
	75-185	Clark, Roger W.	Ex	Ex	F	P	4
	76-52	Davis, John Edward	Ex	Ex	F	F	
	77-325		F	F	DNA	DNA	
	73-320	DeLuca, Rocco G.	Ex	Ex	F	F	
	77-214	Emmons, Glen T.	P	P	Р	Р	3,4
	* 77-125	Fleck, Dennis M.	F	F	Р	Р	
	74-669	Haines, Robert T.	F	F	F	Р	4 .
	77-308	Hall, Esrie Sherman, Jr.	P	Р	Р	Р	1,2,3,4
	* 77-264	Johnson, Raymond R.	F	F	F	P	4
	77-341	Jolley, Virdes Allen	Ex	Ex	P	Р	3,4
	11440	Jones, Roger Clyde (for record)	LX	LA			4
M	76-249	Kelly, John Howard	Ex	Ex	F	F	
	76-72	King, Richard J., Jr.	F	F	F	F	
	* 77-303		Ex	Ex	Р	P	3,4
	* 77-59	Lind, Kenneth Carl	Ex	Ex	Р	P	3,4
	* 77-204		Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4
	* 76-389	THE WASHINGTON AND ASSESSED TO THE PARTY OF	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3
	73-704		Ex	Ex	F	F	
	* 76-278	THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH	Р	Р	Р	P	3,4
	70-240	The second secon	Ex	Ex	F	F	
	* 76-189		Р	Р	P	P	1,2,3
	* 77-338	Committee of the control of the cont	Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
	* 77-267		Р	P	P	Р	1,2,3,4
	76-536		Ex	Ex	F	F	
	* 76-529		Ex	Ex	Р	Р	4
	* 77-302		Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
	* 77-307		Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
	77-309		Ex	Ex	F	Р	4
A STATE OF	* 77-250	,	Ex	Ex	Р	P	3,4
	77-335		P	P	Р	F	1,2,3
	* 77-71		P	Р	Р	P	3,4
	77-12	5 Calletter (Carette		Ex	F	F	
	-	Zaletel, Edward A.	Ex	LX			

	NAME					010
NO.	CAL ENGINEERING	Part I	Part II	Part III	Part IV	Passed
	Maier, Michael M.	Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
	Thomas, Stewart A.	F	F	F	P	4
111	Youell, James R.	P	F	Р	Р	Jul
GEOPHYS	ICAL ENGINEERING					
* 77-209	Scheffey, Paul L.	Ex	Ex	P	Р	3,4
HIGHWAY	ENGINEERING					
74-166	Blazzard, Max S.	F	F	F	P	
74-271	Bloom, Norman K.	P	F	F	P	
2//2	Boren, Ervin L.	F	F	F	F	
	Foster, Thomas D.	F	F	P	F	
73-44	Key, Orin William	P	F	P	P	
23/2/2/2	Lay, George John	P	F	Р	P	
76-345	Mitchell, William A.	F	F	F	F	
70-413	Patty, John H.	F	P	P	P	
71-285	Saban, Johnny	P	P	P	P	4
	Snyder, Jack D., Sr.	F	Р	P	Р	2 .
	Strouse, David F.	F	F	Р	Р	
75-492	Taylor, Allen R.	P	Р	Р	F	1
76-85	Wright, Edward D.	F	F	P	F	3
INDUSTR	IAL ENGINEERING		P		P. I	
77-164	Schaal, Ivan Eugene	Р	Р	Р	Р	1,2,3,4
MECHANI	CAL ENGINEERING	Exit	8.1	Fileli	P	
77-289	Bachel, Larry F.	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4
77-334	Barnes, Darryl L.	P	Р	F	F	1,2
77-122	Boyd, Donald R.	P	P	Р	Р	3,4
76-23	Brown, Larry Leroy	P	Р	F	DNA	1,2
77-348		Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4
77-237	Chilton, Daniel L.	P	Р	Р	Р	1,2,3,4,
77-102	Christensen, Roger J.	Ex	Ex	Р	P	3,4
75-546	Cooper, Howard M.	Р	Р	F	P	
77-7	Cromack, John R.	Ex	Ex	Р	P	4
74-700	Darmiento, Frank T.	Р	P	Р	Р	4

		NAME							
	NO.	ICAL ENGINEERING - Cont'd.	Part I	Part II		Part III	Part IV	Pi	assed
17	9036	Gallagher, Roger W. (for record)	F	Р		Р	Р	2	
19	71-279	t Ol - lan Educated	P	Р		F	Р		
	* 77-153	F 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ex	Ex		P	Р	3,4	4
	76-20	Hartman, Paul O.	Р	P		F	Р	2	
	* 77-212	Hecht-Nielsen, Robert	Р	Р		P	Р	3,4	4
	72-599	D: 1 1 0	Р	Р		F	Р		
	* 76-37	Kunka, Peter	Р	Р		P	Р	3	1,8
	* 77-295	Menconi, Peter I.	Ex	Ex		Р	P	3,4	4
	70-432	- 1 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전	F	F		P	P		5.6
	* 76-476		Р	Р		Р	Р	3	
	* 76-220	St. At The Western P.	P	Р		Р	P	4	
	77-213		F	Р		F	F	2	BART I
	* 77-363	Shultz, Robert Allen	Ex	Ex		Р	P	3,4	4
	* 75-522	Sisk, Robert Lee, Jr.	Ex	Ex		P	P	4	
	76-333	Skiles, Donnie G.	Ex	Ex		P	F	3	
	77-252	Thies, Joel O.	Р	Р		Р	F	1,7	2,3
P	76-535	Weakland, Bernard H., Jr.	<u>p</u>	F		Р	F	3	
7	MINING	ENGINEERING				V	1,2		e e
	* 77-65	Attaway, Michael P.	Ex	Ex		Р	Р	3,4	4
	* 77-151	Marshall, Robert H.	Ex	Ex		Р	Р	3,4	
	77-326	Phillips, Stephen H. E.	F	Р		F	Р	2,4	1
	NUCLEAR	RENGINEERING							
		Stirland, Meade A.	Ex	Ex		F	Р	4	
	SANITAR	RY ENGINEERING							
*	* 76-499		Ex	Ex		Р	Р	3,4	4
	STRUCTU	JRAL ENGINEERING	Part I	Part II	Part III	Part IV	Part V	Part	t Passed
	77-45	Ahmed, Moizuddin	Ex	Ex	P	P	F	P	3,4,6
	75-472		P	P	P	P	F	F	3,4
	77-155	Biondolillo, Louis P.	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	F	P	6
4	* 76-376	Dumack, Ralph C.	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	P	P	5
	* 75-135		Ex	Ex	P	P	P	Р	6

	Conse					00	JOL	
NO.	NAME RAL ENGINEERING - Cont'd.	Part I	Part II	Part III	Part IV	Part V	Part VI	Passe
74-283	Gutt, Tadius J.	Ex	Ex	Р	P	F	P	
77-27	Haley, William S.	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	P	P	5,6
77-319		Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	Р	P	5,6
75-309	Linder, Barry M.	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	F	Ex	
73-152	Locke, James D.	Ex	Ex	Р	P	P	F	
76-374	Marwah, Dharam Pal	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	P	F	
77-210	Scatena, Louis R. P.	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	P	Р	5,6
75-593	Soto, Edward M.	P	Р	Р	P	F	P	
77-228	Vogel, Gary Lynn	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	Р	P	5,6
GEOLOGY	Delley, Davis J. Spatter, Treat A.	Part I	Part II		Part III	Part IV	Pas	sed
	Freeman, Gilbert N.	Ex	Ex		F	Р		
	Langland, Leo Lee	Ex	Ex		F	Р		
76-32	=	Ex	Ex		F	Р		
ASSAYIN	G		I		11	Passed		
	Jacobs, Michael George		Р		Р	1,2		
1075	Million Darley							
	R-IN-TRAINING		Grad	de				
77-200	Acton, John L.		Р					
	Alston, Duane Russell		Р					
	Alvarez, Joseph John		Р					
77-165	Armenta, Jose Luis		F					
77-154	Baldwin, Charles Cummins		Р					
76-8	Ballesteros, Louis C.		F					
77-120	Black, Philip B.		Р					
77-179	Bleakney, William W.		P					
77-26	Boisvert, Albert E.		F					
77-201	Brack, John Douglas		Р					
77-209	Branz, Steven A.		Р					
77-319	Brathovde, Robert Dean		P					

	NO.			
	FNGINEE	R-IN-TRAINING	Grade	
7	77-135	Bro, William J.	F	
7	77-210	Bullock, David H.	P	
	77-163	Bussell, Thomas Scott	P	
	77-24	Cambier, James S.	F	
	77-128	Carr, John William	P	
	77-136	Cawood, Carl G.	F	
	77-153	Chandler, Randy Neal	P	
	77-119	Christopher, Robert Erroll	F	
	77-195	Coash, Melvin L.	P	
	77-180	Cook, Robert Louis	P	
	77-178	Cooley, David J.	P	
	77-151	Coolidge, Thomas H.	P	
	74-127	Cooper, Marvin Glynn	F	
	77-218	Covey, James Roger	P	
	77-208	Crawford, Darlene	F	
	77-145	Cullinane, Douglas G.	P	
n	77-129	Daemen, Jaak Joseph K.	P	
8	77-175	Dailer, David	P	
	77-14	Daily, Micahel Dennis	F	24
	77-92	Davis, Douglas Charles	F	
	77-162	Despain, Ronald A.	P	
	77-147	Dohmen, Diana T.	F	
	77-216	Domzalski, Mark William	P	
	77-157	Dotson, David Dell	P	
	77-134	Drinkwater, David Wayne	P	
	77-143	Duckworth, Charles Byron	P	
	77-193	Franzmeier, Robert W.	P	
	77-190	Gabbay, Michael Jason	P	
	77-176	Gerlach, James W.	F	
	77-22	Goff, Thomas H.	P	
	77-213	Greenberg, Marcie	F	
	77-212	Greenwood, William Harley	P	
	77-173	Hartman, Diane J.	F	
J	77-182		P	
	77-170	Hom, Donald D.	P	
	77-148		P	

	110.	R-IN-TRAINING	Grade	
25	ENGINEE	Kanovich, Joseph S.	P	
Q		Klemstine, Barbara A.	F.	
	77-186	Lang, Michael	p	
	77-215	Lester, John S.	P	
	77-181	Lewis, Brett A.	p	
	77-138	Luebkin, Dennis	F	
	75-205	McCabe, Douglas Jay	F	
	77-17	McDonald, Jeffery Craig	P	
	77-167	McElroy, Robert A., Jr.	p	
	77-189	McGlamery, Samuel T.	p	
	77-174	Mahoney, Thomas Patrick	P	
	77-144	Maldonado, Larry M.	F	
	77-150	Maples, Vance H.	P	
	77-192	Martin, Andrew Gainor	P	
	77-211	Marum, Patrick William	P	
	77-142	Morreale, Antonio	F	
ñ	77-152	Mudgett, Peter Michael	P	
1	77-194	Muthart, Fred E.	Р	
22	77-164	Nothaft, Mark W.	Р	
	77-207	Nuttall, Vincent J.	P	
	77-155	Orr, Stephen A.	P	
	77-132	Passarelli, Raymond James	F	
	76-136	Pearson, J. Michael	Р	
	77-23	Perez, Joe E.	F	
	77-198	Preston, Matthew S.	P	
	77-214	Puffer, Mark H.	P	
	77-205	Purvis, Quincy D.	F	
	77-184	Rodgers, Linda Kaye	F	
	77-217	Roleson, Wm. Scott	Р	
	77-161	Roscoe, Robert W.	Р	
	77-158	Ross, Pamela J.	F	
	77-177	Schmidt, Henry Joseph	P	
	77-146	Scott, Bryant C.	Р	
J	77-202	Scott, Laurence E.	P	
	77-172	Sears, David M.	F	

FNGINE	ER-IN-TRAINING	Grade	
77-199	7 Daniel I	Р	
77-159		P	
77-203	111777	Р	
77-204	D. I. D. I.	P	
77-197	10 1 1	F	
73-96	Shields, Clarence Robert	F	
77-196	Siewert, Dennis Oliver	P	
77-183	Simons, Mark D.	P. Jane Sent	
77-166	Smith, Michael Leroy	Р	
77-130		Post Committee Committee	
77-191	Springer, Jeffery Clyde	P	
77-188	Ternak, William Michael, Jr.	P	
77-141	Thomas, Richard G.	for Sleep so on It of the In	
77-169	Thomas, Terrance Michael	Р	
77-168	Troester, John Francis	Р	
77-149	Vail, William R.	P	
77-137	Van Dyke, Richard Lee, II	P	
77-133	Walker, Richard D.	P	
77-51	White, Warren L.	Р	
77-187	Wiedeman, Craig Parker	P	
77-100	Wijatyk, Ihor	P P	
7-156	Wikander, William Karl	Р	
7-150	Wilber, James Elvin	F	
77-18	Young, Timothy John	For of the Instance	
			ALCOHOLD STATE
EOLOGI	ST-IN-TRAINING		
7-1	Bales James Thomas	F	

Bales, James Thomas F

#### ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

#### APRIL SCHEDULE OF EXAMINATIONS

## SATURDAY, APRIL 15, 1978

TEMPE, ARIZONA - Report to Room G150, Ground Floor of the Engineering Center at ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY. Rooms G-224, G-228 and G-236 will also be used on the second floor.

TUCSON, ARIZONA- Report to Room 102, Humanities Building, at the UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

#### 8:00 A.M. to 12:00 NOON

All professional engineering applicants Held for Part I, Fundamentals of Engineering.

All professional geology applicants held for Part I, Basic Geology.

All land surveying applicants held for Part I, Surveying Techniques.

All assaying applicants held for Part I, Assaying Examination.

All professional structural engineering applicants held for Part V, Comprehensive Engineering Design.

All E.I.T. and G.I.T. applicants held for Subsection 1 of the in-training exam.

#### 1:00 P.M. to 5:00 P.M.

All professional engineering applicants held for Part II, Fundamentals of Engineering.

All professional geology applicants held for Part II, Basic Geology.

All land surveying applicants held for Part II, Computations.

All assaying applicants held for Part II, Assaying Examination.

All professional structural engineering applicants held for Part VI, Structural Engineering Design.

All E.I.T. and G.I.T. applicants held for Subsection II of the in-training exam.

#### II. SUNDAY, APRIL 16, 1978

TEMPE, ARIZONA - Report to Room G-150, Ground Floor of the Engineering Center at ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY. Rooms G-224, G-228 and G-236 will also be used on the second floor.

TUCSON, ARIZONA- Report to Rooms 301 and 303 of the Civil Engineering Building at the UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA.

#### 8:00 A.M. to 12:00 NOON

Part III - Engineering Analysis - all branches of engineering.

Part III - Applied Geology.

Part III - Land Surveying Rules and Regulations.

#### 1:00 P.M. to 5:00 P.M.

Part IV - Engineering Design - all branches of engineering.

Part IV - Geological Problems.

Part IV - Land Surveying Legal Principles.

It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Caruso that the following applicants for professional registration having completed the personal audience and all other requirements of this Board be granted registration and assigned the registration numbers as indicated. Motion carried.

ARCHITECTURE		CIVIL ENGINEERING - Cont'd.	
Abell, James Logan	11544	Miller, Philip Bruce	11593
Anderson, Steven Clark	11545	Morgan, Raymond Dale	11594
Beier, Terry Grant	11546	Nowatzki, Edward A.	11595
Beler, Terry arans	11547	Patel, Mahesh Nagjibhai	11596
Bern, Ross Hilton	11548	Peterka, Gregory James	11597
Call, Dennis Aaron	11549		11598
Castano, George		Sands, Thomas Gregory	
Chow, Shao Li	11550	Smith, Eugene L.	11599
Coover, Christopher	11551	Swan, Deidre Ann	11600
Jensvold, Daniel Martin	11552	Turner, James Albert	11601
Jones, David Lee	11553	Wallace, Robert Marvin	11602
Kimball, Bruce W.	11554	Weeks, David Kendall	11603
Littler, Norman Herbert, Jr.	11555		
MacVittie, Michael	11556	ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING	
Martin, Thomas Charles, II	11557	Bahl, Prem K.	11604
Rand, Guilford Allen	11558	Barr, Howard Earl	11605
Rand, Guillord Allen	11559		11606
Rehfeldt, Richard Philip		Bischoff, Stephen Julius	
Ripley, Britt Juhlin	11560	Fleck, Dennis Maxon	11607
Roberts, James Anson	11561	Johnson, Raymond R.	11608
Schick, Richard Henry	11562	Lepley, Thomas C.	11609
Schuman, Stanley Paul	11563	Lind, Keenth Carl	11610
Sheller, George Fredrick	11564	Llewellyn, Robert Neilson	11611
Six, Richard S.	11565	Lundgren, Victor John	11612
Smales, John Michael	11566	Mah, Stanley	11613
Solberg, Richard Craig	11567	Nehal, Syed M.	11614
Stevens, Michael Evans	11568	Payne, Francis Leland	11615
	11569		11616
Thompson, Stephen John		Preckshot, George Garrell	
Thomson, David Fisher	11570	Selby, Herbert R.	11617
Unti, Harvey George	11571	Shelton, David Gordon	11618
Vogt, Kenneth James	11572	Sierra, Stanley	11619
Watkins, David Walter	11573	Theiss, John Boyd	11620
Watson, James Richard	11574	Yee, David Moon	11621
CIVIL ENGINEERING		GEOLOGICAL ENGINEERING	
Doss, Robert Hull	11575	Maier, Michael M.	11622
Dunn, William Clyde	11576	nator, monact m	1.10000
Evans, John Austin	11577	GEOPHYSICAL ENGINEERING	
Farney, James Eugene	11578		11623
Fisher lames Hammer		Scheffey, Paul Lincoln	11023
Fisher, James Warren	11579	UIOUNIAN ENOTHEEDING	
Goldman, Fred Edward	11580	HIGHWAY ENGINEERING	
Haak, Ralph Donald	11581	Saban, Johnny	11624
Haws, Wallace Ray	11582		
Heiniger, Gordon Gene	11583	INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING	
Imig, Donald P.	11584	Schaal, Ivan Eugene	11625
Jenkins, William C.	11585		
Johnson, George Bryan	11586	MECHANICAL ENGINEERING	
Kelly, Timothy Emmet	11587	Bachel, Larry Francis	11626
King, Fredrick Lewis	11588	Boyd, Donald Robert	11627
Larson, Scott Marvin	11589		11628
McLean Thomas Michael		Burbine, Norman Henry	
McLean, Thomas Michael	11590	Chilton, Daniel L.	11629
Mahnke, Stephen A.	11591	Christensen, Roger James	11630
Matthews, Lawrence Eldridge, J	r.11592	Cromack, John Robert	11631

Continued....

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING - Cont'd	11632 11633	ASSAYING Jacobs, Michael George	11650
Harp, Lynn Leslie Hecht-Nielsen, Robert Kunka, Peter	11634 11635 11636	LAND SURVEYING Brown, Kenneth James Dyer, Maynard Ray	11651 11652
Menconi, Peter Irish Nyman, Bengt Ebbe Harald Rihs, Paul William	11637 11638 11639	Flores, Luis A., Jr. Herschbach, Clarence Albert	11653 11654 11655
Shultz, Robert Allen Sisk, Robert Lee, Jr.	11640	Hoffman, Claud Milton Kennerson, Jack L. Krohn, Karl Newbury	11656 11657
MINING ENGINEERING Attaway, Michael Patrick Marshall, Robert Harlan	11641 11642	Nowakowski, Richard John Roach, James Christopher Young, William Lawson	11658 11659 11660
SANITARY ENGINEERING Clark, George Gregory	11643		
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING Dumack, Ralph Coin Dunkelberg, Jan Erik Haley, William S. Holmes, John Forrest Scatena, Louis Ronald Peter Vogel, Gary Lynn	11644 11645 11646 11647 11648 11649		

It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Caruso that the following applicants for in-training registration having satisfactorily completed the written examination be granted in-training registration and assigned the in-training registration numbers as indicated. Motion carried.

ENGINEER-IN-TRAINING			
Alston, Duane Russell	1861	Hightower, Richard Anderson, III	1878
Baker, William Hugh, Jr.	1862	Holohan, Mark D.	1879
Bouley, William Lloyd	1863	Hyde, Richard M., Jr.	1880
Bouwer, Edward John	1864	Kanovich, Joseph S.	1881
Bullock, David H.	1865	Kreiner, Richard D.	1882
Carr, John William	1866	Lester, John S.	1883
Covey, James Rager	1867	Pearson, J. Michael	1884
Daemen, Jaak Joseph K.	1869	Rowley, Steve	1885
Drinkwater, David Wayne	1870	Servaas, Paul Richard	1886
Duckworth, Charles Byron	1871	Simons, Mark D.	1887
Franzmeier, Robert W.	1872	Thomas, Richard G.	1888
Galhouse, Stephen L.	1873	Troester, John Francis	1889
Gasper, Jim R.	1874	West, Edward Maynard	1890
Geiser, George James	1875	Wiedeman, Craig Parker	1891
GOTT, Thomas H.	1876	Wikander, William Karl	1892
Greenwood, William Harley	1877	Windrem, Craig Allen	1893
Cross, Donald E.	1868	All the second transfer of the second of the State of the	40

#### NEW BUSINESS - Cont'd.

Mr. E. G. Drewry, Executive Director, had submitted a letter of resignation to the Chairman of the Board and Members on January 20, 1978, effective February 25, 1978. It was moved by Mr. Porcarello and seconded by Mr. Earley that Mr. Drewry's letter of resignation be accepted with great regrets. Motion carried.

Chairman Jimenez stated he had contacted two present Board members and one past Board member to serve as a Selection Committee to review the applications and evaluate the candidates for the position of Executive Director. He had approached Patrick H. Porcarello, John B. Trimble and Robert G. Wallace to serve with him on this Selection Committee and they had all consented to serve.

It was moved by Mr. Caruso and seconded by Mr. Gomez that the above Selection Committee be accepted by the Board. Motion carried.

It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Earley that the Selection Committee will choose three or more of the best qualified candidates and submit them to the Board with relative merits indicated by ranking. Motion carried.

Mr. Michael Sillyman, Asst. Attorney General, appeared before the Board and introduced Mr. Dave Ronald who will be our representative from the Attorney General's office. He explained that Mr. Ronald had considerable trial experience. Mr. Sillyman commented on the procedure we had been using when an applicant has been denied and the applicant's right to appeal. He stated that the State Board of Accountancy and Physical Therapy Examiners have a similar law as this Board, wherein an applicant may be denied for failure to have experience of a character satisfactory to the Board. Their law had been challenged and the Superior Court rules the term was too vague. The courts could possibly determine our law is unconstitutional and throw out the whole law. He suggested the Board define in their Rules what is experience of a character satisfactory to the Board.

#### ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the Chairman adjourned the meeting at 12:00 P.M.

CHAIRMAN

SECRETARY

#### MINUTES OF THE STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

MARCH 3, 1978

The meeting of the State Board of Technical Registration was called to order by Dr. R. A. Jimenez, Chairman, in the Robert L. Houston Memorial Conference Room of the Physical Resources Services Building, at the University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, Friday, March 3, 1978, at 8:00 A.M.

PRESENT R. A. Jimenez, Chairman Sam Caruso, Vice-Chairman F. Mark Edson, Secretary Wayne O. Earley Rod J. Gomez Kemper Goodwin Charles E. O'Bannon Patrick H. Porcarello John B. Trimble Dave Ronald, Asst. Atty. Gen.

## ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

ABSENT

The Chairman announced that the purpose of this hearing was to consider the application of Mr. Gregory Robinson for registration as an architect in the State of Arizona. Mr. Robinson was present and represented by his counsel, Scott Schlievert. Mr. Dave Ronald, Asst. Attorney General, represented the Board.

Dave Erwin was the court reporter from the firm of Bouley, Schlesinger & Di Curti, 187 N. Church, Tucson, Arizona, who took the transcription of the hearing.

After Mr. Robinson had presented to the Board his experience record, under oath, and responded to questions of the various Board members, the Chairman stated that the matter will be taken under advisement, and that the parties are requested to submit their proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law within 10 days. The decision of the Board will be made within 30 days thereafter at which time a Notice of Public Meeting will be made.

It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Edson that the minutes of the special meeting of the Board on February 3, 1978, be approved as presented. Motion carried.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

No report.

#### REPORT OF THE RULES & BY-LAWS COMMITTEE

Mr. Caruso reported that the response to Mr. Louis Sullivan regarding Communication Studies was referred to this committee at the December Board meeting, and it is the opinion of this committee that a communication study does not involve the application of special knowledge in the mathematical, physical, and engineering sciences. Also, it does not require a special engineering education to perform such a service. Therefore, this type of study need not be performed by a registered person. Mr. Caruso explained that before this decision was made by the committee, they had contacted several other registrants for their opinion, and their consensus was that Communication Studies did not require a registrant.

It was moved by Mr. Caruso and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that Mr. Sullivan be advised of the Board's position in this matter. Motion carried.

#### REPORT OF THE EVALUATION & EXAMINATION COMMITTEES

Mr. Edson presented the report of the Architectural Evaluation Committee meeting of February 10, 1978, as shown on Minute Pages 3991 and 3992. It was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that this report of the Architectural Evaluation Committee meeting be accepted and implemented by the Board. Motion carried.

Mr. Edson presented the Schedule for the Architect and Architect-in-Training Qualifying Examination for June, 1978, as shown on Minute Page 3993. It was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. Goodwin that this schedule of examinations for Architects and Architects-in-Training be approved as presented. Motion carried.

Mr. Earley presented the report of the Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee meeting of February 10, 1978, as shown on Minute Page 3994. It was moved by Mr. Earley and seconded by Mr. Goodwin that this report of the Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee meeting be accepted and implemented by the Board. Motion carried.

Letters from Krishan K. Sharma, Mechanical Engineer, File #78-11, requesting reconsideration of the recommendations of the Engineering Evaluation Committee were presented. It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Caruso that in consideration of Mr. Sharma's request, his request be denied and that the recommendations of the Engineering Evaluation Committee in his case be accepted. Motion carried.

Letter from Rajinder Singh, Mechanical Engineer, File #77-594, requesting reconsideration of the recommendations of the Engineering Evaluation Committee was presented to the Board. The Board discussed his application as to his previous registration in the United Kingdom and Canada, and his application with the California Board exempting him from the EIT examination. It was also noted that his age was 46. It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Caruso that this applicant be granted exemption of Parts 1 and 2 and he be held for Parts 3 and 4 as shown on the Engineering Evaluation Committee Report. Motion carried with one nay by Dr. O'Bannon.

DATE: February 24, 1978

TO:

State Board of Technical Registration

FROM:

Architectural Evaluation Committee

SUBJECT: Evaluation of Applicants

The Architectural Evaluation Committee met on February 10, 1978, in Phoenix, Arizona, with the following members present:

> F. Mark Edson Kemper Goodwin

There being a quorum present at all times the committee reviewed the following applicants and make to the Board the recommendations shown regarding their professional registration in Arizona:

1. The following applicants having appeared before the committee and satisfied the committee they are fully qualified, including the treatise on seismic forces, to receive architectural registration in Arizona under A.R.S. 32-123.A and A.R.S. 32-126, are hereby recommended for registration:

Ayers, Douglas Tom	78-39	Martin, Albert C.	77-612
Barbarino, John Paul	77-466	Merker, Albert Seewald	77-380
Birkhahn, George John	77-578	Nakata, Clifford S.	77-427
Bridges, Robert J.	77-581	Neuberger, Herman	77-537
Davis, Rodney Secor	78-25	Nevins, Robert L.	77-566
Doriot, C. Frederic	78-75	Scheer, Patrick Edward	77-649
Duplanty, David Roger	77-656	Siros, Anthony J., Jr.	77-577
Frasca, Robert John	77-613	Tatman, Bobby Lynn	77-584
Goldberg, Bertrand	77-631	Vermeyen, Charles P.	77-651
Hawkins, Jasper Stillwell	78-76	Winter, Steven	77-458
Hermann, Frederick Arthur	78-50	Zwolensky, Franz	77-583

·II. The following applicants having appeared before the committee, while completing their personal audience interview, need demonstration of additional evidence of their proficiency, A.R.S. 32-123.B, and it is recommended to the Board that these individuals be held for the written examinations indicated:

Applicants held for the June, 1978, and December, 1978, examinations:

Ludwig, Neil Milton	77-663	B,C,D,E of Qual. & Professional
Rowlands, Russell Dewey	77-554	Professional

III. The following applicant having been advised twice he was scheduled to appear before the committee and for his failure to do so, the committee recommends he be denied for failure to complete the requirements of the Board within a reasonable length of time:

Lilien, Arthur

IV. The following applicant having been advised twice he was to submit a treatise on seismic forces and for his failure to do so, the committee recommends he be denied for failure to complete the requirements of the Board within a reasonable length of time:

Geiger, William N., Jr.

77-428

The committee recommends to the Board that Recommendations I through IV of this report be accepted and implemented.

Respectfully submitted,

F. Mark Edson, Chairman

# SCHEDULE OF EXAMINATIONS FOR ARCHITECTS AND ARCHITECTS-IN-TRAINING

#### QUALIFYING EXAM

#### TWO LOCATIONS:

#### 1. TEMPE, ARIZONA

COLLEGE OF ARCHITECTURE BUILDING, SOUTH OF UNIVERSITY DRIVE ON FOREST AVENUE, ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY

#### 2. TUCSON, ARIZONA

COLLEGE OF ARCHITECTURE BUILDING, SPEEDWAY AND OLIVE STREETS, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

#### MONDAY, JUNE 12, 1978

7:30 A.M. to 9:30 A.M. - Section A: Architectural Theory

9:30 A.M. to 12:30 P.M.- Section B: Structural Technology (Reference Material is Permitted)

12:30 P.M. to 2:30 P.M. - Section C: Materials & Methods of Construction

2:30 P.M. to 4:30 P.M. - Section D: Environmental Control Systems

#### TUESDAY, JUNE 13, 1978

7:30 A.M. to 7:30 P.M. - Section E: Design - 2 subsections
E.1 - 1-hr. multiple choice test
E.2 - 11-hr. graphic solution

(A Design Fact Sheet will be issued and candidates are permitted to bring this to the examination)

DATE: February 24, 1978

TO:

State Board of Technical Registration

FROM:

Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee

SUBJECT:

Evaluation of Applicants

The Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee met on February 10, 1978, in Phoenix, Arizona, with the following members present:

F. Mark Edson Kemper Goodwin

There being a quorum present at all times the committee reviewed the following applicant and makes to the Board the recommendation shown regarding his professional registration in Arizona:

I. The following applicant having appeared before the committee and satisfied the committee he is fully qualified to receive landscape architectural registration in Arizona under A.R.S. 32-123.A and A.R.S. 32-126, is hereby recommended for registration:

Guzzardo, Anthony M.

77-591

The committee recommends to the Board that the above recommendation of this report be accepted and implemented.

Respectfully submitted,

Wayne O. Earley, Chairman

Wayne Forly

#### REPORT OF THE EVALUATION & EXAMINATION COMMITTEES - Cont'd.

Mr. Francisco Baltier, Electrical Engineer, File #77-550, appeared before the Board for the purpose of reviewing the recommendations of the Evaluation Committee. Mr. Baltier explained he had received registration in Texas by education, graduate of University of Texas at El Paso, and experience. He felt in his opinion that being a graduate of an ECPD school satisfied the requirement for the E.I.T. Mr. Gomez explained that this State had exempted graduates of ECPD schools but discovered we were among only 3 or 4 states which did not require the applicant to take the EIT, and a record of the examinations in the past have shown graudates of ECPD did not pass the examination.

It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Caruso that the recommendations of the Evaluation Committee be accepted holding Mr. Baltier for Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4. Motion carried.

Mr. Ronald, Asst. Attorney General, stated that as he had advised before these requirements cannot be based on an unwritten policy but should be in the Rules as to why the Board makes their decision.

Mr. Gomez presented the report of the Engineering Evaluation Committee meeting of February 14, 15, 16, and 17, 1978, as shown on Minute Pages 3996, 3997, 3998, 3999, and 4000. It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that this report of the Engineering Evaluation Committee meeting be accepted and implemented by the Board. Motion carried.

It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that the following applicants are to be denied with neither prejudice nor refund at their request. Motion carried.

Kolflat, To Dagfin	77-453	Mechanical Engineer
Murphy, William J.	78-26	Electrical Engineer
Needham, Robert Marvin	77-604	Civil Engineer
Pendell, George W.	77-477	Mechanical Engineer
Tai, Vincent Tin-Chung	77-395	Architect
Wesley, Oliver Peter	77-345	Civil Engineer

It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the following applicants for professional registration having completed the personal audience and all other requirements of this Board be granted registration and assigned the registration numbers as indicated. Motion carried.

ARCHITECTURE		ARCHITECTURE - Cont'd.	
Ayers, Douglas Tom	11661	Martin, Albert C.	11672
Barbarino, John Paul	11662	Merker, Albert Seewald	11673
Birkhahn, Goerge John	11663	Nakata, Clifford S.	11674
Bridges, Robert J.	11664	Neuberger, Herman	11675
Davis, Rodney Secor	11665	Nevins, Robert L.	11676
Doriot, C. Frederic	11666	Scheer, Patrick Edward	11677
Duplanty, DAvid Roger	11667	Siros, Anthony J., Jr.	11678
Frasca, Robert John	11668	Tatman, Bobby Lynn	11679
Goldberg, Bertrant	11669	Vermeyen, Charles P.	11680
Hawkins, Jasper Stillwell	11670	Winter, Steven	11681
Hermann, Frederick Arthur	11671	Zwolensky, Franz	11682

DATE: February 24, 1978

TO: State Board of Technical Registration

FROM: Engineering Evaluation Committee

SUBJECT: Evaluation of Applicants

The Engineering Evaluation Committee met on February 14, 15, 16 & 17, 1978, in Phoenix, Arizona, with the following members present:

Sam Caruso
Rod J. Gomez
R. A. Jimenez

C. E. O'Bannon
John B. Trimble

There being a quorum present at all times the committee reviewed the following applicants and make to the Board the recommendations shown regarding their professional registration in Arizona.

The following applicants having appeared before the committee and satisfied the committee they are fully qualified to receive registration in Arizona under A.R.S. 32-123.A and A.R.S. 32-126, are hereby recommended for registration:

CHEMICAL ENGINEERING Goodner, Charles Grover, Jr.	77-544	CIVIL ENGINEERING - Cont'd. Tillitt, James Cooper Trumbauer, Barry Elwood	77-435 77-568
CIVIL ENGINEERING Anderson, Roger P.	77-518	Vasileff, Vasil	77-586
Block, Allan John	77-574	ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING	
Calocerinos, Emanuel James	77-542	Abhyanker, Vasant Laxman	77-476
Desai, Narendra L.	78-5	Baltz, James Oscar	77-654
Dolecki, Stanley	77-543	Chen, Charles Kun-Huang	78-23
Grebe, Edward Andrew	77-570	Cooley, Donald Lee	77-618
Halsey, James Frederic	77-521	Durboraw, I. Newton, Jr.	77-324
Hawkins, Richard J.	77-602	Flowers, James Berton	77-647
Hirth, Walter Francis	77-637	Knight, Alton Eugene, Jr.	77-609
Horst, Timothy Lee	77-572	Nusbaum, Wellman Edward	77-575
Hovelsrud, Herman Julian	77-657	Pearson, Donald Stuart	75-547
Janick, Joseph William	77-502		
Kimball, William T.	78-51	INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING	77 506
Klimek, Thomas James	77-596	Flood, Joseph L.	77-506
Knoblock, George Charles, Jr.	77-557 77-658	Stein, Jack	77-665
McDaniel, Robert Eugene McGovern, Thomas Patrick	77-607	MECHANICAL ENGINEERING	
McRae, Cyril Malcolm	77-558	Baker, Richard Leith	77-593
Makarechian, A. Hassan	78-19	Booth, Norman Topping, Jr.	77-567
Mann, Thomas Townsend, Jr.	77-559	Cabrera, Victor Paul	77-563
Manthei, James Michael	77-659	Compton, Joseph Eugene	77-426
Neumeister, Robert Alfred	77-358	Crawford, Philip Dale	77-628
Pai, Isaac Chee-Yee	77-626	Eichin, Harry Paul	77-582
Parker, Barry Gale	77-622	Grubb, Thomas Ellman	78-22
Peterson, William Albert	77-468	Hammond, Jon Ludlow	77-640
Raymond, Gerald B.	77-627	Jeric, Marc Zdravko	77-576
Schloemer, Robert E.	77-605	Lal, İshwar	77-639
Selbe, Rexford Leland	77-599	Lubenow, Chester A.	78-15
Siath, John Carmen	77-606	Scoutten, Woodrow Charles, Jr	.77-553
Sinclair, Benito A.	77-569	Stubstad, William Rae	77-588
		Wood, Gerald L.	77-617

Continued....

I. Continued ......

METALLURGICAL ENGINEERING		LAND SURVEYING	
Senior, Franklin C.	77-560	Billington, Pete	77-440
Sellion		McVey, Phillip B.	77-624
SANITARY ENGINEERING		Showalter, William Richard	77-603
Homack, Peter	77-374	South, Robert Orren	77-517
Homasky , z			
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING			
Grebe, Edward Andrew	77-571		
Hammond, David Joseph	77-533		
Mason, Earl Edison	77-520		
1,000			

II. The following applicants having appeared before the committee, while completing the personal audience interview, need demonstration of additional evidence of their proficiency, A.R.S. 32-123.B, and it is recommended to the Board that these individuals be held for the written examinations indicated:

Applicants held for the April, 1978, examinations:

THE PROTECTION		
CIVIL ENGINEERING	77 556	Davets 1 2 2 and 4
Babcock, Joseph Andrew	77-556	Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4
Baker, William Hugh, Jr.	77-620	Parts 3 and 4
Berry, James Earl	77-595	Parts 3 and 4
Kory, Raymond Edward	77-600	Parts 3 and 4
Lester, John Stewart	78-31	Parts 3 and 4
McCreary, Dennis James	77-601	Parts 3 and 4
Meinhardt, Robert Gerald	77-621	Parts 3 and 4
Paavola, Michael Eugene	78-6	Parts 3 and 4
Rosness, Martin Henry	77-646	Parts 3 and 4
Rothberg, Melvin B.	77-110	Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4
Sriben, Sid	77-511	Parts 3 and 4
Sumner, John Stewart	77-625	Parts 3 and 4
Techapinyawat, Sompote	77-623	Parts 3 and 4
Wiedeman, Craig Parker	78-20	Parts 3 and 4
Young, Benny Joe	78-33	Parts 3 and 4
roung, being ode	70 00	rares s and r
ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING		
Baltier, Francisco Vicente L.	77-550	Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4
Barrow, John Talmage, Jr.	78-21	Parts 3 and 4
Carlton, Bruce Frederick	77-243	Parts 3 and 4
Garringer, Hal Leslie, Jr.	77-589	Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4
Hartig, Richard Nicholas	77-530	Parts 3 and 4
Krueger, Alan John	77-562	Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4
Kuivila, Richard Paul	77-629	Parts 3 and 4
London, John Martin	77-648	Parts 3 and 4
McGlothin, Gerald Edward	77-615	Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4
Moen, Dennis Milton	77-614	Parts 3 and 4
Pinter, Duane Leo	77-592	Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4
Slick, David Peter	78-41	Parts 3 and 4
Taylor, Dale Wayne	78-2	Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4
HIGHWAY ENGINEERING		
Hopkins Louis Paus	77 610	Danta 1 2 2 and 1
Hopkins, Louie Baus	77-619	Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4
Ross, Thomas John	77-643	Parts 3 and 4

II. Continued....

INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING Bailey, James Edward	77-635	Parts 3 and	4	
MECHANICAL ENGINEERING Brevick, Lonnie Lee Drummond, David Thomas Ghan, Robert James Hero, John Richard, Jr. Laine, Roger Alan Quill, Joseph Stephen, Jr. Roberts, Timothy Ainslie Sharma, Krishan Kumar Schwartz, Steven Douglas Shonerd, Wesley A. Singh, Rajinder	77-590 77-655 77-630 77-549 77-642 78-14 78-49 78-11 78-10 77-660	Parts 3 and Parts 1, 2, Parts 3 and Parts 1, 2, Parts 3 and Parts 1, 2, Parts 1, 2, Parts 3 and	3 and 4 3 and 4 4 3 and 4 4 3 and 4 4 4 4 4	
Thornberry, Harold Louis, Jr.	77-641	Parts 1, 2,	3 and 4	
SANITARY ENGINEERING Willis, Brayton Perry, Jr.	77-573	Parts 1, 2,	3 and 4	
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING Stevens, Alexander M. Weingart, Daniel Willer, Myron A.	78-8 78-9 77-597	Parts 5 and Parts 5 and Parts 5 and	6	
LAND SURVEYING Brugger, Norman Dale Cook, Richard Dennis Evans, Roy Lee Gingles, William James, Sr. Stone, Dale Wayne	77-634 77-638 77-608 77-598 77-645	Parts 3 and Parts 1, 2, Parts 1, 2, Parts 1, 2, Parts 1, 2,	3 and 4 3 and 4 3 and 4	
GEOLOGY Turek, Frank Stanley	77-561	Parts 3 and	4	

# Applicant held for the November, 1978, examinations:

CIVIL ENGINEERING
Hope, Ronald Joseph 77-297 Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4

III. The following applicants appeared before the committee and the evaluation of their applications determined that they should be denied for lack of experience of a character satisfactory to the Board under A.R.S. 32-122.A, with the refund as shown:

Bonelli, Curtis W. Glenn, Robert A.	77-616	Mechanical Engineer	\$10.00
	77-587	Civil Engineer	\$10.00
Partlow, Charles Nicholas	78-7	Civil Engineer	\$10.00
Weeks, Thomas H.	77-636	Civil Engineer	\$10.00

IV. The following applicants having been advised twice they were scheduled to appear before the committee and for their failure to do so, the committee recommends they be denied for failure to complete the requirements of the Board within a reasonable length of time:

Alber, Jerry Wayne 77-492 Electrical Engineer Lohse, Uwe 77-41 Electrical Engineer

V. The Engineering Examination Committee reviewed the results of the land land surveying examinations given in November, 1977, as shown below, and recommends to the Board these examination results be certified:

NO.	NAME	Part	Part	Part	Part		
LAND SU	RVEYING	I	II	III	IV	Passed	_
77-290	Alcocer, Richard	Р	Р	F	F	1,2	
*75-234	Allison, Nathaniel T.	P	Р	Р	Р	2	
76-26	Andrews, Jeffrey L.	Р	F	P	Р	1	
77-349	Barbee, William F.	Р	F	F	F	1	
74-419	Bowlin, Gerald Ray	P	Р	F	F	1	
76-304	Burns, Robert D.	Р	Р	F	F	1	
77-315	Carne, James L., Jr.	Р	Р	F	F	1,2	
76-65	Courtney, John E.	Р	Р	F	F	1,2	
77-257	Dryden, Charles W.	Ex	Ex	Р	F	3	
72-653	Engle, Thomas E.	Р	Р	F	F		
71-148	Garcia, Ernest G.	F	Р	F	Р		
74-181	Gardiner, Theodore E.	Р	F	F	F		
76-268	Hall, James Joseph	P	F	DNA	DNA	1	
*76-568	Hersey, Samuel S., Jr.	P	Р	Р	Р	3,4	
76-47	Horacek, Jerry Lee	F	F	F	F		
*76-522	Huscher, David S.	Р	Р	Р	Р	1	
76-34	Kehart, Richard W.	P	Р	F	F		
*76-572	McLaughlin, David S.	P	P	Р	Р	4	
*75-113	Mecham, Floyd J.	P	Р	Р	Р	1	
77-293	Murrey, David H.	Р	F	F	F	1	
77-109	Muth, James Edward	F	F	F	F		
75-194	Myers, Harry LeRoy	Р	Р	F	Р	4	
77-331	Myers, Terry Lon	Р	Р	F	F	1,2	
74-297	Payton, Donald W.	F	F	F	F		
*75-581	Penland, Russell R.	P	Р	Р	Р	4	

NO.	NAME					CIOOO
	URVEYING - Cont'd.	Part I	Part II	Part III	Part IV	Passed
71-281	Pidskalny, Robert A.	Р	F	F	F	1 77
74-37	Potts, Robert C.	Ex	Ex	Р	F	
77-12	Prescott, Steven R.	P	Р	F	F	2
77-226	Rice, George W.	Р	F	F	F	1
77-57	Robberson, Allen G.	Р	F	F	F	1
77-23	Short, John E.	Р	P	Р	F	1,3
72-628	Smith, Romain H.	Р	P	F	F	1
75-327	Sticht, Brian G.	P	F	Р	Р	4
*76-115	Stoll, Ronald E.	Р	Р	Р	Р	4
76-194	Thornhill, James D.	P	Р	F	F	1
69-77	Torres, Alfonso	Р	F	Р	F	
77-119	Whitmer, Larry Kay	F	F	F	F	
76-237	Wight, Dan Lynn	Р	Р	F	F	1,2

The committee recommends to the Board that Recommendations I through V of this report be accepted and implemented.

Respectfully submitted,

Rod J. Gorez, Chairman

CHEMICAL ENGINEERING Goodner, Charles Grover, Jr.  CIVIL ENGINEERING Anderson, Roger P. Block, Allan John Calocerinos, Emanual James Desai, Narendra L. Dolecki, Stanley Grebe, Edward Andrew Halsey, James Frederic Hawkins, Richard J. Hirth, Walter Francis Horst, Timothy Lee Hovelsrud, Herman Julian Janick, Joseph William Kimball, William T. Klimek, Thomas James Knoblock, George Charles, Jr. McDaniel, Robert Eugene McGovern, Thomas Patrick McRae, Cyril Malcolm Makarechian, A. Hassan Mann, Thomas Townsend, Jr. Mathei, James Michael Neumeister, Robert Alfred Pai, Isaac Chee-Yee Parker, Barry Gale Peterson, William Albert Raymond, Gerald B. Schloemer, Robert E. Selbe, Rexford Leland Siath, John Carmen Sinclair, Benito A. Tillitt, James Cooper Trumbauer, Barry Elwood Vasileff, Vasil  ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING Abhyanker, Vasant Laxman Baltz, James Oscar Chen, Charles Kun-Huang Cooley, Donald Lee Durboraw, I. Newborn, Jr. Flowers, James Berton Knight, Alton Eugene, Jr. Nusbaum, Wellman Edward	11722 11723	MECHANICAL ENGINEERING Baker, Richard Leith Booth, Norman Topping, Jr. Cabrera, Victor Raul Compton, Joseph Eugene Crawford, Philip Dale Eichin, Harry Paul Grubb, Thomas Ellman Hammond, Jon Ludlow Jeric, Marc Zdravko Lal, Ishwar Lubenow, Chester A. Scoutten, Woodrow Charles, Jr. Stubstad, William Rae Wood, Gerald L.  METALLURGICAL ENGINEERING Senior, Franklin C.  SANITARY ENGINEERING Homack, Peter  STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING Grebe, Edward Andrew Hammond, David Joseph Mason, Earl Edison  LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE Guzzardo, Anthony M.  LAND SURVEYING Allison, Nathaniel Thompson Billington, Pete Hersey, Samuel Story, Jr. Huscher, David Scott McLaughlin, David Steven McVey, Phillip B. Mecham, Floyd Joseph Penland, Russell Reid, Jr. Showalter, William Richard South, Robert Orren Stoll, Ronald Edward	
Nusbaum, Wellman Edward Pearson, Donald Stuart  INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING Flood, Joseph L.	11724 11725		
Stein, Jack	11727		

It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Edson that the following applicants for in-training registration having satisfactorily completed the written examination be granted in-training registration and assigned the in-training registration numbers as indicated. Motion carried.

ENGINEER-IN-TRAINING Anderson, Damann L. Buchli, David John Buss, Robert Fredrick Bussell, Thomas Scott Conder, Michael W. Darlington, Douglas K.	1894 1902 1895 1903 1904 1896	Goodwin, John L. McElroy, Robert A., Jr. Nothaft, Mark W. Shane, James William White, Warren L. Wijatyk, Ihor	1898 1905 1899 1900 1906 1901
Darlington, Douglas K. Despain, Ronald A.	1896 1897	Wijatyk, Ihor	190

REPORT OF THE PUBLIC INFORMATION COMMITTEE

No report.

REPORT OF THE BUDGET COMMITTEE

No report.

# REPORT OF THE EXEUCITVE DIRECTOR

At the December, 1977, meeting, the Board instructed that a cost figure be obtained for printing the Annual Report with three types of lists: numerical, alphabetical, and alphabetical by discipline.

The successful bid for the report this year without the alphabetical listing by discipline was \$7,250.76. A quotation of \$4,706.25 has been obtained from the printer for the additional listing, making a total of \$11,957 for printing.

The Board also instructed the Executive Director to obtain a printout of a list alphabetically by discipline which can be obtained for a cost not to exceed \$500.00. A quotation has been received from Data Processing for \$439.00 to develop and implement a program, plus a charge of \$75.00 to supply one complete file printout, thereby exceeding the \$500 figure.

The Cash Flow Report is shown on Minute Page 4003. The 1977-1978 Budget figures are shown beside each item, the difference being the amount available for stated purposes until the end of the fiscal year.

Mr. Goodwin commented on the Out-of-State travel funds wherein the Board had approved travel for all three members of the Architect committee to attend their Western Conference meeting of NCARB and the Department of Administration had permitted only one man to go. He further explained that this type of meeting is probably one of the most important meetins as to future operations of the Board and will affect this Board later. Mr. Edson concurred that we needed more than one in attendance for continuity.

The Executive Director reported that the Senate Appropriation Committee was having a meeting on March 20, 1978, at 1:45 P.M. to discuss our budget.

Continued on Page 4004

Balance on Deposit as of July 1, 1977 - \$119,917.02

Balance on Deposit as of Report Date - \$213,471.53

Total Allocated Receipts to Technical Fund as of Report Date - \$93,554.51

Technical Fund Balance as of Report Date - \$130,438.80

LUMP SUM APPROPRIATED	\$160,800.00	
Expenditures	Amount To Date	Budget 1977-1978
Personal Services	\$36,914.83	\$57,400
Employee Related Costs	5,530.01	10,900
Travel - In State	2,165.90	4,300
Travel - Out of State	2,556.50	3,300
Data Processing	863.73	2,600
Professional Services	1,378.05	44,800
Office Rent & Meeting Rooms	4,293.50	8,400
Office Supplies, Maint., Express & Adv.	3,874.66	13,900
Microfilm	187.49	200
Insurance	300.00	300
Dues	2,332.00	3,200
Postage	3,997.50	7,000
Telephone	1,255.55	2,300
Xerox	425.25	900
Revolving Fund	50.00	
Refunds	328.50	
TOTAL SPENT TO DATE	\$66,453.47	
Balance of Appropriated Monies		\$ 94,346.53

#### REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR - Cont'd.

It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Edson that the Executive Director through the legislative process request the Out-of-State Travel be increased to \$5,000 for this coming year and that this is to come from some other line item that the Executive Director feels appropriate. Motion carried.

It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Caruso that the Executive Director appeal to the Department of Administration to review our request in view that we have other funds in our present budget for at least one additional person to go to the Western Conference meeting but the funds are not to exceed \$5,000 this year. Motion carried.

Regarding the quotation by Data Processing, it was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Earley that we secure a printout of the disciplines, alphabetically, at the prices quoted and one printout for our files which is to come out of the funds for Data Processing. Motion carried.

#### READING OF COMMUNICATIONS

Letter from Jack Greene, Electrical Engineer, File #77-407, requesting reconsideration of the Board's action at the December Board meeting was presented, and it was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Caruso that the decision of the Board be upheld. Motion carried.

Letter from Louis D. Rainery, Civil Engineer, File #77-399, requesting reconsideration of the Board's action at the December Board meeting was presented, and it was moved by Dr. O'Bannon and seconded by Mr. Trimble that his request be denied and uphold the Board's decision for Mr. Rainery to take Parts 3 and 4 of the examination. Motion carried.

Letter from Lynn A. Winkler, Jr., Land Surveyor, File #77-31, requesting reconsideration of the Board's action at the December Board meeting was presented, and it was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that Mr. Winkler's request be approved and that he be rescheduled for the next examination in April. Motion carried. It was noted that this reinstatement of his application was based on his mail not being forwarded to his new address.

Letter from Najmuddin Ahmed, Electrical Engineer, File #75-403, requesting reconsideration of the Board's action at the December Board meeting, was presented, and it was moved by Mr. Caruso and seconded by Mr. Gomez that Mr. Ahmed's application be reinstated and he be rescheduled for the examination in November, per his request. Motion carried.

Letter from Richard R. Brennan, attorney for Charles K. Kapuvary, Architect, File #77-183, whose application was denied at this request at the September 9, 1977, Board meeting, was presented. Mr. Edson stated that this is a matter of procedure and at the Western Conference meeting he would inquire of the representative from NCARB what their policy is on foreign experience. It was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that Mr. Brennan's letter be held in abeyance and his request be considered at the next Board meeting. Motion carried.

Continued....

#### READING OF COMMUNICATIONS - Cont'd.

Letter from Randall Nile Yearwood, Architect #77-580, requesting a "hold" status on his application was presented, and it was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Porcarello to advise Mr. Yearwood there is no provision by which we can hold an application and as stated previously by the Board office, the submittal of his treatise on seismic forces must be received by April 17 or his application will be denied as he has received one extension previously. Motion carried.

Letters from Richard J. Heggen, lapsed E.I.T., requesting an extension of his E.I.T., were presented, and it was moved by Mr. Earley and seconded by Mr. Caruso his E.I.T. registration be reinstated. Motion carried.

Letter from Robert C. Robison, Architect, requesting exemption from History and Theory of Architecture, was presented, and it was moved by Mr. Porcarello and seconded by Mr. Edson that in view of the fact that he is not a graduate of an accredited college of architecture, his petition be denied. Motion carried.

Letter from Anthony P. Cosentino, Architect, requesting reconsideration of his failed Professional examination, was presented, and it was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. Porcarllo since the candidate has the right to appeal the certification of his grade, the Executive Director advise him he will be scheduled at the next regular meeting of the Board. Motion carried.

Letter from Tillie Dong, Architect, requesting review of the examination results of the Professional examination, was presented. It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that her letter be referred to the Examination Committee for review and she will be notified of their results. Motion carried.

Letter from Joseph T. Colosa, Architect, requesting reconsideration of his failing grade of the Professional examination, was presented, and it was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon the Board grant his request and schedule him to appear before the Board in June. Motion carried.

Letter from James Chen, Civil Engineer, requesting reconsideration of his failing grade of the November exams and granting him registration, was presented. It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that he be informed the Board had considered and re-examined his failing grades, but in order to become registered as a professional engineer, he will have to make a grade of 70 as stated in the Rules. Motion carried.

Letter from M. Glynn Cooper, Engineer-in-Training, requesting re-evaluation of his E.I.T. grades and permit him to take Parts 3 and 4 of the Electrical Engineering examination, was presented. It was moved by Dr. O'Bannon and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that Mr. Cooper be informed that they will hear his request at the next meeting of the Board in June. Motion carried.

Continued....

#### READING OF COMMUNICATIONS - Cont'd.

Letter from Howard M. Cooper, requesting reconsideration of Board action for Mechanical Engineer #75-546, Part 3 examination, was presented. It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the Executive Director communicate with him, acknowledgeing receipt of his letter of February 20 and that the Board understands his request is he be granted review of his failing examination grade for Part 3 and be considered for registration, but the Board does not have the authority to pass him on his failing grade and his request is denied. Motion carried.

Letter from Edward B. Sawyer, Jr., Presdient, Central Chapter, AIA, regarding non-registrant activities and the Maricopa County's Dept. of Building Safety involvement together with a letter from Raymond A. Johnson, Dir., Maricopa County Dept. of Building Safety, were presented. It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the Executive Director advise Mr. Sawyer the Board goes on record as confirming that multi-family, common wall, duplexes, townhouses, apartments and condiminiums where these buildings exceed the statutory limit of \$50,000 require the seal of a registrant, with a carbon copy to Mr. Johnson. Motion carried.

Letter from Jerry Cannon, President, Structural Engineers Association of Arizona, regarding the requirements for structural engineers, was presented as shown on Minute Page 4007. Mr. Cannon was present and stated that when he became registered in Arizona as a Civil Engineer and Structural Engineer, he took the 16-hour Civil Engineering exam and the 8-hour Structural Engineer exam to obtain his registration in Arizona. When he went to California, they required an additional 8-hour structural engineering exam, and the examination covered the same as the one taken in Arizona at that time. He personally felt this was penalizing Arizona registrants. He appealed to the Board for some arrangement with California regarding the structural examinations. Mr. Roger Turk was present and stated that in California you had to be a Civil Engineer for three years prior to being eligible for the Structural examination and you were granted registration with the authority to use the title of Structural Engineer. Washington is the only other state which requires an additional 16 hours written examination in structural engineering in an agreement with California for a total of 32 hours. After discussion with the Board members, it was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Gomez this matter be remanded for further investigation to the Engineering Evaluation and Examination Committee. Motion carried.

Letter from Craig Walling, Pres., Arizona Society of Architects, AIA, regarding the legal counsel from the Attorney General's office, was presented. Chairman Jimenez directed that the Executive Director respond the Board acknowledges receipt of his letter and it has been noted. As stated before, the Chairman felt any individual member is encouraged to promote any type of legislature. He was unaware of any complaints having not been handled, but because of lack of publicity, the public may not be aware of the business concluded by the Board. Chairman Jimenez suggested for the next Chairman of the Board to appoint a definite Public Information Committee to cover all the aspects of our work. He stated any of our Cease and Desist letters are Public information.

# STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS ASSOCIATION OF ARIZONA

PHONE: (602) 266-4926

3625 NORTH 16TH STREET . PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016

WILLIAM A. SAWYER EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

2950 North Country Club Tucson, Arizona 85716

February 16, 1978

State Board of Technical Registration 1645 West Jefferson, Suite 315 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

#### Gentlemen:

The Structural Engineers Association of Arizona requests that the State Board of Technical Registration make the necessary changes in the requirements for registration of Structural Engineers in Arizona so as to provide greater conformity in the regulation requirements for Structural Engineers in the western United States. The Board of Trustees of the Strucutral Engineers Association feels that this type of change in the rules and regulations is necessary at this time for the following reasons:

- 1. Presently Arizona structural engineering registrants who do work on a continuing basis in other western states, such as California, are required to take a full sixteen hour structural examination in order to obtain structural registration. This places an undue and unnecessary hardship on the Arizona registrant. We feel that by making appropriate changes in the rules and regulations that Arizona registrants would be able to obtain structural registration without additional examinations.
- 2. With the continued rapid growth of the urbanized areas of Arizona, Structural Engineers are required to perform increasingly more complex engineering assignments. In providing their engineering services and in performing their role of providing for the safety, health and welfare of the public, we feel that the registration requirements should be upgraded.

The Board of Trustees of SEAOA request that this matter be taken under consideration at your next meeting, March 3, 1978, in Tucson. Please advise me as to the time and location of this meeting. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jerry Cannon, P.E. President, SEAOA

JC:kl

cc: Rod Gomez Sam Caruso Claude Baker Tony Schwan

#### READING OF COMMUNICATIONS - Cont'd.

Letter from Henry Haws regarding fee limitations was presented and the Board directed he be advised this is not within the jurisdiction of this Board as advised by their legal counsel.

Letter from Joseph R. Troxler regarding Broadcast and Energy Engineering was presented. The Chairman directed the Executive Director to write to these two companies calling their attention to A.R.S. 32-145.

Letter from Louis C. Sullivan regarding Energy and Solar Energy Consultants was presented as shown on Minute Page 4009. In discussion of this letter, the Board sees where there may be a need for registration in this field in the future as engineering principles are involved, and that work in this areas should be practiced by electrical or mechanical engineers. They felt there is nothing wrong with using the term Solar Energy Consultants. The Chairman suggested the whole Board consider it and take it up at the next regular meeting of the Board.

Letters from Al Stratton, Oregon State Board of Geologist Examiners, requesting copies of our geologial examinatins, and from Shirley Holzhauser, Missouri Board, requesting copies of our geological engineering examinations were presented. It was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that the Executive Director respond to these letters that based on confidentiality and repeatability of these examinations, the Board will not release them. Motion carried.

Letter from William J. Ekstrom, Jr., Mohave County Attorney's Office, as a result of our letter regarding recordation of surveys not being within the jurisdiction of our law after receipt of his formal complaint against Herb Shipley, was presented. It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Edson that this Board have the Engineering Evaluation Committee look into this matter whether the Board's action was proper. Motion carried.

Letter from Edward M. Hall regarding Mr. Trujillo's letter was presented and the Board acknowledged its receipt and was noted.

Letter of Complaint from Gene E. Anderson regarding Dr. Gordon Lehman was presented. It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Caruso the Executive Director write to Mr. Anderson, acknowledging receipt of his letter and indicate to him in view of the hearing of the Planning and Zoning Commission matter, they are not sure what Dr. Lehman was truly about and could he file a complaint against Dr. Lehman with further evidence. Motion carried.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS

At the December Board meeting, the Executive Director was instructed to send a letter to L. B. Taylor, Sierra Testing and Engineering, of a Notice to Cease and Desist Mr. Taylor had not replied as requested by January 13, 1978. The Board noted we have to establish whether he is still in "practice." It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Caruso that the matter be turned over to the County Attorney, investigate if he is still in violation and if so, proceed with an injunction. Motion carried.

Letter from Sam Tucker, regarding the above matter was presented and it was so noted by the Board.

Continued on Page 4010

RECEIVED

SULLIVAN & MASSON CONSULTING ENGINEERS
725 WEST MCDOWELL ROAD - PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007 - 602-257-8525

LOUIS C. SULLIVAN MILTON M. MASSON ROBERT D. CURLEY DALE D. HARMAN ROBERT W. JOHNSON

January 4, 1978

Mr. Edward G. Drewry Executive Director State Board of Technical Registration 1645 West Jefferson Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Dear Mr. Drewry:

I am writing this letter to bring to you, and the Boards' attention, a potential problem that is beginning to occur in a small way; however, my main concern is that it may become much larger in the future. This problem is that of certain "Energy Consultant" and "Solar Energy Consultants" professing to be experts in these respective fields. On several occasions in the past year (1977), I have encountered individuals who are not qualified to practice in these areas of engineering. I consider these areas to be engineering in that they both involve engineering mechanics, such as; thermodynamics, heat transfer, fluid mechanics and power distribution.

I would like for the Board to review these two areas to determine if registration requirements are necessary by either an electrical or mechanical engineer in order to practice this type of consulting.

This request should not be construed to be an attempt to limit competition since many mechanical and electrical engineers exist and are qualified. However, it is an attempt to curtail unqualified individuals who have had no formal training, or, who have not proven that they qualify by registration from selling themselves to the public as being qualified.

With solar energy interest slowly increasing and the federal government and state of Arizona becoming more interested in energy conservation it should be recognized that unqualified persons may take advantage of this need and exploit the public.

Sincerely,

Louis C. Sullivan, P.E.

President

LCS:ss

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS - Cont'd.

At the December Board meeting, a letter from William A. Sawyer, regarding A.R.S. 32-141, was discussed, and he was advised it would be taken under consideration by the Board. A letter from William A. Sawyer since that meeting was presented as shown on Minute Page 4011. The Executive Director read the Attorney General's opinion to W. T. Hamlyn, dated January 19, 1961, regarding interpretation of A.R.S. 32-141. It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the Executive Director be instructed to respond to Mr. Sawyer's letter and ensure to him the Board interprets this statute and concurs with the Attorney General of the way he has so interpreted it; include copies of the opinions from the Attorney General to Mr. Sawyer. Motion carried, opposed Wayne Earley.

At the September 10, 1976, Board meeting, the Board requested an opinion from the Attorney General as shown on Minute Pages 4012 through 4018. The Executive Director indicated that when this opinion was requested, the interest was not covered as under the present law which goes into the General Fund.

At the December Board meeting, a new problem 18 for the personal audience examination was referred to the Engineering Evaluation Committee for report at this meeting. Mr. Gomez, Mr. Trimble and Dr. Jimenez each presented a new problem. Mr. Goodwin suggested since they were all very good that possibly we use all three making it a 22-question examination or substituting other present problems. It was the consensus of the Board that it be studied further and action be taken at the next Board meeting.

#### NEW BUSINESS

Formal complaint from Max Haechler against Designlab, Inc., Duane Hyatt and A. Pulsipher, was presented. It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Gomez that the Executive Director send a copy of the complaint to the architect and ask him to respond to the complaint's charges by Mr. Max Haechler. Motion carried. It was directed that Mr. Haechler be advised what the Board has done.

It was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. Earley that the matter of satisfactory experience as advised by the Assistant Attorney General's opinion be referred to the Rules and By-Laws Committee for discussion and consideration, and report to the Board at a later time. Motion carried.

It was moved by Mr. Earley and seconded by Mr. Trimble that any appeals for a hearing before the Board give a written statement of grounds for this request be referred to the Rules and By-Laws Committee. Motion carried.

Because of the number of appeals and costs for a court reporter, it was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that at every appeals hearing the Executive Director be authorized to purchase a tape recorder for recording such appeals. Motion carried.

Continued on Page 4019



# arizona consulting engineers association

125 NORTH 16th STREET

SUITE 108 . PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016

PHONES: (602) 266-4926 - 279-0168

January 30, 1978

Dr. Rudolph A. Jimenez Chairman, State Board of Technical Registration Civil Engineering Department University of Arizona Tucson, Arizona 85721

Dear Dr. Jimenez:

The Arizona Consulting Engineers Association has taken the position that a firm or corporation may engage in the practice of any or all of the professions and disciplines within the professions licensed by the State Board of Technical Registration if a resident principal of the firm or resident officer of the corporation is registered in any one of the disciplines of any one of the professions licensed by the Board, provided the professional work is performed by, or under the supervision of, and is signed and sealed by an employee (not necessarily a principal or an officer) who is registered in the discipline in the profession in which services are provided.

This position is based on the Association's interpretation of ARS 32-141. A precedent for this interpretation appears to be in the Board's Rule 4-30-43C which apparently allows branch offices of Arizona firms to provide professional services with the requirement only that each office have in it a registrant.

If the Board agrees with the ACEA position, we would appreciate confirmation. If not, we request clarification by the Board of the issue involved.

Sincerely,

William A. Sawver Executive Director

cc: Edward G. Drewry, Executive Director



DEPARTMENT OF LAW OFFICE OF THE

Attorney General
STATE CAPITOL
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

04012

BRUCE E. BABBITT

December 7, 1977

Mr. Edward G. Drewry Executive Director State Board of Technical Registration 1645 West Jefferson Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Re: 77- 230 (R76-465) and (R76-502)

Dear Mr. Drewry:

This letter is in response to your request for an opinion to the following question:

Should interest earnings on funds deposited with the State Treasurer pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-109 be credited to the Technical Registration Fund or to the State General Fund?

According to A.R.S. § 32-109.A:

[t]he secretary shall transmit to the state treasurer all fees or other revenues received by the board. The treasurer shall place ten percent thereof in the general fund to assist in defraying the cost of maintaining the state government and shall place the remainder in a separate fund known as the technical registration fund, to be used only in defraying expenses of the board and in prosecuting violations of this chapter.

Statutory provision is made for the handling of monies received or held by the state in Title 35, Chapter 2, Article 2, Arizona Revised Statutes. A.R.S. § 32-321 defines the following pertinent terms:

2.

In this article unless the context otherwise requires:

\* \* \*

- 2. "State monies" means all monies in the treasury of the state or coming lawfully into the possession or custody of the state treasurer.
- 3. "Subdivision monies" means all monies in the treasury of a subdivision or coming lawfully into the possession or custody of the treasurer.
- 4. "Public monies" includes state monies or subdivision monies, or both.
- 5. "Public deposit" means public monies deposited in a designated depository pursuant to the provisions of this article.

Monies coming lawfully into the possession or custody of the state treasurer constitute "state monies" which term is included within the term "public monies". "Public monies" which are deposited in designated depositories pursuant to law are, in turn, "public deposits". The State Board of Deposits is authorized to award deposits of public monies to qualifying financial institutions. See A.R.S. § 35-325.08. Interest on "public deposits" is apportioned in accordance with A.R.S. § 35-325.20, which reads as follows:

- A. Interest realized on subdivision monies included within a public deposit which are collected for individual tax funds or other similar funds shall, be apportioned pro rata among such funds except for accounts otherwise invested as provided by any law applicable to such a fund.
- B. All other interest realized on any public deposits not otherwise apportioned by law shall be credited to the general fund of the state or subdivision making the deposit.

C. In the manner provided in this article, the governing body of any subdivision or of any agency, department, board or commission of the state or of any subdivision may, by the annual adoption of a resolution of continuing effect, authorize the treasurer to invest funds collected for such a body.

3.

It appears from the foregoing that the fees and other revenues of the State Board of Technical Registration in the state treasury are "state monies" as that term is defined. As such any interest realized on said monies would be credited, in accordance with the provisions of A.R.S. § 35-325.20, to the general fund of the state unless the interest were otherwise apportioned by law. No statute specifically provides for apportionment of interest earned on funds deposited by the Board of Technical Registration with the State Treasurer. Therefore, unless a statute or the circumstances present here should be construed under case law to require the crediting of interest to the Technical Registration Fund, such interest must be credited to the general fund.

We recently answered a similar question. Op.Atty. Gen.No. 76-130 dealt with monies received by the Department of Health Services and deposited with the State Treasurer as donations which that Department by statute was authorized to receive from private donors and expend for services to crippled children. We concluded that

[i]n legal contemplation, private donations to the State for the support of state activities create charitable trusts with the recipient agency acting as a fiduciary.

After describing such donations as "custodial funds", the opinion went on to apply the reasoning of the Supreme Court

<sup>1.</sup> Perhaps an argument could be made that the phrase "not otherwise apportioned by law" modifies the term "public deposit" in A.R.S. § 35-325.20 and that the Technical Registration Fund, being a public deposit "apportioned by law", is thereby entitled to be credited with interest earned on the Fund. We think it more likely, however, that the Legislature in A.R.S. § 35-325.20 had in mind the apportioning of interest rather than the apportioning of public deposits.

in Navajo Tribe v. Arizona Department of Administration, 111 Ariz. 279, 528 P.2d 623 (1975), which had considered the applicability of state statutes related to the handling of custodial funds on deposit in the State treasury. The funds in question in Navajo Tribe were from a purely federal source and were intended for a specific funding purpose and as such were held not to be state monies and, therefore, not subject to the appropriative power of the Arizona Legislature. Navajo Tribe determined that the

Payment of funds into the state treasury does not necessarily vest the state with title to those funds. Ross v. Gross, 300 Ky. 337, 188 S.W.2d 475 (1945). Only monies raised by the operation of some general law become public funds. Cyr & Evans Contracting Co. v. Graham, 2 Ariz.App. 196, 407 P.2d 385 (1965). Custodial funds are not state monies. MacManus v. Love, 499 P.2d 609 (Colo. 1972). The term "public funds" refers to funds belonging to the state and does not apply to funds for the benefit of contributors for which the state is a mere custodian or conduit. Pensioners Protective Assn. v. Davis, 112 Colo. 535, 150 P.2d 974 (1944). . . 111 Ariz. at 280, 281, 528 P.2d at 624, 625.

The definition of custodial funds gleaned from the court's opinion would seem to indicate that custodial funds are characterized by the following traits:

- 1. they are monies other than those raised by the operation of a general law;
- 2. they are monies other than state monies;
- 3. they are funds for the benefit of contributors for which the state is a mere custodian or conduit.

Based on that definition and the facts presented in Op. Atty. Gen. No. 76-130 it was determined that the monies donated by private benefactors for the benefit of Crippled Children's Services beneficiaries were "custodial funds". As such they were defined as "contributions" within the meaning of A.R.S. § 35-149, which provides in part:

- Every department, institution, board or commission receiving private funds or contributions available for its support or for the purpose of defraying expenses or work done under its direction or other receipts which may be subject to refund or return to the sender or receipts which have not yet accrued to the state, shall in depositing such monies with the state treasurer as provided in this chapter, certify to the division of finance:
- The source from which such funds were received.
- 2. The terms and conditions under which, and the purpose for which they were received.
- The names of the trustees or administrators of the funds or contributions.
- The name of the person authorized to approve expenditures from each fund.

It was then concluded that the

interest income derived from the investment of private funds donated to the State for the benefit of Crippled Children's Services' programs and their beneficiaries should be credited to the special donation accounts in the State Treasury rather than to the general fund of the State.

Op. Atty. Gen. No. 76-130.

In reaching that conclusion, Op. Atty. Gen. No. 76-130 reasoned that the monies in question were not raised by the operation of general law, but, rather, were private donations; that the monies were for the benefit of the contributors for which the state was a mere custodian; and that the monies may have been subject to refund or return to the sender. (See Navajo Tribe v. Arizona Department of Administration, 111 Ariz. 279, 528 P.2d 623 (1975); A.R.S. § 35-149; and A.R.S. § 35-321).

Under A.R.S. § 35-141, the term general fund is defined as consisting of

all money received into the state treasury except money designated by law for other statutory funds or other specifically designated purposes.

Funds which are received for and belong to the state are to be paid into the state treasury and credited to the general fund, A.R.S. § 35-142, except that, under A.R.S. § 35-142.A.6,

[m]onies designated by law as special state funds shall not be considered a part of the general fund. Unless otherwise prescribed by law, the state treasurer shall be the custodian of all such funds.

A.R.S. § 35-142.A.6

The monies presently in question have been raised, pursuant to law, from members of the professions of architecture, assaying, engineering, geology, landscape architecture, and land surveying. These monies have been received by the Board of Technical Registration and transmitted to the state treasurer who has placed 10% of such in the general fund of the State and 90% in a separate fund known as the technical registration fund. That fund is to be used only in defraying the expenses of administering the Technical Registration Act. A.R.S. § 32-109.A. Thus, it would appear that the funds denoted as the technical registration funds are monies designated by law as special state funds and the state treasurer is the custodian of said funds.

As "custodial funds" the monies held by the state treasurer should fit the definitions prescribed by the court in Navajo Tribe as well as that described in Op.Atty.Gen. No. 76-130. However, they do not fit into those definitions. The monies in those situations were not "state monies" even

though the statutory definition of "state monies" includes monies only in the "custody of the State treasurer". A.R.S. § 35-321. The "custodial funds" in Navajo Tribe were federal funds and "not subject to the appropriative power of the Legislature", Navajo Tribe, 111 Ariz. at 281, 528 P.2d at 625; they were not monies raised by the operation of a general law; and they were for the benefit of the contributor for which the state was a mere custodian or conduit. The monies held by the Department of Health Services in Op.Atty.Gen. No. 76-130 were donations from private donors; they were not raised by the operation of a general law; and they were contributions as defined in A.R.S. § 35-149.

The funds held in the technical registration fund, although perhaps labeled "custodial funds" pursuant to A.R.S. § 35-142.A.6, are not "custodial funds" in the sense that term was used in either Navajo Tribe or Op.Atty.Gen.

No. 76-130. The technical registration fund monies in the instant situation are state monies; they are not private donations but have been raised by the operation of a general law for the purpose of providing for the safety, health and welfare of the public, Arizona Revised Statutes Title 32, Chapter 1; they are not subject to refund or return to the sender as are contributions under A.R.S. § 35-149.A; and they are "public monies", as well as "public deposits" as those terms are defined in A.R.S. § 35-321. Accordingly, the interest earned on funds deposited with the State Treasurer pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-109 must be credited to the general fund as required by A.R.S. § 35-325.20.

Sincerely,

BRUCE E. BABBITT Attorney General

MICHAEL W. SILLYMAN

Assistant

Attorney General

MWS:1s

Copy to The Honorable Bartlett S. Fleming, Treasurer State of Arizona Capitol Building, West Wing Phoenix, Arizona 85007

#### NEW BUSINESS - Cont'd.

It was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. Earley that the engineering members be authorized to attend the annual meeting of the Western Zone, National Council of Engineering Examiners, May 8-9, 1978, in Las Vegas, Nevada. Motion carried.

The Board approved the following meeting dates:

March 31, 1978 - Engineering Examination Committee to review exams to be given April 15-16, 1978

May 11-12, 1978 - Architectural & Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee

May 16, 17, 18 & 19, 1978 - Engineering Evaluation Committee

June 16, 1978 - Regular meeting of the Board

It was moved by Mr. Porcarello and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that the June Board meeting be held in Flagstaff if the budget for State Travel is sufficient. Motion carried.

By unanimous resolution, the out-of-town Board members expressed their thanks to the Tucson contingency for the dinner and cocktails on Thursday evening.

The Board also thanked Mr. Ronald for his all day attendance at this meeting and for his guidance and assistance in their deliberations.

of Landtine for the world they published

#### ADJOURNMENT

The Chairman adjourned the meeting at 3:30 P.M.

CHAIRMAN

SECRETARY

#### MINUTES OF THE STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

MARCH 23, 1978

A special meeting of the State Board of Technical Registration was called to order by Dr. R. A. Jimenez, Chairman, in Room 315, 1645 West Jefferson, Phoenix, Arizona, Thursday, March 23, 1978, at 10:00 A.M.

PRESENT
R. A. Jimenez, Chairman
Sam Caruso, Vice-Chairman
F. Mark Edson, Secretary
Wayne O. Earley
Rod J. Gomez
Kemper Goodwin
Charles E. O'Bannon
Patrick H. Porcarello
John B. Trimble

ABSENT

The Chairman noted that there was quorum present and the Board would go into Executive Session to discuss the topic of the Executive Director. The Board will then reopen the meeting to finalize the decision of the Executive Director. Mr. Edson excused himself from the Executive Session because of the discussion of the Selection Committee's report on the Executive Director's position.

It was moved by Dr. O'Bannon and seconded by Mr. Gomez that Mr. Earle M. Cassidy be appointed as Executive Director of the State Board of Technical Registration. Motion carried.

It was moved by Dr. O'Bannon and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that the pay scale for the new Executive Director would be at the rate of Grade 22, Step 4 (\$24,374 annually). Motion carried.

It was moved by Dr. O'Bannon and seconded by Mr. Earley that as a member of the Board to thank the Selection Committee for the work they put into this screening as it was a difficult and time-consuming job and extend the Board's vote of confidence for their performance. Motion carried.

#### **NEW BUSINESS**

Mr. Gomez, Chairman of the Engineering Examination Committee, inquired of the members if they wished to have their review meeting on this date or hold the meeting on March 31, 1978, as scheduled. It was the consensus of the members to hold the meeting on March 31, 1978.

Mr. Edson, Chairman, of the Architectural Examination Committee, advised that the Schedule of the Architectural Examinations for June, had been changed to be in conformance nationally of giving the Design examination on June 14 and will be given on Tuesday, June 13, 1978, and Wednesday, June 14, 1978.

#### NEW BUSINESS

It was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that the Chairman of the Landscape Architectural Committee be authorized to attend the Western Region meeting of the Council of Landscape Architectural Registration Boards in Reno, Nevada, April 22, 1978. Motion carried.

The Executive Director reported he had attended the meeting of the Senate Committee on appropriations for the 1978-1979 budget and they had approved the \$162,300 which the Board requested and recommended a lump sum budget. He noted that if the Board requests more than one member to travel out of State, it will probably be approved if a letter of explanation accompanies the travel request.

Mr. Goodwin reported that at the regional meeting it was determined the grading would again be done in California after the June examinations and the national office would reimburse the graders for expenses.

It was moved by Dr. O'Bannon and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the Board expressed their appreciation for the past services of the last 16 years of Joyce Smith and accepted her resignation with regrets and respectfully requested that she remain as a special consultant commencing April 8 after her effective date of resignation for a period of two weeks at the maximum rate alloted of \$1,000 as a consultant for the State. Motion carried.

Chairman Jimenez presented to Mr. Edward Drewry a plaque for appreciation of his services as Executive Director from 1971 to 1978 which was a letter in brass, signed by all the Board members and bearing the Arizona seal. Mr. Drewry expressed his gratitude for the plaque.

#### ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the Chairman adjourned the meeting at 1:00 P.M.

CHATRMAN

SECRETARY

# MINUTES OF THE STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION JUNE 16, 1978

The meeting of the State Board of Technical Registration was called to order by Dr. R. A. Jimenez, Chairman, in Room 200 of the Commerce Building, 1601 West Jefferson, Phoenix, Arizona on Friday, June 16, 1978 at 9:07 AM.

#### PRESENT

R. A. Jimenez, Chairman
Sam Caruso, Vice-Chairman
F. Mark Edson, Secretary
Wayne O. Earley
Rod J. Gomez
Kemper Goodwin
Charles E. O'Bannon
Patrick H. Porcarello
John B. Trimble

It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that the minutes of the regular meeting of the Board on March 3, 1978 be approved as presented. Motion carried.

It was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the minutes of the special meeting of the Board on March 23, 1978 be approved as presented. Motion carried.

#### REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

No report.

#### REPORT OF THE RULES AND BY-LAWS COMMITTEE

Mr. Caruso reported that the committee has had no meeting. He reported that he had received comments from Mr. Edson regarding the two questions that had been referred to the Committee, but he solicited comments from the other Board members regarding the two questions, namely:

- A. The Attorney General's Office has requested that the Board define what is considered satisfactory experience.
- B. A person who appeals for a hearing must submit a written statement of grounds for a hearing.

A recommendation was made by Mr. Edson that the Committee consider changing the election of officers from June to September so that new appointees would be considered.

### REPORT OF THE LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

Mr. Goodwin reported that the Committee has had no meeting. He will obtain copies of the "Sunset Law" and make them available to the Board.

Mr. Bill Sawyer briefly discussed H.B. 2097 with the Board, outlining the three provisions affecting professionals.

# REPORT OF THE EVALUATION AND EXAMINATION COMMITTEES

## Architectural Committee Report

Cosentino, Anthony P. 77-339, Architect, appeared before the Board to request the waiver of the four points he missed on his examination. His appeal was based on his extensive experience and supervision.

A motion was made by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that the certification of Mr. Cosentino's grade be upheld. Motion passed.

Colosa, Joseph T., 77-528, Architect, appeared before the Board to request a waiver of his failing grade. He read a petition in support of his appeal.

Mr. Goodwin made a motion that Mr. Colosa's request be tabled until the September meeting to enable the Committee members to review the allegations made in Mr. Colosa's appeal. The motion was seconded by Mr. Edson. Motion passed, with one  $\underline{no}$  vote by Mr. Porcarello.

Mr. Goodwin then moved that in view of this motion, Mr. Cosentino's request also be reviewed by the Committee along with Mr. Colosa's and that the previous motion concerning Mr. Cosentino's request be recinded. The motion was seconded by Mr. Porcarello. Motion passed, opposed by Mr. Caruso.

Mr. Edson presented the applicants recommended for registration under Item I of the Architectural Evaluation Committee report and the applicants recommended to be held for written exams listed under Item 2 of the Architectural Evaluation Committee report (Minute Page 4033) Mr. Edson moved that the recommendations of the report be accepted and implemented by the Board. Motion was seconded by Mr. Goodwin. Motion passed.

Mr. Edson then presented to the Board the other items of business considered by the Architectural Evaluation Committee as shown on Minute Page 4034. It was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. Goodwin that this report be approved. Motion carried.

# Landscape Architectural Committee Report

Mr. Earley invited the Engineering members of the Board to attend the Landscape Architectural Committee meetings.

Mr. Earley presented the report of the Landscape Evaluation Committee meeting held May 12, 1978 shown as Minute Page 4035 to the Board. It was moved by Mr. Earley and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that the report be accepted and implemented. Motion passed.

### Engineering Evaluation Committee Report

Cooper, Marvin Glynn, 74-127, E.I.T., appeared to request that the Board grant him permission to take Parts 3 and 4 of the Electrical examination. Mr. Gomez moved that Mr. Cooper's examination grades be upheld and that his request be denied. Mr. O'Bannon seconded the motion. Motion passed. The Board recommended that Mr. Cooper apply for professional registration rather than E.I.T.

Freeman, Gilbert N., 69-198, Geologist, appeared to request that the Board waiver the requirement that he take Part 3 or grant him an extension to November 1978. It was moved by Dr. O'Bannon and seconded by Mr. Gomez that Mr. Freeman be granted an extension to November to take Part 3 of the Geology exam. Motion passed.

Boucher, Herman G., 78-64, Mechanical Engineer and 78-65, Chemical Engineer, requested that the Board reconsider the Engineering Evaluation Committee's recommendation that he be held for Parts 3 and 4 of the examination. Mr. Gomez made a motion that the recommendation of the Engineering Evaluation Committee be upheld and that Mr. Boucher be held for Parts 3 and 4 of the examination. The motion was seconded by Dr. O'Bannon. Motion passed.

Mr. Gomez presented the list of applicants as shown in the Engineering Evaluation Committee report under Item 1, Page 4036 of the Minutes and made a motion that these applicants be granted registration. The motion was seconded by Mr. Trimble. Motion passed.

Item 2 of the Engineering Evaluation Committee report was then presented to the Board by Mr. Gomez. He moved that the name of Herman G. Boucher be added to the list and that these individuals be held for examinations in November as indicated. Dr. O'Bannon seconded the motion; motion passed. Minute Page 4037.

Mr. Gomez moved that the applicants shown on Minute Page 4038, Item 3 of the Engineering Evaluation Committee Report be denied for lack of experience and be given a refund as shown. Dr. O'Bannon seconded the motion. Motion passed.

Mr. Gomez made a motion that Item 4 of the Engineering Evaluation Committee report, Minute Page 4038 be implemented, denying registration to  $\underline{\text{Mr. Jeffrey McDonald}}$  for failure to complete the requirements of the Board. Mr. Trimble seconded his motion. Motion passed.

Mr. Gomez moved that Mr. Lawrence A. Buxton's grade be reaffirmed and that he be granted an extension to take Part 4 of the examination in April 1980. Dr. O'Bannon seconded the motion. Motion passed.

The Engineering Evaluation Committee referred the matter of a replacement for Question 18 of the Code and By-Laws Exam to the full Board.

Mr. Gomez reported that R. A. Jimenez will attend the NCEE 1978 Annual Meeting to be held August 6-9, 1978 in Louisville, Kentucky. If it is convenient, Earle M. Cassidy or John B. Trimble will also attend.

## Engineering Evaluation Committee Report (Continued)

Mr. Gomez made a motion that the members listed be authorized to attend the NCEE meeting. Mr. Goodwin seconded the motion. Motion passed.

With regards to the revision of the structural examination, Mr. Gomez reported that the Committee recommends waiting for the April 1979 report from the Western Region, NCEE Committee before deciding any action.

It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that the following applicants are to be denied for failure to comply with the Examination Rules, R-4-30-13,E. Motion carried.

Anderson, Charles M.	77-410	Geologist
Armenta, Jose Luis	77-165	Engineer-in-Training
Bertella, Guido Joseph	70-58	- Civil Engineer -
Broussard, M. Roy	76-473	Mechanical Engineer
Callow, Thomas Edwin	77-271	Civil Engineer
Campbell, William	75-185	Electrical Engineer
Christopher, Robert Erroll	77-119	Engineer-in-Training
Cooper, Howard M.	75-546	Mechanical Engineer
Crawford, Darlene	77-208	Engineer-in-Training
Davis, Douglas Charles	77-92	Engineer-in-Training
Davis, Otto	77-417	Civil Engineer
DeLuca, Rocco G.	73-320	Electrical Engineer
Dieckhoff, Michael Clifford	78-129	Engineer-in-Training
Dohmen, Diana T.	77-147	Engineer-in-Training
Greenberg, Marcie	77-213	Engineer-in-Training
Hinshaw, Britt	78-127	Engineer-in-Training
Hopkins, Louie Baus	77-619	Highway Engineer
King, Richard J., Jr.	76-72	Electrical Engineer
Klemstine, Barbara A.	77-186	Engineer-in-Training
Koski, Michael Lyle	77-61	Civil Engineer
Lefler, Lyle Gene	77-546	Civil Engineer
Lipps, Donald Alfred	77-376	Highway Engineer
Locke, James Donald	73-352	Structural Engineer
Maack, Ralph Walter	77-491	Civil Engineer
McCabe, Douglas Jay	77-17	Engineer-in-Training
McDonald, Timothy Alan	77-30	Engineer-in-Training
Purvis, Quincy D.	77-205	Engineer-in-Training
Rodgers, Linda Kaye	77-184	Engineer-in-Training
Ross, Pamela J.	77-154	Engineer-in-Training
Rothberg, Melvin B.	77-110	Civil Engineer
Sears, David M.	77-170	Engineer-in-Training
Sharma, Krishan Kumar	78-11	
Shields, Clarence Robert	73-96	Mechanical Engineer
	76-32	Engineer-in-Training
Small, Gary G.	77-150	Geologist
Wilber, James Elvin	77-130	Engineer-in-Training
Young, Timothy John		Engineer-in-Training
Zagona, Edith Ann	78-120	Engineer-in-Training

It was moved by Dr. O'Bannon and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the following applicants are to be denied with neither prejudice nor refund at their request. Motion carried.

Franklin, George William	78-228	Architect
Pearson, J. Michael	78-42	Electrical Engineer
Sharma, Krishan Kumar	77-197	Engineer-in-Training
Sumner, John Stewart	77-625	Civil Engineer
Visca, Paul Joseph	78-160	Geological Engineer
Hansen, David G.	78-29	Architect-in-Training

<sup>\*</sup> See Minute Page 4043.

It was moved by Mr. Edson and seconded by Mr. Earley that the following applicants for professional registration having completed the personal audience and all other requirements of this Board be granted registration and assigned the registration numbers as indicated. Motion passed.

	ARCHITECTURE		CIVIL ENGINEERING (Continued)	
	Acuna, Augustine Guillermo Aja, Gilbert D. Alexander, Kenneth Arthur Allyn, Richard Blaine Bachert, Kurt Jacob Brout, Robert Benedict Chiodini, Louis G. Engler, Richard Leo Huston, Bruce Carlyle Jackson, Jerome D. Johnson, Ed Jordan Keltner, Benjamin R. Lagopoulos, Basil Simon Martin, David Charles Mullen, Robert E. Oeming, David F. Oringdulph, Robert Eugene Ortega, Rudolph Rafael Parker, George Edward, Jr.	11759 11760 11761 11762 11763 11764 11765 11766 11767 11768 11770 11770 11771 11772 11773 11774 11775 11776 11777	Lipira, Robert Joseph Lokre, Anirudha Balwant McCaleb, Neal A. Myers, Larry Alan Neff, Daniel Hansen Odean, Barry L. Olson, Clarence Oliver Owen, Michael Charles Ronald Pavlovich, Raymond D. Puzauskas, John S., Jr. Rice, Steven Michael Robbins, John Arthur Ryan, William Lee Spencer, Ralph Howard Stedman, Scott Arthur Stokes, Gerald Guy, Jr. Strangio, William Taylor, Harvey Walter	11800 11801 11802 11803 11804 11805 11806 11807 11808 11809 11810 11811 11812 11813 11814 11815 11816 11817
	Perata, Albert Anthony Smith, James J.	11778 11779	Ainscough Anthun	11818
*	Zimmerman, Gary Vincent Robinson, Gregory James CHEMICAL ENGINEERING	11780 11847	Ainscough, Arthur Andes, Charles B. Belden, Jerry Lee Geyman, David Joseph	11819 11820 11821
	Boucher, Herman G.	11781	Given, Sherman K. Goldberg, Jack	11822 11823
	CIVIL ENGINEERING	11702	Mancini, Frank Peter Mason, Thomas Lee	11824 11825
	Biondolillo, Louis Philip Calvillo, Clement Nemec Chan, George Ka Cheung Cronk, Gene Edward Day, Richard O. Denmark, Clifford Theodore	11782 11783 11784 11785 11786 11787	Mutschler, William Henry, Jr. Schneider, Norbert Sharbutt, David Edward Sterling, Edward Canfield, III Tang, Wilson Pui-Yan	11826 11827 11828
	Gage, Leanos Monroe Galek, Albert H.	11788 11789	MECHANICAL ENGINEERING	
	Glover, William Arthur Gruby, Michael Bert Johnson, Thomas Dunavan Johnson, William John III Kelly, Vincent Kevin Kindred, Thomas Glenn Knechtel, Winston E., Jr. Levy, Albert M. Lewis, Gunter Henry Lewis, James Walter	11790 11791 11792 11793 11794 11795 11796 11797 11798 11799	Ashcraft, William Camden Braun, Robert H. Chittenden, William Arthur Hoffman, Sidney Leung, Richard L. Little, Edwin Jackson Malik, Gulshan Kumar Okajima, Toshio	11831 11832 11833 11834 11835 11836 11837 11838

<sup>\*</sup> See Minute Page 4030

## STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

Loftfield, James R.	11839
Milstein, Bernard	11840
Narasimhan, Anantanarayanan,	11841
Knishnaswamy-	

### LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE

Olson, Clarence Oliver 1184	Olson.	Clarence	Oliver	1184
-----------------------------	--------	----------	--------	------

#### LAND SURVEYING

Ballard, James T.	11843
del Castillo, Ruel	11844
Eichelberger, Lloyd Lane	11845
Kingston, Howard Glenn	11846

It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the following applicants for in-training registration having satisfactorily completed the written examination be granted in-training registration and assigned the in-training registration numbers as indicated. Motion carried.

<sup>\*</sup> See Minute Page 4043

# REPORT OF THE PUBLIC INFORMATION COMMITTEE

Mr. Edson reported that he had held a seminar for twenty-one candidates for registration to help them understand the problems of the examinations and some changes that might be made.

Mr. Trimble reported that Mr. Gomez, Dr. Jimenez and he had been invited to the Arizona Structural Engineering Society meeting which was held in Tucson several weeks ago to be on a panel discussion of information regarding registration.

The Chairman asked Mr. Caruso to develop guidelines for the Executive Director to use in publicizing the activities of the Board. The Chairman asked that these guidelines be circulated amongst the Board members for their comments before being implemented.

### REPORT OF THE BUDGET COMMITTEE

No report.

#### REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Mr. Cassidy reported to the Board regarding the items shown on Minute Page 4039.

The Cash Flow Report included in Minute Page 4040 was presented to the Board.

The Board went into Executive Session. Mr. Thomas P. Prose, Attorney from the Attorney General's Office, made a presentation to the Board in the matter of Gregory James Robinson, 77-484, Architectural applicant.

The Board then returned to its regular meeting.

#### READING OF COMMUNICATIONS

Key, Orin William - 73-44 Letter requesting waiver of Part 2 was presented. It was moved by Mr. Caruso and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that the request be denied. Motion carried.

McKlveen, John W. - 77-130 Letter requesting waiver of Parts 3 and 4 was presented. It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that this request be denied. Motion carried.

Mithun, Omer L.- 78-94 Letter requesting indefinite extension was presented. Mr. Goodwin moved that this request be denied. It was seconded by Dr. O'Bannon. Motion passed.

Hoffarth, Richard C. - 72-599 Letter regarding proctoring of April examinations in Tempe was presented. Dr. O'Bannon moved to instruct the Executive Director to explain the circumstances and the Board's interpretation in a letter to Mr. Hoffarth, and to inform him that if he failed the exam in question, he would be allowed to re-take the exam at no charge. The motion was seconded by Mr. Trimble. Motion carried.

<u>Kirkbride</u>, <u>Elsye</u> Letter requesting duplicate certificate for George A. <u>Kirkbride</u> was presented. Mr. Jimenez moved that a duplicate certificate be prepared and mailed to Mrs Kirkbride. Dr. O'Bannon seconded the motion. Motion passed.

Maucher, L.E. Letter concerning non-registered employees of cities and counties was presented. It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Gomez that the Executive Director be instructed to answer this letter. Motion passed.

<u>Walling, Craig</u> of Peters & Walling Architects. Letter regarding credit for time spent as a plans checker was presented. The Board instructed Mr. Cassidy to respond to this letter.

 $\underline{\text{Moffit, John R.}}$  of Pima County Attorney's Office. Letter regarding complaint of John C. Varga against John Lever and Gary McCorkle was presented. The Board postponed consideration of this letter until the complaints were considered under New Business.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Robinson, Gregory James In the matter of the application of Mr. Robinson for registration as Architect in the State of Arizona the Board made a decision based upon the Administrative Hearing of March 3, 1978 and the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law subsequently submitted. Mr. Porcarello made a motion that Mr. Robinson be granted registration. Motion was seconded by Mr. Early. There were four ayes; three noes. Mr. Gomez abstained. Motion passed.

Question #18, Code and By-Laws Exam. Three alternate questions were considered by the Board as a replacement for Question #18. Mr. Trimble moved that Alternate A be accepted as the replacement. Motion was seconded by Mr. Edson. Motion carried. Shown on Minute Page 4041.

Brennan, Richard R., attorney for Charles K. Kapuvary, 77-183. Mr. Brennan's letter was held in abeyance, pending an inquiry to NCARB regarding foreign experience policy, and was to be considered at this meeting. The inquiry was not made prior to this meeting; therefore, the Board instructed the Executive Director to write to Mr. Brennan and suggest he contact NCARB directly, as they have the means to evaluate foreign education and experience credit.

# UNFINISHED BUSINESS (Continued)

Sullivan, Louis C., P.E. of Sullivan & Masson Consulting Engineers.
The Board determined that this matter does not come under their jurisdiction, as "Solar Energy Consultants" is not an engineering discipline. The Board directed that Mr. Sullivan be informed that if he has a complaint, he must file a formal complaint against an individual.

Designlab, Inc. A formal complaint from Max Haechler against Designlab, Inc. was presented at the March Board Meeting. The Board requested a response from Designlab, Inc. It was moved by Mr. Earley that this complaint be dismissed as it was too general in nature to covered by the statutes. Mr. Trimble seconded the motion. Mr. Caruso recommended that the motion be amended to include that Mr. Haechler be informed that the Board had considered Designlab, Inc.'s reply to the allegations and had found the reply satisfactory. Mr. Earley accepted this amendment to his motion. Motion was passed with two no votes, five ayes. Dr. O'Bannon abstained.

#### NEW BUSINESS

Complaint - Tunick, S. Robert against Oberg/Hunt/Gilleland, Architects. Mr. Caruso disqualified himself from this matter because of his association with those against whom this complaint is filed. Mr. Porcarello moved and Mr. Earley seconded the motion that Oberg/Hunt/Gilleland be informed of this complaint and requested to make a written response. Motion carried.

Complaints - Varga, John C. against Gary A. McCorkle dba Pima Digital; and Varga, John C. against John A. Lever dba Automation Electronics. These two informal complaints were considered together because they were similar in nature. Mr. Trimble made a motion that Mr. Varga be informed that he must submit a formal complaint and that Mr.McCorkle and Mr. Lever be informed of the formal complaint and be requested to reply in writing. Mr. Porcarello seconded the motion. Motion carried.

The Board then considered in more detail the allegations made by Mr. Varga in these complaints and decided that there was no evidence of any infraction of the code and that no further action would be taken. Dr. O'Bannon moved that the prior motion be recinded and that Mr. Varga be so informed. Motion was seconded by Mr. Porcarello. Motion carried.

The letter from Mr. John R. Moffit of the Pima County Attorney's Office regarding this matter which was previously postponed until after considering these complaints was now considered. The Board instructed the Executive Director to reply to Mr. Moffit's letter.

Complaint - Fuller, Gordon C. and Alice L. against John Ellisanti, Architect. Mr. Goodwin made a motion that the Executive Director inform Mr. Ellisanti of this complaint and after receiving his answer do whatever further investigation is necessary to bring to the Board the full details regarding this complaint. Mr. Earley seconded the motion. Motion carried.

# NEW BUSINESS (Continued)

Mr. Porcarello moved and Dr. O'Bannon seconded the motion that the following authorizations to travel be approved. Motion passed.

NCARB Annual Meeting, June 21-24, 1978 - Los Angeles, California. NCEE Annual Meeting, August 6-9, 1978 - Louisville, Kentucky. CLARB Annual Meeting, September 8-9,1978 - Dallas, Texas.

Mr. Porcarello made a motion that the following meeting dates be approved. Mr. Edson seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Architectural Evaluation Committee Meeting	August 10-11, 1978
Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee Meeting	August 10-11, 1978
Engineering Evaluation Committee Meeting	August 15-18, 1978
Regular Board Meeting	September 8, 1978
Engineering Examination Committee Meeting (to certify examination results)	July 21, 1978 (approx.)
Special Board Meeting (to approve recommendations of Examination Committee)	July 21, 1978 (approx.)

### ELECTION OF OFFICERS

It was moved by Mr. Porcarello and seconded by Mr. Trimble that Mr. F. Mark Edson be elected Chairman. Motion carried unanimously.

It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Earley that Mr. Rod J. Gomez be elected Vice-Chairman. Motion carried unanimously.

It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Caruso that Mr. Porcarello be elected Secretary. Motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Goodwin made a motion that the Executive Director be authorized to directly request a response from a person or firm against whom a complaint is filed so that the complaint and response will be available to the Board at their next meeting. This motion was seconded by Mr. Porcarello. Motion passed.

## ADJOURNMENT

The Chairman adjourned the meeting at 3:30 P.M.

Chairman

Secretary

May 26, 1978

TO:

State Board of Technical Registration

FROM:

Architectural Evaluation Committee

SUBJECT: Evaluation of Applicants

The Architectural Evaluation Committee met May 11-12, 1978 in Phoenix, Arizona, with the following members present:

> F. Mark Edson Kemper Goodwin

There being a quorum present at all times, the committee reviewed the following applicants and makes to the Board the recommendations shown regarding registration in Arizona:

1. The following applicants having appeared before the committee and satisfied the committee they are fully qualified, including the treatise on seismic forces, to receive architectural registration in Arizona under ARS 32-123.A and ARS 32-126, are hereby recommended for registration:

Acuna, Augustine Guillermo	77-652	Keltner, Benjamin R.	78-68
Aja, Gilbert D.	78-101	Lagopoulos, Basil Simon	78-131
Alexander, Kenneth Arthur	78-158	Martin, David Charles	78-141
Allyn, Richard Blaine	77-653	Mullen, Robert E.	78-103
Bachert, Kurt Jacob	78-90	Oeming, David E.	77-185
Brout, Robert Benefict	78-170	Oringdulph, Robert Eugene	77-632
Chiodini, Louis G.	78-190	Ortega, Rudolph Rafael	78-132
Engler, Richard Leo	78-59	Parker, George Edward Jr.	78-91
Huston, Bruce Carlyle	78-97	Perata, Albert Anthony	78-60
Jackson, Jerome D.	77-366	Smith, James J.	77-526
Johnson, Ed Jordan	78-61	Zimmerman, Gary Vincent	78-37

2. The following applicants having appeared before the committee for a personal audience interview, need demonstration of additional evidence of their proficiency (ARS 32-123.B), and it is recommended to the Board that these individuals be held for written exams in June and December 1978:

E, Professional
E, Professional
A,B,C,D,E, Professional
Ε.
E, Professional
A,B,C,D,E, Professional
E, Professional
E, Professional
A,B,C,D, Professional
E.
E, Professional
E, Professional

Continued...

The committee recommends to the Board that Recommendations 1 and 2 of this report be accepted and implemented.

Other items of business considered by the Architectural Evaluation Committee are as follows:

DONG, TILLIE In response to her request, Tillie Dong's exam results were reviewed and verified for correct scoring. Exam results will stand as announced.

QUESTION #18 REPLACEMENT The committee refers this matter to the full Board for a decision.

1978 NCARB ANNUAL MEETING F. Mark Edson and Kemper Goodwin were chosen delegates to this meeting to be held in Los Angeles, June 21 - 24, 1978.

STARKWEATHER, RICHARD PAUL At Mr. Starkweather's request, the committee granted him an extension to August 1978 so that he will be able to complete a seismic seminar in June 1978.

ISAACS, ROBERT EUGENE This application was considered for possible denial for failure to complete the requirements of the Board within a reasonable length of time. The committee made the recommendation that the Executive Director send a letter to Mr. Isaacs' home address, asking for confirmation that he still wishes to complete his application for registration. If no reply is received by July 1, 1978 a denial will be recommended by the committee.

ROLA, ALFRED The committee extended the submittal date to July 14, 1978 for  $\overline{\text{Mr. Roia's treatise.}}$  If treatise is not submitted by that date, the committee recommends denial of the application for failure to complete the requirements of the Board within a reasonable length of time.

JENCEN, RICHARD R. An extension was granted to Mr. Jencen by the committee, giving him until July 14, 1978 to submit his treatise. If treatise has not been submitted by that date, the committee recommends denial of this application for failure to complete the requirements of the Board within a reasonable length of time.

YEARWOOD, RANDALL NILE The submittal date on Mr. Yearwood's treatise or seminar completion was extended to July 14, 1978. Denial of this application will be forthcoming if this is not done.

Respectfully submitted,

F. Mark Edson, Chairman

DATE: May 24, 1978

TO:

State Board of Technical Registration

FROM:

Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee

SUBJECT: Evaluation of Applicants

The Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee met May 12, 1978 in Phoenix, Arizona, with the following members present:

> Wayne O. Earley F. Mark Edson Kemper Goodwin

There being a quorum present at all times, the committee reviewed the following applicants and makes to the Board the recommendations shown regarding registration in Arizona:

1. The following applicant, having appeared before the committee and satisfied the committee that he is fully qualified to receive landscape architectural registration in Arizona under A.R.S. 32-123.A and A.R.S. 32-126 is hereby recommended for registration:

Olson, Clarence Oliver 78-36

2. The following applicants, having appeared before the committee for a personal audience interview, need demonstration of additional evidence of their proficiency (A.R.S. 32-123.B), and it is recommended to the Board that these individuals be held for the written examinations in June 1978:

Cure, Peter Edward	77-541	Sections B and D
Leece, Michael Brian Francis	78-197	Sections A,B,C, and D
Roundy, Newell James	78-78	Sections A,B,C, and D
Scott, William Talmage, Jr.	78-77	Section D
Tumer, Savias M.	78-140	Sections A.B.C. and D

3. The following applicants appeared before the committee, and it was determined that their applications should be denied for lack of experience of a character satisfactory to the Board under A.R.S. 32-122.A, with the refunds as shown:

Krieg, John Christopher 78-40 \$10.00 Presto, Richard 78-166 \$10.00

The committee recommends to the Board that Recommendations 1 through 3 of this report be accepted and implemented.

Respectfully submitted.

Wayne O. Earley, Chairman

DATE: May 24, 1978

TO:

State Board of Technical Registration

FROM:

Engineering Evaluation Committee

SUBJECT:

Evaluation of Applicants

The Engineering Evaluation Committee met May 16 - 19, 1978 in Phoenix, Arizona with the following members present:

Sam Caruso Rod J. Gomez Rudolf A. Jimenez Charles E. O'Bannon John B. Trimble

There being a quorum present at all times, the committee reviewed the following applicants and now make to the Board the recommendations shown regarding their professional registration in Arizona.

1. The following applicants, having appeared before the committee and having satisfied the committee that they are fully qualified to receive registration in Arizona under A.R.S. 32-123.A and 32-126 are hereby recommended for registration:

CHEMICAL ENGINEER		CIVIL ENGINEERS (Cont.)	
Boucher, Herman G. CIVIL ENGINEERS	78-65	Odean, Barry L. Olson, Clarence Oliver Owen, Michael Charles Ronald	78-178 78-35 78-54
Biondolillo, Louis Philip Calvillo, Clement Nemec Chan, George Ka Cheung Cronk, Gene Edward Day, Richard O. Denmark, Clifford Theodore Gage, Leanos Monroe Galek, Albert H. Glover, William Arthur Gruby, Michael Bert Johnson, Thomas Dunavan Johnson, William John (III) Kelly, Vincent Kevin	78-63 77-664 78-179 78-155 78-183 78-157 78-162 78-110 78-109 78-17 78-112 78-128 77-666	Pavlovich, Raymond Pazauskas, John S. (Jr.) Rice, Steven Michael Robbins, John Arthur Ryan, William Lee Spencer, Ralph Howard Stedman, Scott Arthur Stokes, Gerald Guy (Jr.) Strangio, William Taylor, Harvey Walter  ELECTRICAL ENGINEERS Ainscough, Arthur	78-106 78-88 78-28 78-38 78-177 78-161 78-168 78-89 78-13 78-182
Kindred, Thomas Glenn Knechtel, Winston E. (Jr.) Levy, Albert M. Lewis, Gunter Henry Lewis, James Walter Lipira, Robert Joseph Kokre, Anirudha Balwant McCaleb, Neal A. Myers, Larry Alan Neff, Daniel Hansen	78-84 78-80 77-527 78-135 78-47 78-24 78-86 78-129 78-167 78-95	Andes, Charles B. Belden, Jerry Lee Geyman, David Joseph Given, Sherman K. Goldberg, Jack Mancini, Frank Peter Mason, Thomas Lee Mutschler, William Henry(Jr. Schneider, Norbert Sharbutt, David Edward Sterling, Edward CanfieldIII Tang, Wilson Pui-Yan	78-71 78-145

MECHANICAL ENGINEERS		LAND SURVEYORS	
Ashcraft, William Camden Braun, Robert H. Chittenden, William Arthur Hoffman, Sidney Leung, Richard L. Little, Edwin Jackson Malik, Gulshan Kumar Okajima, Toshio	78-195 78-114 78-151 78-172 78-125 78-173 78-119 78-138	Ballard, James T. del Castillo, Ruel Eichelberger, Lloyd Lane Kingston, Howard Glenn	78-127 78-143 77-585 78-149
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS			
Loftfield, James R. Milstein, Bernard	78-105 78-134		
Narasimhan, Anantanarayanan, Krishnaswamy	78-48		

2. The following applicants, having appeared before the committee for a personal audience interview, need demonstration of additional evidence of their proficiency (A.R.S. 32-123.B), and it is recommended to the Board that these individuals be held for the written examinations in November 1978 as indicated:

CHEMICAL ENGINEER				
Travis, Stephen R.	78-72	Parts	3 and 4	
CIVIL ENGINEERS				
Drucker, Emil Glancy, Michael Owen Laakso, Douglas Gordon Sebba, Jon Brigish Skibitzke, Herbert E. Wetz, Norman Harold	78-107 78-34 78-43 78-45 78-85 78-57	Parts Parts Parts Parts	3 and 4 3 and 4 1,2,3, and 3 and 4 3 and 4 3 and 4	4
ELECTRICAL ENGINEERS				
Ames, Stephen Alexander Bone, Dale Hansel Demcko, John Andrew Holmerud, Gene Kroese, Arthur Jerome	78-52 78-163 78-115 77-661 78-3	Parts Parts Parts	1,2,3, and 3 and 4 1,2,3, and 3 and 4 3 and 4	
MECHANICAL ENGINEERS				
Hannifin, Edmund Normand Sullivan, James Emmett Sullivan, Paul Eugene Boucher, Herman G. SANITARY ENGINEER	78-130 78-124 78-81 78-64	Parts Parts	1,2,3, and 1,2,3, and 3 and 4 3 and 4	
Scoutten, Woodrow Charles, Jr.	78-146	Parts	3 and 4	
STRUCTURAL ENGINEER Hess. Steven Alan Narasimhan, Anantanarayanan LAND SURVEYOR	78-30 78-48	Parts Parts	3,4,5, and 5 and 6	6
Sturniolo, Fredrick Joseph	78-148	Parts	1,2,3, and	4

3. The following applicants appeared before the committee, and it was determined that their applications should be denied for lack of experience of a character satisfactory to the Board under ARS 32-122.A, with the refunds as shown:

Civil Engineer 78-29 Saufferer, Warren B. \$10.00 Mechanical Engineer 78-180 Coyle, Patrick Doff \$10.00

4. The following applicant was advised three times that his application was incomplete and that references were needed before he could be scheduled for personal audience evaluation. He made no response, and he his hereby recommended to the Board for denial of his application for failure to complete the requirements of the Board within a reasonable length of time:

Land Surveyor

77-36 McDonald, Jeffrey

5. Buxton, Lawrence A. 76-208, Civil Engineer

Consideration was given to Mr. Buxton's written request for an extension to 1980 to re-take Part 4 of the examination and for a review of his November 1977 examination. The committee recommends that the Board consider the request for an extension to 1980. The exam was reviewed, and the failing grade was reaffirmed.

The committee recommends to the Board that Recommendations 1 through 5 of this report be accepted and implemented.

Other items of business considered by the Engineering Evaluation Committee are as follows:

QUESTION #18 REPLACEMENT The committee refers this matter to the full Board.

NCEE 1978 ANNUAL MEETING It was decided that Rudolph A. Jimenez will attend this meeting to be held August 6-9, 1978 in Louisville, Kentucky. If it is convenient, Earle M. Cassidy or John B. Trimble will also attend.

REVISION OF STRUCTURAL EXAM The committee recommends waiting for the April 1979 report from Western Region, NCEE Committee on a common exam for the Western area.

Respectfully submitted.

Rod J. Gomez

#### REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Mr. Drewry's last day of work was April 21, 1978, not February 25, 1978 as originally requested by him in his letter of resignation.

At the March 3, 1978 Board Meeting the Board authorized the programming and printout of the disciplines, alphabetically. This has been requested from Data Processing and should be available in July or August, 1978.

At the March 3, 1978 Board Meeting the Board authorized the purchase of conference recording equipment. A demonstration of two types of equipment is being conducted at this Board meeting.

The Director would like authorization to include in the 1979-80 budget request some funds for the purchase of draperies and additional office furnishings.

It would appear that nearly all fees will have to be increased in the forthcoming year in order to cover budgeted expenses. It is estimated that the Technical Fund balance will fall below \$100,000 this month for the first time since 1972, and a further deficit has been budgeted for the fiscal year 1978-79.

Earle M. Cassidy

Balance on deposit as of July 1,	1977	\$119,917.02
Total Allocated Receipts to Techn	\$113,024.02	
Balance on deposit as of report of	\$232,941.04	
Balance in Technical Fund		\$121,060.71
Lump Sum Appropriated		\$160,800.00
EXPENDITURES:	1977-78 Year-To-Date	1977-89 <u>Budget</u>
Personal Services	\$55,475.14	\$57,400
Employee Related Costs	8,799.15	10,900
Travel - In State	3,803.59	4,300
Travel - Out of State	2,886.75	3,300
Data Processing	1,029.76	2,600
Professional Services	10,394.12	44,800
Office Rent & Meeting Rooms	4,293.50	8,400
Office Supplies, Maintenance, Express & Advertising	4,748.91	13,900
Microfilm	187.49	200
Insurance	300.00	300
Dues	2,632.00	3,200
Postage	5,032.15	7,000
Telephone Telephone	1,763.88	2,300
Xerox	645.75	900
Revolving Fund	50.00	
Refunds	346.50	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$102,368.69	
Balance of Appropriated Monies		\$58,431.31

# State Board of Technical Registration

ARCHITECTS, ASSAYERS, ENGINEERS, GEOLOGISTS, LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS AND LAND SURVEYORS 1645 W. JEFFERSON, ROOM 315

PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007 Friday July 21, 1978 in 1602) 271-4053

DATE: May 3, 1978

FROM:

SUBJECT:

Earle M. Cassidy, Executive Director (MC)

Three alternatives have been submitted by individual Board members as a suggested replacement for Question #18 of the Code and Bylaws Exam. They are printed below. Please look them over so that they may be discussed during the forthcoming Evaluation Committee meetings later this month.

OHESTION 18 Structural engineers may not perform civil engineering; however, civil engineers may perform structural engineering:

a. except on schools.

b. except on public buildings and schools.

c. without exceptions.

d. except on buildings above 10 stories in height.

ALTERNATE A If in the judgment of the Board of Technical Registration an applicant has not furnished satisfactory evidence of qualifications for registration, it may:

a. require an additional registration fee. b. require additional data.

me. require applicant to submit to an oral or written examination.

d. combination of b. and c.

Reference: 32-123

ALTERNATE B A firm or corporation may engage in the practice of architecture, assaying, geology, engineering, landscape architecture, or land surveying, if:

a. the work is under the charge of a registrant.b. the work is under the full authority and responsible charge of a registrant, who is also a principal of the firm or officer of the corporation.

c. the work is under the charge of any principal of the firm or officer of the corporation.

d. all of the above.

Reference 32-141

ALTERNATE C The board may take disciplinary action and revoke the certificate of any registrant charged with the commission of the following acts:

a. acting as an agent, partner or associate of an unregistered person with intent to evade the provision of this Code.

allowing the annual registration fee to lapse for more than three years.

c. signing, stamping, or sending any document not prepared by the registrant or his bonafide employee.

d. either a. or c. above.

JULY 21, 1978

A special meeting of the State Board of Technical Registration was held on Friday, July 21, 1978 in Room 315 of the Occupational Licensing Building, 1645 West Jefferson, Phoenix, Arizona.

There being a quorum present, the meeting was called to order at 11:15 A.M. by F. Mark Edson, Chairman of the Board.

#### PRESENT

F. Mark Edson, Chairman Rod J. Gomez, Vice Chairman Kemper Goodwin Patrick H. Porcarello, Secretary Sam Caruso R. A. Jimenez Charles E. O'Bannon John B. Trimble

#### ABSENT

Wayne O. Earley

It was moved by John B. Trimble and seconded by R.A. Jimenez that the minutes of the regular meeting of the Board held on June 16, 1978 be approved as presented. Motion carried.

#### REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

No report.

#### REPORT OF THE LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

No report.

#### REPORT OF THE EVALUATION AND EXAMINATION COMMITTEES

#### ARCHITECTURAL

No report.

#### ENGINEERING

The Engineering Evaluation Committee report of the meeting held July 21, 1978 was presented by Chairman Sam Caruso. Minute Pages 4047-56.

There being a question regarding the grading of the examinations for the Structural Engineers, it was moved by Mr. Caruso and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that the Structural Engineering portion be deleted from the list of grades to be certified. The motion passed.

Further discussion of this question resulted in the decision that all the names of the Structural Engineers should not be deleted-only those in question. Therefore, Mr. Jimenez moved to rescind the previous motion. Mr. Porcarello seconded, and the motion passed. Mr. Caruso moved that the Engineering Evaluation Committee report be accepted and implemented by the Board with the exception of the following four people who took Part 6 of the Structural Engineering examination.
Mr. Jimenez seconded the motion. Motion carried.

#### DELETED

Bianchi, Richard Jesse	75-472
Stevens, Alexander	78-8
Weingart, Daniel	78-9
Willer, Myron A.	77-597

Mr. Caruso then made a motion that the examination grades of the above four Structural Engineers be reviewed with the grader to resolve the question of the exam results and that the Engineering Evaluation Committee be polled with the results of the review and then the Board be polled by telephone to accept the results of the evaluation and that the proper paper work be instituted by the Executive Director to conform to all regulations. This motion was seconded by Mr. Trimble. Motion passed.

It was moved by Dr. O'Bannon and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that the following applicant is to be denied registration with neither prejudice nor refund at his own request:

Motion passed.

78-83 Isaacs, Robert Eugene

It was moved by Mr. Porcarello and seconded by Dr. Jimenez that the names of the following applicants which were shown on the list of denials at the June 16, 1978 Board meeting be officially removed from the denied list:

70-58 Bertella, Guido Joseph 75-185 Campbell, William

Motion passed.

It was moved by Mr. Porcarello and seconded by Dr. Jimenez that the name of the following applicant be removed from the list of applicants who were granted registration at the June 16, 1978 meeting. This name was included by clerical error. Motion passed.

78-48 Narasimhan, Anantanarayanan, Krishnaswamy

It was moved by Mr. Caruso and seconded by Mr. Porcarello that the following applicants for professional registration, having completed the personal audience and all other requirements of this Board, be granted registration and assigned the registration numbers as indicated. Motion carried.

CIVIL ENGINEERING		MECHANICAL ENGINEERING	
Baker, William Hugh, Jr. Berry, James Earl Corum, Donald Kelly Fincel, John McMillen Hansen, Larry Bruce Harn, Paul Alan Malik, Mahmood Akbar Nelson, El Roy Rosness, Martin Henry Smith, Ramon Guy Sriben, Sid Svensson, Roy William Taylor, James Howard Wiedeman, Craig Parker Young, Benny Joe	11841 11848 11849 11850 11851 11852 11853 11854 11855 11856 11857 11858 11859 11860 11861 11887	Brevick, Lonnie Lee Drost, Barry Dean Ghan, Robert James Haak, Charles Edward Hodge, Michael Frederick Price, Mike Steven Quill, Joseph Stephen, Jr. Schwalm, Robert James Barnes, Darryl L.  MINING ENGINEERING Johnson, Thomas Curtis Phillips, Stephen Henry Elliott  NUCLEAR ENGINEERING Stirland, Meade A.	11871 11872 11873 11874 11875 11876 11877 11878 11894 11879 11880
Sobieski, Paul Gerhard	1100/		11001
ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING		Ahmed, Moizuddin	11882
Barrow, John Talmage, Jr. Carlton, Bruce Frederick Phillips, William Earl	11862 11863 11864	Biondolillo, Louis Philip Gutt, Tadius J. Linder, Barry Murray	11884 11885 11886
Skala, James Albert Taylor, Dale Wayne Thomas, Kenneth James Peter Thomas, Marcus Maurice	11865 11866 11867 11868	GEOLOGIST Tepel, Robert Edward Turek, Frank Stanley	11888 11889
London, John Martin Sykora, Kenneth Richard	11895 11896	LAND SURVEYING Cook, Richard Dennis Myers, Harry LeRoy	11890 11891
HIGHWAY ENGINEERING		Myers, Terry Lon Potts, Robert Clifford	11892 11893
Ross, Thomas John	11869	Short, John Edward Sticht, Brian George Winkler, Lynn Ames, Jr.	11883 11897 11898
INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING		ATTICLE STATE AND ASSESSED	11000
Bailey, James Edward	11870		

# ENGINEER-IN-TRAINING

MOTITE	
Baldwin, Charles Cummins	1929
Bleakney, William W.	1930
Bro, William J.	1931
Chandler, Randy Neal	1932
Cummings, Robert Adams	1933
Daemmer, Johnathan E.	1934
Daemmer, Johnathan L.	1935
Dotson, David Dell	1936
Germain, Albert E.	1937
Guertin, James M.	1938
Hinch, Phillip James, Jr.	
Hom, Donald D.	1939
Jacobson, Randall James	1940
Jennings, David Wayne	1941
Jensen, Andrew Wallace	1942
Kendall, Shawn B.	1943
Lang, Michael	1944
Luke, Russell A.	1945
Maples, Vance H.	1946
Morris, James F.	1947
Morris, William F.	1948
Murray, Steven A.	1949
Orr, Stephen A.	1950
Perreault, Richard G.	1951
Prouty, Leslie Jean	1952
Ramos, John	1953
Reynolds, Robert David	1954
Roscoe, Robert W.	1955
Rule, Timothy T.	1956
Shacter, Stuart Barnett	1957
	1958
Stephens, Frank Anderson	
Sublette, William Robert	1959
Thrall, Patrick James	1960
Tognoni, David O.	1961
Vail, William R.	1962
Wagner, Martha M.	1963
White, Noel	1964
Wilkerson, Paul Ronald	1965
Winsor, Michael John	1966
Zipf, Richard Karl, Jr.	1967
Zwickl, Keith Duane	1968
Victory, Thomas F.	1969
Brown, Lewis E.	1970
Martin, Andrew Gainor	1971
Harris, James E.	1972

### REPORT OF THE PUBLIC INFORMATION COMMITTEE

No report.

### REPORT OF THE BUDGET COMMITTEE

No report.

#### REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

The Executive Director explained to the Board the need for and the uses of a Revolving Fund.

Dr. Jimenez made a motion that the Executive Director be authorized to open an account with the Valley National Bank for the purpose of facilitating expenditures of the Board. Dr. O'Bannon seconded the motion. Mr. Gomez proposed that this motion be amended to include the Chairman as signatory of this account. Dr. Jimenez accepted this amendment to the motion. Motion passed.

The Executive Director explained to the Board the problem involved in obtaining three signatures on each document issued by the Board office, and the Board directed that the Signature Authorization document filed with the Accounting Office be changed to require only two signatures.

#### COMMUNICATIONS

The National Council of Engineering Examiners advised the Board Office by telephone on July 10, 1978 of the death of Amos E. Kent, President Elect, NCEE. Mr. Gomez moved that a letter expressing the sympathy of the Board be sent to the family of Mr. Kent. Mr. Caruso seconded the motion. Motion passed.

Dr. O'Bannon made a motion that the Board send a letter expressing our sympathy to the family of former Board member, John Girand, who died July 15, 1978 and our appreciation for his service to the community and to the Board. Mr. Trimble seconded. Motion passed.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Although Mr. Porcarello was excused and there was no longer a quorum present, Mr. John C. Varga appeared before the Board to request a review of his complaint against John A. Lever dba Automation Electronics and Gary A. McCorkle dba Pima Digital. After listening to a lengthy presentation by Mr. Varga, the Board advised him that there was no proof that Mr. Lever or Mr. McCorkle had violated the rules and regulations of the Board. The Board explained to Mr. Varga that if he did obtain such proof of any violation he must submit a formal complaint to the Board.

Mr. Varga suggested to the Board that a committee be appointed to study the Electronics field and make suggestions to the Board for monitoring this field.

ADJOURMENT

The meeting adjourned at 1:00 P.M.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Chairman

Secretary

DATE: July 21, 1978

TO:

State Board of Technical Registration

FROM:

Engineering Evaluation Committee

The Engineering Evaluation Committee met on Friday, July 21, 1978 in Phoenix, Arizona with the following members present:

Rod J. Gomez Sam Caruso R. A. Jimenez Charles E. O'Bannon John B. Trimble

The Committee meeting was held to review the results of the examinations given April 15-16, 1978. The final results of the examinations are shown on the following pages.

The Engineering Evaluation Committee will meet August 17, 18, 21, 22, 1978.

The Engineering Evaluation Committee recommends to the Board that this report be accepted and implemented and that these grades be certified.

Respectfully submitted,

Sam Caruso, Chairman

СН	HEMI CAL	ENGINEERING	PART	PART	PART III	PART	PASSED
	6-193	Hammon, Lyndon Ray	Ex	Ex	F	Р	4
	5-119	Roberts, S. Von	Ex	Ex	F	F	
76	5-260	Rosenstock, Hubert	Ex	Ex	F	F	
75	5-558	Villemez, George Raymond	Ex	Ex	F	F	
CI	VIL EN	GINEERING					
77	7-556	Babcock, Joseph Andrew	F	F	F	Р	4
* 77	7-620	Baker, William Hugh, Jr.	Ex	Ex	P	Р	3,4
* 77	7-595	Berry, James Earl	Ex	Ex	Р	P	3,4
77	7-342	Bethell, John L.	Ex	Ex	F	P	4
76	5-508	Chen, James Shu Chih	Ex	Ex	F	Р	4
77	7-42	Coker, Raymond L.	Ex	Ex	F	F	
* 77	7-317	Corum, Donald Kelly	Ex	Ex	Р	P	3,4
77	7-370	Crawford, David Scott	Ex	Ex	F	F	
70	)-311	Dalrymple, Guerry M.	F	F	F	Р	
77	7-318	Easter, Jack A.	F	F	F	F	
* 77	7-63	Fincel, John McMillen	Ex	Ex	P	P	4
77	7-118	Fleet, Fred Everett	F	F	F	F	
75	5-354	Funderburk, Harvey Alexander	F	P	P	Р	
* 77	7-408	Hansen, Larry Bruce	Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
77	7-433	Harabor, Mihai	P	P	F	Р	1,2, 4
* 77	7-260	Harn, Paul Alan	Ex	Ex	Р	P	4
74	1-373	Hood, Douglas Eugene	P	P	P	F	
77	7-261	Kimmel, Richard John	Ex	Ex	Р	F	
69	9-270	Kirdar, Edib	Ex	Ex	F	Р	
77	7-600	Kory, Raymond Edward	Ex	Ex	F	F	
78	3-31	Lester, John Stewart	Ex	Ex	F	Р	4
* 77	7-327	Malik, Mahmood Akbar	P	P	Р	Р	1, 4
75	5-231	Masowdi, Amir M.	Р	F	P	F	
77	7-265	Matsushino, Anne Miyo	Ex	Ex	F	F	
77	7-601	McCreary, Dennis James	Ex	Ex	F	F	
77	7-392	McPherson, Dale Jon	P	P	F	P	1,2, 4
77	7-621	Meinhardt, Robert Gerald	Ex	Ex	F	P	4
76	5-10	Mercer, Donald Jay	Ex	Ex	Ex	F	
76	5-103	Milicevic, Novica	F	P	F	F	
70	)-58	Bertella, Guido Joseph	Р	F	Р	Р	

CIVIL E	NGINEERING (Continued)	PART	PART	PART III	PART	PASSED
74-671	Nauseda, Barry B.	P	P	P	Р	1,2 Record
× 77-379	Nelson, ElRoy	Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
75-421	Nixon, Charles Gilbert	Р	F	P	Р	
76-384	Ochoa-Romero, Ramon Bavictri	Ex	Ex	F	Ex	
78-6	Paavola, Michael Eugene	Ex	Ex	F	P	4
77-253	Rausch, Robert Ralph	Ex	Ex	F	F	
* 77-646	Rosness, Martin Henry	Ex	Ex	P	Р	3,4
76-566	Savicky, John Lee	Ex	Ex	Р	F	
77-377	Scoble, Francis Matthew	Р	Р	F	Р	4
77-111	Smith, Harold Ronald	Ex	Ex	F	Р	4
* 77-231	Smith, Ramon Guy	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4
77-323	Sobieski, Paul Gerhard	Ex	Ex	P	P	4
* 77-511	Sriben, Sid	Ex	Ex	P	Р	3,4
77-449	Svensson, Roy William	Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
77-371	Taylor, James Howard	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4
77-623	Techapinyawat, Sompote	Ex	Ex	F	Р	4
74-392	Teply, George J.	F	F	Р	Р	
78-20	Wiedeman, Craig Parker	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4
76-320	Wilkie, Paul Albert	F	Р	F	F	
76-68	Wilson, John Lawrence	Ex	Ex	F	F	
74-444	Wong, Jimmy	F	Р	Р	Р	
76-407	Yeager, Larry Richard	Ex	Ex	F	F	
* 78-33	Young, Benny Joe	Ex	Ex	Р	P	3,4
ELECTRI	CAL ENGINEERING					
77-269	Ananthanarayanan, Balanarayanan	Р	P	P	F	2,3
77-550	Baltier, Francisco Vicente Lozano	Р	Р	Р	F	1,2,3
* 78-21	Barrow, John Talmage, Jr.	Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
75-483	Brown, David Canby	Р	F	Р	F	1
* 77-243	Carlton, Bruce Frederick	Ex	Ex	Ρ.	P	3,4
76-52	Clark, Roger William	Ex	Ex	F	P	
74-569	Daviet, Leslie Louis II	Р	Р	Р	Р	1,2 Record
77-325	Davis, John Edward	Ex	Ex	P	F	3
77-214	Emmons, Glen T.	Ex	Ex	F	F	
74-669	Haines, Robert Thomas	F	F	Р	Р	
75-185	Campbell, William	Р	F	Р	Р	

ELECTRI(	CAL ENGINEERING (Continued)	PART I	PART	PART III	PART IV	PASSED
77-308	Hall, Esrie Sherman, Jr.	P	Р	F	Р	1,2
76-578	Hawkins, Dan J.	Ex	Ex	F	F	
77-93	Jayadevan, Sarjapur M.	F	F	F	F	
77-341	Jolley, Virdes Allen	P	P	F	Р	1,2
76-249	Kelly, John Howard	Ex	Ex	F	F	
74-221	Kensinger, Richard James	F	F	F	F	
77-562	Krueger, Alan John	Р	P	Р	F	1,2,3
77-629	Kuivila, Richard Paul	Ex	Ex	F	DNA	
72-487	Loar, James Alpha	Р	P	Р	F	
* 77-648	London, John Martin	Ex	Ex	P	Р	3,4
73-704	Lundmark, George Edward	Ex	Ex	F	F	
77-614	Moen, Dennis Milton	Ex	Ex	Р	F	3
* 76-545	Phillips, William Earl	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4
77-592	Pinter, Duane Leo	F	F	F	P	4
76-536	Reiter, William Anthony	Ex	Ex	F	Р	4
* 77-386	Skala, James Albert	Ex	Ex	P	Р	3,4
78-41	Slick, David Peter	Ex	Ex	Р	F	3
* 77-309	Sykora, Kenneth Richard	Ex	Ex	P	P	3
76-544	Tannehill, Richard Lee	Р	P	P	P	1,2 Record
* 78-2	Taylor, Dale Wayne	Р	P	P	Р	1,2,3,4
* 77-335	Thomas, Kenneth James Peter	Р	P	P	Р	4
* 77-388	Thomas, Marcus Maurice	Р	Р	Р	P	1,2,3,4
77-121	Zaletel, Edward Anthony	Ex	Ex	F	F	
GEOLOGI (	CAL ENGINEERING					
70-226	Youell, James Robert	Р	F	P	Р	
HIGHWAY	ENGINEERING					
74-166	Blazzard, Max Sharon	F	F	F	Р	
74-271	Bloom, Norman Kenneth	P	F	F	Р	
76-291	Boren, Ervin Lester	F	F	F	F	
76-151	Foster, Thomas Daniel	F	F	Р	F	
73-44	Key, Orin William	Р	F	Р	P	
74-173	Lay, George John	Р	F	Р	Р	

HIGHWAY	ENGINEERING (Continued)	PART	PART	PART III	PART IV	PASSED
76-345	Mitchell, William Aiton	F	F	F	F	
70-413	Patty, John Harlan	F	P	P	Р	
* 77-643	Ross, Thomas John	Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
73-290	Snyder, Jack Duane, Sr.	F	P	P	Р	
72-542	Strouse, David Frank	F	F	P	P	
75-492	Taylor, Allen Richard	P	P	P	F	
76-85	Wright, Edward Dickenson	F	F	P	F	
INDUSTR	TIAL ENGINEERING					
* 77-635	Bailey, James Edward	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4
MECHANI	CAL ENGINEERING					
* 77-334	Barnes, Darryl L.	Р	P	P	P	3,4
* 77-590	Brevick, Lonnie Lee	Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
76-23	Brown, Larry Leroy	Р	Р	F	F	
* 77-402	Drost, Barry Dean	Р	P	P	Р	1,2,3,4
76-199	Fisher, Richard Ernest	P	Р	Р	P	1,2 Record
72-106	Gallagher, Roger William	Р	Р	P	Р	1 Record
* 77-630	Ghan, Robert James	Ex	Ex	P	Р	3,4
* 71-279	Haak, Charles Edward	P	P	P	Р	3
76-20	Hartman, Paul Oliver	P	P	F	Р	
77-549	Hero, John Richard, Jr.	F	F	F	F	
* 77-328	Hodge, Michael Frederick	P	P	P	Р	1,2,3,4
72-599	Hoffarth, Richard C.	Ex	Ex	F	Р	
77-642	Laine, Roger Alan	P	P	F	F	1,2
77-401	Meraz, Julio Cesar	F	F	P	Р	3,4
70-432	Miller, Marion Edward	F	F	Р	Р	
* 77-532	Price, Mike Steven	Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
* 78-14	Quill, Joseph Stephen, Jr.	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4
78-49	Roberts, Timothy Ainslie	Р	P	F	F	1,2
* 77-523	Schwalm, Robert James	Р	P	Р	Р	1,2,3,4
78-10	Schwartz, Steven Douglas	Ex	Ex	F	Р	4
77-213	Shaub, Fred Eugene	Р	P	F	F	1
77-660	Shonerd, Wesley A.	Ex	Ex	F	Р	4

MECHANIC	AL ENGINEERING (Continued)		PART I	PART II	PART			PASSED
77-594	Singh, Rajinder		Ex	Ex	F	P		4
77-252	Thies, Joel O.		P	P	Р	F		
77-641	Thornberry, Harold Louis, Jr.		P	P	F	F		1,2
76-535	Weakland, Bernard Harold, Jr.		P	P	Р	F		2
77-140	Williams, Dwayne A.		Ex	Ex	P	F		3
77-509	Williams, Meredith Lawrence		Ex	Ex	F	Р		4
77-382	Wilson, Gregory Calvin		Ex	Ex	F	F		
77-425	Woolsoncroft, Howard Lee		P	Р	F	P		1,2, 4
MINING E	NGINEERING							
77-432	Johnson, Thomas Curtis		Ex	Ex	Р	Р		3,4
77-326	Phillips, Stephen Henry Elliott		P	P	P	P		1, 3
NUCLEAR	ENGINEERING							
77-130	McKlveen, John William		Ex	Ex	DN	A DI	NA	
76-530	Stirland, Meade A.		Ex	Ex	P	Р		3
SANITARY	ENGINEERING							
77-573	Willis, Brayton Perry, Jr.		F	F	P	F		3
STRUCTUR	AL ENGINEERING	PART I	PART II	PART	PART	PART V	PART VI	PASSE
77-45	Ahmed, Moizuddin	Ex	Ex	P	P	P	P	5
75-472	Bianchi, Richard Jesse	P	P	P	P	P	P	5,
77-155	Biondolillo, Louis Philip	Ex	Ex	EX	Ex	P	P	5
74-283	Gutt, Tadius J.	Ex	Ex	P	P	P	Р	5
75-309	Linder, Barry Murray	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	P	Ex	5
78-8	Stevens, Alexander	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	F	F	3
78-9						P	P	E /
77-597	Weingart, Daniel	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex			5,0
11 331	Willer, Myron A.	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	P	F	5

GEOLOGI:	ST	PART I	PART	PART III	PART	PASSED
77-1	Armstrong, Edward J.	F	F	Р	F	3
75-244	Langland, Leo Lee	Ex	Ex	F	Р	
* 75-20	Tepel, Robert Edward	Р	P	P	P	2
* 77-561	Turek, Frank Stanley	Ex	Ex	P	Р	3,4
LAND SU	RVEYING					
77-290	Alcocer, Richard	P	P	F	F	
76-26	Andrews, Jeffrey Lynn	Р	F	P	Р	
77-349	Barbee, William Francis	Р	F	F	F	
74-419	Bowlin, Gerald Ray	Р	P	F	F	
77-467	Braden, Larry David	Р	F	Р	F	1, 3
77-634	Brugger, Norman Dale	P	F	F	F	
77-418	Buchanan, Jack Alfred	P	Р	Р	F	1,2,3
76-304	Burns, Robert Dwight	P	Ex	P	F	3
77-315	Carne, James Louis, Jr.	Р	P	F	F	
* 77-638	Cook, Richard Dennis	P	P	P	Р	1,2,3,4
76-65	Courtney, John Everett	P	P	P	F	3
77-608	Evans, Roy Lee	F	F	F	F	
72-653	Engle, Thomas Edwin	P	P	F	F	
71-148	Garcia, Ernest G.	F	Р	F	Р	
74-181	Gardiner, Theodore Ernest, Sr.	Р	F	Р	F	3
77-598	Gingles, William James, Sr.	F	F	F	F	
76-268	Hall, James Joseph	Р	P	F	F	2
76-47	Horacek, Jerry Lee	F	F	Р	F	3
76-34	Kehart, Richard William	Р	P	F	F	
77-293	Murrey, David Howard	P	Р	F	F	2
77-109	Muth, James Edward	Р	F	F	F	1
* 75-194	Myers, Harry LeRoy	Р	Р	Р	Р	3
* 77-331	Myers, Terry Lon	Р	Р	Р	Р	3,4
74-297	Payton, Donald Wayne	F	F	F	F	
71-281	Pidskalny, Robert Andrew	P	Р	Р	F	2,3
* 74-37	Potts, Robert Clifford	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	4
77-12	Prescott, Steven Reed	Р	Р	Р	F	3

PASSI	PART IV	PART III	PART II	PART I			EYING (Continued)	I AND SUR
	F	F	F	Р			Rice, George William	77-226
	F	F	F	Р			Robberson, Allen Gregory	77-57
	F	F	F	F			Sanchez, Carlos	77-174
	Р	P	Р	Р			Short, John Edward	77-23
	F	F	P	Р			Smith, Romain Harold	72-628
2	P	P	P	Р			Sticht, Brian George	75-327
3	F	Р	F	F			Stone, Dale Wayne	77-645
3	F	Р	Р	P			Thornhill, James Dewey	76-194
2	F	F	Р	F			Whitmer, Larry Kay	77-119
3		Р	Р	Р			light, Dan Lynn	76-237
1,2,3	P	Р	Р	Р			Vinkler, Lynn Ames, Jr.	77-31
							-IN-TRAINING	GEOLOGIS
				F			Bales, James Thomas	77-1
				F			Banks, Elizabeth Young	78-2
				F			Cordy, Gail E.	78-3
						n	Murphy, Barbara Harringto	78-1
							IN-TRAINING	ENGINEER
	ward	ohn Edv	del, J	Bra	78-37	P	Alexander, Douglas E.	78-77
	llyn	loger A	wer, R	Bre	78-10	P	Allgood, Robert W.	78-15
ur	1 Arthu	Danie	ckley,	Bri	78-38	P	Anastopoulos, Perry	78-7
		iam J.	, Will	Bro	* 77-13	F	Appelin, Barbara Louise	78-121
		wis E.	wn, Le	Bro	* 77-21	P	Aubeny, Charles P., Jr.	78-21
	d W.	, Davi	ggeman	Bru	78-8	Р	Babonis, Paul A.	78-140
	John	chael .	ch, Mi	Bun	78-40	F	Ballesteros, Louis C.	76-8
	S.	James :	bier,	Cam	77-24	F	Banaie, Mohammad Sadegh	78-69
	everly	Jaye B	elik,	Cap	78-85	P	Barber, Ronald Lawrence	78-134
	James	onald	per, R	Cas	78-39	P	Barnett, Karen S.	78-11
		rank G	tro, F	Cas	78-10	P	Bawolek, Edward J.	78-31
		arl G.	ood, C	6 Caw	77-13	P	Beard, Brandon L.	78-137
e1	Michae	Donald	ster,	C1e	78-41	P	Beck, William Daniel	78-29
	am E.	Willia	lings,	Co1	78-42	F	Boisvert, Albert E.	77-26
	G1ynn	larvin	per, M	7 Coo	74-12	P	Bond, James Earl	78-35
e1	am E.	Willia	lings,	Co1	78-42	F	Boisvert, Albert E.	77-26

FNGINEE	R-IN-TRAINING (Continued)				
78-102	Cortes, Gary J.	F	78-26	Hollman, William	P
78-108	Cropper, Richard Denton	P	78-53	Hopkins, Mark Lee	P
78-107	Crotwell, Stephen Martin	P	78-9	Huber, Ronald D.	F
* 78-109	Cummings, Robert Adams	P	78-99	Huerta, Olaff G.	F
* 78-144	Daemmer, Johnathan E.	P	<b>*</b> 78-96	Jacobson, Randall James	Р
78-78	Dangremond, John Robert	P	<b>★</b> 78-141	Jensen, Andrew Wallace	P
78-98	Daniel, Larry	F	78-133	Kabitzke, James H., Jr.	P
78-43	Daniels, Brian L.	P	78-113	Kain, Steven Joseph	Р
78-44	Darlington, Elizabeth S.	P	78-114	Keegan, Miles William	P
78-83	DeBoer, Richard A.	P	* 78-54	Kendall, Shawn B.	P
78-45	Disbrow, David A.	F	78-90	Kingston, Keith Alan	P
78-138	Duff, Dennis Alan	P	78-55	Krall, George W.	F
78-89	Dunn, Jay Arthur	P	78-100	Lewis, Geoffrey A.	F
78-5	East, Randall L.	F	78-1	Light, Mark Curtis	P
78-92	Eckerman, Gregory J.	P	78-122	Lowry, William Edward	P
78-46	Emerson, Asher D.	F	75-205	Luebkin, Dennis	F
78-110	Ensign, Timothy T.	P	78-56	Luke, Dennis M.	F
78-47	Gauthier, Dale	P	* 78-115	Luke, Russell A.	P
77-176	Gerlach, James W.	F	78-36	Lyall, Albert Neil, III	F
* 78-135	Germain, Albert E.	P	78-57	Mach, John Theodore	P
78-91	Giesaking, Kurt Allen	P	77-131	Maldonado, Larry M.	F
78-104	Gill, Edward Joseph	P	78-22	Mallec, Dann L.	F
78-48	Gilliss, Mark Brian	P	78-81	Miller, Michael Leon	Р
78-49	Gonzales, Steven Charles	P	77-142	Morreale, Antonio	F
78-79	Griffith, Ruben Donald	F	* 78-2	Morris, James F.	P
* 78-50	Guertin, James M.	P	* 78-58	Morris, William F.	P
78-88	Guth, Marshall Claude	P	* 78-59	Murray, Steven A.	Р
78-25	Hamlin, Loren Dirk	Р	78-23	Oberndorfer, Alan James	F
78-51	Harris, James E.	P.	78-18	Orlowski, John Michael	Р
77-173	Hartman, Diane J.	F	78-84	Ortiz, Jesus Lorenzo	Р
78-111	Hill, Charles C.	P	78-60	Owen, Thomas Charles	Р
78-80	Hill, Thomas J.	Р	78-115	Packer, Thomas A.	Р
* 78-52	Hinch, Phillip James, Jr.	Р	78-61	Panuska, Brian Robert	Р
78-19	Hinderer, Grant Kelly	F	77-132	Passarelli, Raymond James	F
78-112	Holler, Frank Eric	Р.	78-62	Peace, Edwin Eugene	Р

ENGINEE	R-IN-TRAINING (Continued)				
78-87	Perdok, Bill	F	* 78-101	Thrall, Patrick James	P
77-23	Perez, Joe E.	F	78-73	Toerpe, Daniel K.	P
78-63	Perreault, Richard G.	Р	<b>*</b> 78-82	Tognoni, David O.	P
78-117	Pina, Raul Francisco G.	P	78-28	Tom, Jeffrey J.	P
78-20	Prouty, Leslie Jean	P	78-12	Travis, Richard Charles	F
78-10	Rakkrathok, Somchet	F	78-146	Travis, William H.	P
* 77 <b>-</b> 15	Ramos, John	P	78-16	Tribolet, David Clement	P
78-86	Rauscher, John Alfred	P	78-74	Trimble, Thomas Hollie	P
* 78-64	Reynolds, Robert David	P	78-27	Vannoni, Michael Geoffrey	P
78-65	Riddle, Jeffrey Robert	P	* 78-75	Victory, Thomas F.	P
78-66	Roden, David Bruce	P	78-95	Voight, David Robert	P
78-24	Romero, Jesus G.	P	78-142	Walters, David Alan	P
78-67	Rudy, Thomas	F	78-130	Weeks, Thomas Harrison	F
<b>*</b> 78-68	Rule, Timothy T.	Р	*-78-17	White, Noel	P
78-124	Rustad, Clifford I.	Ρ.	78-6	Wilcox, Gary Paul	F
78-34	Sams, Keith Elam	P	*-78-33	Wilkerson, Paul Ronald	F
78-136	Schaller, Curil David	F	78-143	Wilkey, William P.	F
78-93	Schroder, Steven W.	P	78-3	Willan, Vernon O.	F
78-132	See, Charles L.	Р	78-136	Wilson, Dennis C.	F
* 78-14	Shacter, Stuart Barnett	Р	* 78-119	Winsor, Michael John	F
78-4	Small, George N.	F	78-125	Wise, Ann E.	F
78-13	Smith, Stephen Hall	P	78-30	Woodson, Mark Wynter	F
78-139	Sollinger, Nancy	Р	78-76	Young, David Frank	F
78-145	Spencer, Gerald William	F	* 78-94	Zipf, Richard Karl, Jr.	F
78-70	Stadnisky, Steven	Р	* 77-220	Zwickl, Keith Duane	F
78-147	Stamps, Michael Howard	Р			
78-128	Stein, James Albert	Р			
* 78-71	Stephens, Frank Anderson	P			
* 78-72	Sublette, William Robert	Р			
78-123	Sutton, Thomas W., Jr.	P			
78-118	Talavera, Mardonio M.	Р			

78-97 Tang, Rodney L.

76-124 Teets, Jon W. F 78-103 Thomas, Edward Gerald P

# 

The meeting of the State Board of Technical Registration was called to order by Mark Edson, Chairman, in Room 200 of the Commerce Building, 1601 West Jefferson, Phoenix, Arizona on Friday, September 8, 1978 at 9:15 A.M.

#### ROLL CALL

Present

F. Mark Edson, Chairman
Rod J. Gomez, Vice Chairman
Sam Caruso
Kemper Goodwin
R. A. Jimenez
Charles B. O'Bannon
John B. Trimble

Absent

Patrick H. Porcarello Wayne O. Earley

Those present constituted a quorum.

It was moved by Dr. Jimenez and seconded by Mr. Goodwin that the minutes of the board meeting on July 21, 1978 be approved as presented. Motion carried.

#### REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

No report

#### REPORT OF THE RULES AND BY-LAWS COMMITTEE

Mr. Trimble reported that the committee had not met, but that the committee members had been sent copies of some proposed changes for their comments. He requested that the board members submit any proposed changes. The proposed changes will be typed and mailed to the board members prior to the board meeting, and all needed changes should be in final form by the December board meeting.

#### REPORT OF THE LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

No report.

#### REPORT OF THE EVALUATION AND EXAMINATION COMMITTEES

#### Architectural Committee Report

The Architectural Evaluation Committee report of August 25, 1978 is shown as Minute Pages 4067 - 4072.

Mr. Kemper Goodwin moved that Items 1 through 4 of the report be approved and implemented. Dr. Jimenez seconded the motion. The motion carried.

In regard to Item 5 of the Architectural Evaluation Committee report pertaining to Mr. Anthony P. Cosentino, 77-339, Architect and Mr. Joseph T. Colosa, 77-528, Architect, who had requested reconsideration of their failing grades, Mr. Goodwin explained at length to the board the grading procedures by the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards. Mr. Goodwin made the motion that the failing grades of Mr. Cosentino and Mr. Colosa, as originally certified by the Board, be upheld. This motion was seconded by Dr. O'Bannon. The motion carried.

Mr. Kemper Goodwin then made a motion that the Board continue its present policy of not releasing to the applicants the scores of their passed exams. Dr. Jimenez seconded this motion. The motion passed with four ayes, three nayes.

In reviewing Mr. Cosentino's and Mr. Colosa's examinations, the committee had prepared an evaluation summary and requested that the Board grant them permission to mail the summaries to the applicants.

Mr. Goodwin made a motion that these summaries be mailed to Mr. Colosa and Mr. Cosentino. Dr. O'Bannon seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Mr. Goodwin moved that the results of the Qualifying Test given in June 1978 and shown as part of the Architectural Evaluation Committee report be certified by the Board. Dr. Jimenez seconded the motion. Motion carried. (See Minute Pages 4069-4072.)

### Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee Report

The Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee Report is shown as Minute Pages 4073-4074.

Mr. Goodwin moved that this report be accepted and implemented by the Board as presented. Dr. Jimenez seconded the motion. Motion carried.

#### Engineering Evaluation Committee Report

Chittenden, Rolland Royce, 78-240, Electrical Engineer
Mr. Chittenden appeared before the board to request reconsideration
of the Evaluation Committee's recommendation that he be held for
written examinations. Mr. Chittenden based his request upon his
extensive experience of the past 27 years.

Mr. Caruso moved that the Board uphold the Committee's recommendation in regard to Mr. Chittenden. Mr. Gomez seconded the motion. The motion carried.

Savicky, John Lee, 78-104, Mechanical Engineer
Mr. Savicky appeared before the Board to request that he be allowed to review his examination of April 1978. Dr. Jimenez moved that Mr. Savicky be allowed to review a copy of his examination in the presence of the Executive Director. The motion was seconded by Dr. O'Bannon. Motion carried.

Spinks, John Lee, 78-104, Mechanical Engineer
Mr. Spinks had requested reconsideration of the Committee's recommendation that he be held for examinations and had requested registration by comity. Mr. John Trimble moved that the Board uphold the Committee's recommendation that Mr. Spinks be held for Parts 3 and 4 of the examination. Mr. Rod Gomez seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Cummings, David, 78-258, Geologist
Mr. Cummings requested that the Board reconsider the Committee's recommendation that he be held for examinations and requested that he be granted registration by comity. After carefully reviewing Mr. Cummings' request, Mr. Trimble moved that the Board uphold the Committee's action and that Mr. Cummings be held for examination. This motion was seconded by Dr. Jimenez. Motion carried.

Singh, Rajinder, 77-594, Mechanical Engineer
Mr. Singh personally appeared before the Board to request that the
Board review his failing grade on Part 3 of the April 1978 examination.
Mr. Singh detailed to the Board his experience and background and
explained how he believed the examination was misleading. The Board
directed the Executive Director to review with Mr. Singh the examination and to report the results of this review to the Board.

On July 21, 1978 the Board directed the Engineering Examination Committee to review with the grader the June 1978 examination results for Part 6, Structural Engineering. On August 4, 1978 after the review was completed the Committee Chairman polled all members of the Committee concerning the findings of the review; all members accepted the test results determined by the review and unanimously recommended to the Board that the test results be certified by the Board. At the direction of the Chairman, the Executive Director on August 10, 1978 polled by telephone all Board members and received their unanimous acceptance of the Committee's recommendation and the resulting granted list. The certified test results and approved granted list appear below:

75-472	Bianchi, Richard Jesse	P
78-8	Stevens, Alexander	F
78-9	Weingart, Daniel	P
77-597	Willer, Myron A.	P

STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING	
Bianchi, Richard Jessee	11899
Weingart, Daniel	11900
Willer, Myron A.	11901

It was moved by Mr. John Trimble and seconded by Dr. Jimenez that the above actions of the Engineering Examination Committee members and Board members be ratified by the Board. Motion carried.

The Engineering Evaluation Committee Report of August 30, 1978 is shown as Minute Pages 4075 - 4080.

It was moved by Mr. Sam Caruso that those of Items 1 through 14, which have not previously been acted upon in this meeting, be approved and implemented by the Board. Dr. Jimenez seconded this motion; motion carried.

Regarding Item 15 of the Engineering Evaluation Committee Report which concerns the Geologist-In-Training exams, Dr. O' Bannon moved that the comments and examples received from Cordy, Banks & Murphy be forwarded to the examination preparers with instructions to broaden the field of the examination and to prepare a minimum of twenty questions with the possibility of increasing this number in the future. Dr. Jimenez seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Item 16 of the Engineering Evaluation Committee Report was delayed for discussion and decision under New Business.

#### DENIALS

It was moved by Dr. Jimenez and seconded by Mr. Gomez that the following applicants are to be denied with neither prejudice nor refund at their request. Motion carried.

Gething, Frank	77-610	Mechanical Engineer
Chaix, Alfred V.	78-159	Architect
Little, Stephen Curtis	78-82	Architect
Mithun, Omer L.	78-94	Architect
Cooper, Marvin Glynn	74-127	Engineer-in-Training
Morris, Lawrence W.	78-100	Electrical Engineer
Morris, Lawrence W.	78-122	Mechanical Engineer

It was moved by Dr. Jimenez and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the following applicants for professional registration, having completed the personal audience and all other requirements of this Board, be granted registration and assigned the registration numbers as indicated. Motion passed.

ARCHITECTURE		AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERING	
Baker, Edward Frank Balmer, Wesley Robert	11902 11903	Smith, James Lee	11944
Bracamonte, Robert Adrian	11904	CIVIL ENGINEERING	
Currie, Dempsey ValGene Dorman, Richard Lee Duff, Berry Noel Erickson, Richard John Fagin, Edward A. Fancher, Robert Michael Fonce, Randall J. Gibson, Lee Grigsby, David Cecil Hedenkamp, William Bernhard Horton, Theodore Lyonell Hutchison, Randall Lee Jarchow, John Frederich Jervis, David Clark Johnson, Kenneth Wayne Kelly, Charles Everett Kessler, Francisco W. Kirby, Edward Cromartie Lesnick, Christopher Michael McElwee, Ivan L. McLandress, Robert Shannon Miller, Richard Lyle Mommaerts, Louis H. Morgan, Jack Hubert Nalu, Michael Phelps, James Newton Sack, Bradley Taylor Scheibe, Wayne Russell Sexton, Douglas Robert Sheriff, Garth Irving Sobin, Harris Junius Starkweather, Richard Paul Steinberg, Goodwin Barney Trossen, Duane Anthony Wadley, James Lee	11905 11906 11907 11908 11909 11910 11911 11912 11913 11914 11915 11916 11917 11920 11921 11922 11923 11924 12011 11925 11926 11927 11928 11929 11930 11931 11932 11933 11934 11935 11936 11937 11938	Beck, Charles Joseph Benjamin, William Gregory Brinkerhoff, Spencer LeRoi, Jr. Califf, Joseph Mark, Jr. Cardenas, Tomas, Jr. Chao, Robert Chi-ming Collister, William Peter DeWalt, Dennis W. Dierberger, John Michael Epstein, Philip Firnkas, Josef Sepp Freggiaro, Ernest Joseph Glover, Norman James Graham, Ralph E. Hansen, George Owen, Jr. Kramrisch, Fritz Lange, Fred, Jr. Larrington, James Kenneth Lopez-Cepero, George Anthony Miller, Eugene Arundel Moro, Albert John, Jr. Naylor, Clyde Richard Noonan, Mark Edward O'Donnell, Hugh Wilson Powers, John Andrew Radmaker, Mark Karl Randle, Charles John Reeder, Milton Elwyn Remensperger, Thomas Robert Smith, Merritt Eastman Strahm, Lawrence Everett Trotta, Paul D. Watson, Ralph Evans Welsh, Gary Philip Wolf, Denis P.	11945 11946 11947 11948 11949 11950 11951 11952 11953 11954 11955 11956 11957 11960 11961 11962 11963 11964 11965 11966 11967 11968 11969 11970 11971 11972 11973 11974 11975 11976 11977 11978 11979
Wang, Samuel C.M.	11940		1.3.3
Weith, George James	11941	ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING	
Ziehn, William Charles	11942		11000
Zimmer, Jerry A. Connick, Stan Jay	11943 12012	Aguilar, Jorge Clay, Homer Burton	11980 11981
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE	12012	Gerzine, Robert Dallas	11982
Coffeen, Jeffrey Hale	12008	Hunnicutt, Alan Lee	11983
Cure, Peter Edward	12009	Lemos, Alfonso	11984
Roundy, Newell James	12010	Mayes, Joseph Edmond, Jr. McClellan, Dallas L., Jr.	11985 11986
		to the second control of the second control	10000000

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING		ENGINEER-IN-TRAINING	
Kurtz, Norman David Loraso, Paul Robert Melichar, Michael Joe Mistretta, Charles Joseph Moritz, Terence P. Perkins, Ray M. Smith, Eric John Sundberg, Paul Leon Van Etten, Clair C. Woods, Herbert Pierson	11987 11988 11989 11990 11991 11992 11993 11994 11995 11996	Allgood, Robert W. Aubeny, Charles P., Jr. Barnett, Karen S. Bawolek, Edward J. Beard, Brandon L. Beck, William Daniel Brickley, Daniel Arthur Guth, Marshall Claude Hill, Thomas J. Hollman, William Kain, Steven Joseph	1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982
METALLURGICAL ENGINEERING		Lewis, Brett A. Light, Mark Curtis	1984 1985
Dresher, William Henry Thompson, David Lang	11997 11998	Miller, Michael Leon Panuska, Brian Robert Pina, Raul Francisco G.	1986 1987 1988
MINING ENGINEERING		Riddle, Jeffrey Robert	1989
Evans, Waymon Darrell SANITARY ENGINEERING	11999	Romero, Jesus G. Sollinger, Nancy Anne Stein, James Albert	1990 1991 1992
Schmidt, Curtis John	12000	Tribolet, David Clement	1993
Scrimitat, curtis donn	12000	Vannoni, Michael Geoffrey	1994 1995
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING		Willan, Vernon O. DeBoer, Richard A.	1995
Larway, Calvin Thomas	12001	Eckerman, Gregory J. Peace, Edwin Eugene	1997 1998
LAND SURVEYING		See, Edwin Eugene	1999
Butler, James Stephen	12002	Siewert, Dennis Oliver Thomas, Edward Gerald	2000
Clausen, David Christian Cronk, Gene Edward	12003 12004	LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT-IN-TRA	
Famas, Raymond S. Madson, Torben Severin, II Naylor, Clyde Richard	12005 12006 12007	Wittwer, Gary D.	14
ARCHITECT-IN-TRAINING			
Bellamy, Karen Bogott, Kent Gordon Brown, Thomas F. Cooke, Ted Robert Cox, Brian Douglas Hallmark, Michael Heft, Barden R. Higgins, Joseph Heber Hopkins, William David King, Myson Brian Niemiec, Leonard R. Oliver, David Lamar Roy, Arnold Saxton, Richard Earl Umber, Dennis C. Vorum, Ida Woods, Fred E. Young, Jerry Irving	272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288		

#### REPORT OF THE PUBLIC INFORMATION COMMITTEE

Dr. Jimenez made a brief report regarding some of the results of the NCEE meeting which he attended recently.

Dr. O'Bannon reported that he is compiling a list of publications and is going to ask them if they would be interested in publishing a short newsletter from the Board. He hopes to have their response by the next Board meeting.

Mr. Edson reported that the Dean of the College of Architecture at Arizona State University had informally invited the Board to visit the College of Architecture. He had referred this matter to Dr. O'Bannon. Dr. O'Bannon believes that the new Dean of Engineering at Arizona State University will issue a similar invitation. The Board agreed that such visits could be beneficial.

Mr. Edson that he had been appointed as NCARB Regional 6 representative on the national task force on registration, which will study the problems regarding the examination of architects.

Dr. O'Bannon reported that he has received notice from NCEE that he has been placed on an Engineering Council for Professional Development committee.

Dr. Jimenez reported that Northern Arizona University had just been reviewed by ECPD and that NAU's accreditation has been extended for four more years.

Dr. Charles O'Bannon proposed that the Board meeting in March be held at the University of Arizona and that the December Board meeting be held at Arizona State University. The Board agreed with this proposal if the details can be arranged.

#### REPORT OF THE BUDGET COMMITTEE

Mr. Gomez, Chairman of this Committee, presented the budget request and moved that the budget be accepted. Mr. Trimble seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Mr. Edson pointed out that this budget was based on an increased level of fees. The discussion regarding increasing fees was delayed until the Executive Director's Report.

Colosa, Joseph T., 77-528, Architect
Although the Board already had acted upon Mr. Colosa's request regarding his examination, Mr. Colosa now appeared before the Board to request that he be allowed to make a lengthy presentation to support his opinion that the examination was faulty. Chairman Edson asked Mr. Colosa to present his argument briefly. After hearing Mr. Colosa, the Board informed him that their decision had been made earlier, and that there seemed to be no reason to alter their decision.

#### REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

The Executive Director's Report is shown as Minute Pages 4081-4084.

Mr. Cassidy discussed the budget planning and possible fee increases. The Board instructed him to make a study of the application fees and examination fees. His recommendations are to be referred to the Rules and By-Laws Committee so that any fee changes can be included in their revisions of the rules. The Board agreed that the renewal fees should be increased to \$15.

It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Caruso that the penalty fee for late renewal be increased to 50% of the renewal fee. Motion carried.

After extensive discussion, the Board decided to retain its policy of making refunds to applicants who have been denied registration by initial Board action.

The Board then discussed the costliness of the annual report and considered the savings to be made by eliminating the numerical listing of registrants. It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the numerical listing be eliminated. The motion was unanimously passed.

Mr. Cassidy informed the Board that he has been invited to attend the annual meeting of ASCE in October and asked to take part in a discussion there, and he asked the Board to decide whether or not he should participate in such activities. The Board decided that it would be good public relations for Mr. Cassidy to attend outside meetings and to take part in such discussions.

It was moved by Mr. John Trimble and seconded by Mr. Sam Caruso that NCEE's policy regarding the use of reference materials during examinations be adopted by this Board. Motion carried.

Langland, Leo Lee, 75-244, Geologist
Mr. Langland now appeared before the Board regarding his request, which
the Board had previously acted upon. Mr. Langland based his request
upon alleged discrepancies in the exam. The Board took no further action.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Complaint - TUNICK, S. ROBERT vs. OBERG/HUNT/GILLELAND, Architects. (Mr. Caruso was excused from this matter.)
Based upon the affidavit and exhibits presented by Mr. Tunick and upon the response (with enclosures from the City of Phoenix fire and safety officials) requested by the Board from Oberg/Hunt/Gilleland, the Board found that Oberg/Hunt/Gilleland had satisfactorily refuted the allegations of the complaint, and the Board therefore dismissed the complaint against Oberg/Hunt/Gilleland.

It was moved by Dr. O'Bannon and seconded by Mr. Gomez that the Executive Director should so inform all parties of this complaint. Motion carried.

Complaint - FULLER, GORDON C. and ALICE L. vs. JOHN DELLISANTI, Architect.

A motion was made by Mr. Kemper Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Rod Gomez that Mr. and Mrs. Fuller be informed that from the evidence that had been submitted to the Board, the Board could find no reason to discipline Mr. Dellisanti and the Board believes this to be a civil matter rather than one of Mr. Dellisanti's competency. Motion carried.

Complaints - BOLLIN, EDGAR M. vs. MICHAEL, GEORGE P., Jr. BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION vs. L.B. TAYLOR (Sierra Testing and Engineering, Inc.)

Dr. O'Bannon made a motion that Mr. Bollin be informed by Mr. Cassidy that L. B. Taylor is no longer offering technical services as a non-registrant and has complied with the Board's Notice to Cease and Desist. This motion was seconded by Mr. Trimble; motion passed. Mr. Cassidy was instructed to check the Sierra Vista telephone directory to ascertain whether or not Mr. Bollin, a registered geologist, is offering engineering services.

At the July 21, 1978 Board meeting, Mr. John C. Varga suggested to the Board that a committee be appointed to study the electronics field and to make recommendations to the Board for possible exercise of its jurisdiction in the field.

It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the Executive Director write a letter to Mr. Varga, explaining the Board's position regarding the addition of new branches of engineering to be included under the jurisdiction of this Board. Motion carried.

#### NEW BUSINESS

Schedules of Examinations to be given November 4-5, 1978 and December 11-12, 1978 were approved. Schedules shown on Minute Pages 4085-4086.

The following meeting dates were approved:

Evaluation Committees
Architectural
Landscape Architectural
Engineering

November 8-9, 1978 November 16, 1978 November 16, 17, 20, 21, 1978

Board Meeting December 7, 1978

Item 16 of the Engineering Evaluation Committee's Report was discussed by the Board, and the Board's position is that all registrants shall comply with all state laws affecting professional practice and the Board shall exercise its jurisdiction to assure such compliance.

The Board directed Mr. Cassidy to respond to Mr. William J. Ekstrom, Jr.'s letter, assuring him that Mr. Shipley and other land surveyors are to be notified to comply with all laws regarding the recording of surveys.

Further, the Board directed Mr. Cassidy to mail with the renewal notices a notice to all registrants that they must comply with all laws pertaining to their field.

Chairman Mark Edson, on behalf of the Board, presented to the past Chairman, Dr. Rudolph A. Jimenez a specially customized plaque in recognition of his leadership and service during his term of office. Dr. Jimenez expressed his appreciation to the Board for their cooperation, and thanked the Board for this recognition.

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting was adjourned at 3:45 P.M.

CHAIRMAN

SECRETARY

August 25, 1978

TO: State Board of Technical Registration FROM: Architectural Evaluation Committee

SUBJECT: Evaluation of Applicants

The Architectural Evaluation Committee met August 10-11, 1978 in Phoenix, Arizona with the following members present:

F. Mark Edson Kemper Goodwin

There being a quorum present at all times, the committee reviewed the following applicants and makes to the Board the recommendations shown regarding registration in Arizona:

1. The following applicants, having appeared before the committee and satisfied the committee that they are fully qualified (including the treatise on seismic forces) to receive architectural registration in Arizona under ARS 32-123.A and ARS 32-126, are hereby recommended for registration:

Baker, Edward Frank Currie, Dempsey ValGene Dorman, Richard Lee	78-93 78-396 78-241	Mommaerts, Louis H. Morgan, Jack Hubert Nalu, Michael	78-73 77-579 78-92
Fonce, Randall J.	78-322 78-225	Phelps, James Newton	78-249 78-271
Gibson, Lee Hedenkamp, William Bernhard	78-243	Sack, Bradley Taylor Sheriff, Garth Irving	78-357
Horton, Theodore Lyonell	78-224	Starkweather, Richard Paul	77-389
Jervis, David Clark	78-382	Steinberg, Goodwin Barney	78-226
Kelly, Charles Everett	78-321	Trossen, Duane Anthony	78-354
Kirby, Edward Cromartie	78-247	Wadley, James Lee	78-299
McElwee, Ivan L.	78-377	Wang, Samuel C. M.	78-383
McLandress, Robert Shannon	78-193	Weith, George James	78-198
Miller, Richard Lyle	78-227	Zimmer, Jerry A.	78-252

2. The following applicants, having appeared before the committee for a personal audience interview, need demonstration of additional evidence of their proficiency (ARS 32-123.B), and it is recommended to the Board that these individuals be held for written examinations in December 1978 and June 1979:

Bragg, Thomas Ingram Froeb, Charles Frederick II	78-268 78-352	Professional Professional
Heft, Barden Randolph	78-270	Qual. Test E, Professional
Houghton, Jack Edward Kimball, William T.	78-244 78-144	Qual. Test, Section E Qual. Test, Section E

Continued...

Love, James Ray 78-324 Qual. Test, Section E
Saivetz, Richard 78-376 Qual. Test, Section E
Solochek, Arlen Mark 78-353 Professional
Stockton, Paul Barten 78-250 Qual. Test, Section E, Professional
Wetzel, Thomas Joseph 78-301 Qual. Test, Section E, Professional

3. The following applicant, having appeared before the committee for a personal audience interview, is hereby referred to the full Board for further consideration:

Read, Donald Lee 78-191

4. The following applicants, having been advised three times that they were scheduled to appear before the committee for a personal audience interview and having failed to do so, are hereby recommended to the Board for denial of their applications for failure to complete the requirements of the Board within a reasonable length of time:

Brethauer, Gene Eddy 77-650 Walsh, Dennis Franz 78-12

5. As directed by the Board at its June 16, 1978 meeting, the Architectural Evaluation Committee reviewed the requests of applicants Joseph T. Colosa, 77-528, Architect, and Anthony P. Cosentino, 77-339, Architect, for reconsideration of their failing grades on the December 1977 Professional Examination. The examination of both applicants were rescored, and the original failing scores verified as correct. The reasons expressed by each applicant, both in letters, petitions and appearances made before the Board on June 16, 1978 for waiving the failing scores on Part III, Design Technology, were considered fully. The committee recommends that these failing grades, as originally certified by the Board, be upheld.

Mr. Colosa, in a letter dated July 19, 1978 further requested that he be allowed to participate in the Committee's review of his basic request for reconsideration. The Committee did not invite Mr. Colosa to the review and believed that no useful purpose would be gained by his participation in that review. A full explanation of the Committee's review efforts and decisions has been prepared for the information of Mr. Colosa, and the Committee recommends that it be sent to him.

Mr.Cosentino, in a letter dated July 21, 1978, further requested the scores to Parts I, II, and IV of the December 1977 Professional Examination (all parts were passed). The letter is attached as Minute Page Because it is Board policy not to release grades of parts of the Professional Examination to applicants, except failing grades, the Committee recommends the Board adhere to the policy.

The Architectural Exam Committee met August 25, 1978 in Phoenix, Arizona to review the results of all parts of the Qualifying Test given in June 1978. The Committee recommends that the results as shown on the pages following this report be certified.

Respectfully submitted,

tremper (

Kemper Goodwin, Chairman

600Win

	CHITECTS	Arch. Theory	& Practice	Site Plan.	Dancad
Number	NAME	A F	B,C,D	E.1, E.2	Passed
77-525	Al-Alawi, Kamal	1	,	P	E
* 77-205	Balmer, Wesley Robert				
* 77-138	Bracamonte, Robert Adrian			Р	Е
78-209	Brookfield, Ronald			F	
77-528	Colosa, Joseph Thomas			F	
* 76-589	Connick, Stan Jay			Р	E
72-103	Coracides, Basilio B.		F		
78-152	Dercks, David William			Р	E
73-84	Dixon, Charles W.			F	
77-180	Dooling, David Paul			F	
* 77-132	Duff, Berry Noel			Р	E
78-1	Eaton, Bruce Edward	F	F	Р	E
* 78-171	Erickson, Richard John			Р	E
* 75-201	Fagin, Edward A.			Р	E
77-167	Famous, George W.	Р	NT	F	A
78-136	Felder, Larry Joe			Р	E
76-385	Fischbach, George Thomas			F	
72-135	Fraunhoffer, Herman, Jr.	F	F		
77-199	Frerking, Michael Huebert			F	
* 76-391	Grigsby, David Cecil			Р	E
75-479	Hinkle, Clyde Wallace	F			
77-483	Hodges, Thomas Ronald			F	
75-98	Hubbard, Kenneth Joseph			F	
* 77-498	Hutchison, Randall Lee			Р	E
* 77-362	Jarchow, John Frederich			Р	Е
* 75-511	Johnson, Kenneth Wayne			Р	E
78-118	Jonas, Glenn Allen	Р	F	Р	A,E
76-448	Kelly, Tommy James			F	
* 77-249	Kessler, Francisco W.			P	E
71-318	Kopecek, Josef Robert			F	
* 77-464	Lesnick, Christopher Michael			P	Е
76-116	Lewis, Robert Ansley			P	E
74-538	Lucero, Edward Horta	F	F	(t*)	-
78-194	McCollum, Tommy Robert		1	F	

ARCHIT		Arch. Theory	Const.Theory & Practice	Site Plan.	
Number	NAME	A	B,C,D	E.1, E.2	Passed
77-514	Nolan, James Arthur			F	
76-134	Otwell, William S.			F	
77-430	Patterson, Jon Barry			F	
73-212	Podolsky, William I.			Р	E
77-565	Posedly, Jane Hamilton			P	E
77-224	Pujadas, Jose Cristobal	P	P	P	A,BCD,E
78-46	Ransom, William H.	F	F		
75-358	Robison, Robert C.	F			
78-139	Scheibe, Wayne Russell			Р	E
77-540	Schmitt, David Leo			F	
77-493	Sexton, Douglas Robert			P	E
74-601	Sobin, Harris Junius			P	E
77-114	Walzer, Mitchell Barton			P	E
78-58	Whitcomb, Morris Kenneth			F	
78-200	Wilson, David Walter			Р	E
77-275	Ziehn, William Charles			P	Е
77-359	Fancher, Robert Michael			Р	E
ARCHITEC	CTS-IN-TRAINING				
78-3	Adams, Vincent S.	P	F	P	A,E
77-21	Balbuena, D. Oscar R.	P	F	F	Α
77-7	Bellamy, Karen			Р	E
78-16	Bogott, Kent Gordon	Р	Р	Р	A,BCD,E
78-31	Brizee, William A.	Р	Р	F	A,BCD
78-18	Brown, Thomas F.	P	Р	Р	A,BCD,E
78-36	Burns, Robert William	P	F	F	Α
78-14	Campbell, Gary A.	F	Р	F	BCD
78-33	Chapman, Raymond E.	Р	F	Р	A,E
75-104	Christensen, Danny Dale			F	
78-11	Cooke, Ted Robert	Р	Р	Р	A,BCD,E
78-2	Coombs, Vivien Paternayan	Р	Р	F	A,BCD
78-12	Cox, Brian Douglas	Р	Р	Р	A,BCD,E
77-3	Dawson, Bruce L.			F	- ATA
78-38	Dounay, Kent Douglas	F	F	Р	E
78-35	Duffy, Kevin Donald	F	F	Р	E

	CTS-IN-TRAINING NAME	Arch. Theory A	Const.Theory & Practice B,C,D	Design & Site Plan. E.1, E.2	Passed
Number	Fairbourn, Richard D.	P	F	P	A,E
78-21	Frost, Marc C.	Р	Р	F	A,BCD
78-20	Hallmark, Michael	P	Р	Р	A,BCD,E
78-26	Heft, Barden R.	P	Р	Р	A,BCD,E
78-5 77-15	Higgins, Joseph Heber			Р	E
78-10	Hill, Eric Jon	NT	NT	Р	E
77-12	Hopkins, William David			P	E
78-37	Kellner, Robert G.	F	Р	Р	BCD,E
78-40	Kennedy, Keith Karl	P	Р	F	A,BCD
77-1	King, Myson Brian	NT	Р	Р	BCD,E
78-48	Larsen, William F.	Р	F	F	А
78-34	Lauser, Lawrence C.	P	F	Р	A,E
75-109	Lindquist, Bruce Lowell	F	P		BCD
76-8	Lockhart, Brian			F	
78-4	Marcellus, Russell	P	Р	F	A,BCD
78-41	Massengill, Robert Lee, Jr.	F	F	Р	E
78-42	Maurer, James William	Р	Р	F	A,BCD
78-43	Meyer, James Chauncy Mead	F	Р	F	BCD
78-27	Miller, Fidelis Leonard	Р	F	F	A
78-13	Mittelstaedt, Jan M.	Р	F	Р	A,E
78-44	Morales, Roland C.	P	F	F	A
78-30	Niemiec, Leonard R.	Р	Р	Р	A,BCD,E
77-4	Nord, William Charles, IV		Р	F	BCD
77-17	Oliver, David Lamar			Р	E
78-7	Reigle, Richard M.	Р	Р	F	A,BCD
76-7	Roy, Arnold			Р	E
77-5	Saba, Gary M.			F	
78-15	Sandoval, Robert	Р	F	F	Α
78-47	Saxton, Richard Earl	Р	Р	Р	A,BCD,E
78-24	Sedor, Stephen E.	Р	Р	F	A,BCD
78-32	Slife, Curtis L.	Р	F	F	A
78-45	Smith, Cheryl D.	Р	F	Р	A,E
78-6	Steill, Roger Wallace	Р	F	F	A
78-8	Tibsherany, George F.	F	F	Р	E

ARCHITECTS-IN-TRAINING		Arch. (	Const.Theory & Practice	Design & Site Plan.	
Number	NAME	A	B,C,D	E.1, E.2	Passed
77-6	Tilton, Webster, III		F	F	
78-25	Uerkvitz, Kent J.	Р	P	F	A,BCD
* 78-9	Umber, Dennis C.	P	Р	Р	A,BCD,E
78-19	Vinson, Mark	P	P	F	A,BCD
* 78-28	Vorum, Ida	Р	P	Р	A,BCD,E
76-9	Wagner, Paul Walter			F	
78-22	Willis, Dennis Wayne	P	P	F	A,BCD
77-18	Wong, Rodin Yin-Leung	F	F		
* 78-23	Woods, Fred E.	P	P	Р	A,BCD,E
* 75-44	Young, Jerry Irving			Р	E
78-46	Younkin, Al Edward	Р	F	Р	A,E

August 30, 1978

TO:

STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE

SUBJECT: EVALUATION OF APPLICANTS

The Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee met August 11, 1978 in Phoenix, Arizona with the following members present:

Wayne O. Earley F. Mark Edson Kemper Goodwin

There being a quorum present at all times, the committee reviewed the following applicant and makes to the Board the recommendation shown regarding registration in Arizona.

The following applicant, having appeared before the committee for a personal audience interview, needs demonstration of additional evidence of his proficiency (A.R.S. 32-123.B), and it is recommended to the Board that he be held for the written examinations in June 1979:

Payne, Elbert Don 78-248 Sections B and D

The Landscape Architectural Examination Committee reviewed the results of the landscape architectural examination given in June 1978. The committee recommends that the results as shown on the following page of this report be certified.

The Committee recommends to the Board that the recommendations of this report be accepted and implemented.

Respectfully submitted,

Wayne O. Earley

Chairman

LANDCCADE	ARCHITECTS
LANDSCAFE	MUCHILICIS

	No.	Name	History A	Prof. Prac. B	Design C	Design Implem. D	Passed
	72-416	Ashworth, Dean Frampton	F				
*	77-452	Coffeen, Jeffrey Hale	P	Р	Р	Р	A,B,C,D
*	77-541	Cure, Peter Edward	Ex	Р	Ex	Р	B,D
	78-197	Leece, Michael Brian F.	F	F	F	F	
*	78-78	Roundy, Newell James	P	Р	Р	Р	A,B,C,D
	78-77	Scott, William Taimage, Jr.	Ex	Ex	Ex	F	
	78-140	Tumer, Savas M.	Р	Р	Р	F	A,B,C
	76-139	Nash, James Patrick				F	

#### LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS-IN-TRAINING

No.	Name	History A	Design C	Passed
78-3	Abell, James Logan	Р	Р	A,C
78-2	Baranco, Bart J.	Р	F	А
* 78-1	Wittwer, Gary D.	Р	Р	A,C

State Board of Technical Registration August 30, 1978 TO:

FROM:

Engineering Evaluation Committee

SUBJECT: Evaluation of Applicants

The Engineering Evaluation Committee met August 17,18,21,22, 1978 in Phoenix, Arizona with the following members present:

> Sam Caruso Rod J. Gomez Rudolph Jimenez Charles E. O'Bannon John B. Trimble

There being a quorum present at all times, the committee reviewed the following applicants and now makes to the Board the recommendations shown regarding their professional registration in Arizona.

1. The following applicants, having appeared before the committee and having satisfied the committee that they are fully qualified to receive registration in Arizona under A.R.S. 32-123.A and 32-126 are hereby recommended for registration:

AGRICULTURAL ENGINEER		CIVIL ENGINEERS (Cont.)	
Smith, James Lee CIVIL ENGINEERS	78-211	Noonan, Mark Edward O'Donnell, Hugh Wilson Powers, John Andrew	78-204 78-70 78-279
Beck, Charles Joseph Benjamin, William Gregory Brinkerhoff, Spencer LeRoi, Jr Califf, Joseph Mark, Jr. Cardenas, Tomas, Jr. Chao, Robert Chi-ming Collister, William Peter DeWalt, Dennis W. Dierberger, John Michael Epstein, Philip Firnkas, Josef Sepp Freggiaro, Ernest Joseph	78-333 78-344 7.78-312 78-263 78-218 78-274 78-284 78-255 78-332 78-175 78-111 78-283	Radmaker, Mark Karl Randle, Charles John Reeder, Milton Elwyn Remensperger, Thomas Robert Smith, Merritt Eastman Strahm, Lawrence Everett Trotta, Paul D. Watson, Ralph Evans Welsh, Gary Philip Wolf, Denis P.  ELECTRICAL ENGINEERS	78-213 78-108 78-207 78-342 78-202 78-184 78-307 78-286 78-126 78-235
Glover, Norman James Graham, Ralph E. Hansen, George Owen, Jr. Kramrisch, Fritz Lange, Fred, Jr. Larrington, James Kenneth Lopez-Cepero, George Anthony Miller, Eugene Arundel Moro, Albert John, Jr. Naylor, Clyde Richard	78-150 78-201 78-277 78-223 78-327 78-302 78-365 78-261 78-208 78-55	Aguilar, Jorge Clay, Homer Burton Gerzine, Robert Dallas Hunnicutt, Alan Lee Lemos, Alfonso Mayes, Joseph Edmond, Jr. McClellan, Dallas L., Jr.	78-113 78-289 78-290 78-67 78-293 78-185 78-294

Continued...

MECHANICAL ENGINEERS		MINING ENGINEER	
Kurtz, Norman David Loraso, Paul Robert	78-336 78-69	Evans, Waymon Darrell	78-305
Melichar, Michael Joe	78-192	SANITARY ENGINEER	
Mistretta, Charles Joseph Moritz, Terence P.	78-216 78-120	Schmidt, Curtis John	78-303
Perkins, Ray M. Smith, Eric John	78-181 78-297	STRUCTURAL ENGINEER	
Sundberg, Paul Leon Van Etten, Clair C.	78-314 78-251	Larway, Calvin Thomas	78-206
Woods, Herbert Pierson	78-265	LAND SURVEYORS	
METALLURGICAL ENGINEERS		Butler, James Stephen Clausen, David Christian	78-334 78-254
Dresher, William Henry	78-187	Cronk, Gene Edward	78-156
Thompson, David Lang	78-340	Famas, Raymond S. Madson, Torben Severin, II Naylor, Clyde Richard	78-203 78-32 78-56

2. The following applicants, having appeared before the committee for a personal audience interview, need demonstration of additional evidence of their proficiency (A.R.S. 32-123.B), and it is recommended to the Board that these individuals be held for the written examinations as indicated:

CHEMICAL ENGINEER						
Stephens, Frank Anderson	78-256	Parts	3 and	4		
CIVIL ENGINEERS						
Arambel, Jean Marty Berkovitz, Barry Charles Bolduc, Stephen Louis Clark, John Edmund Daemen, Jaak Joseph K. Edler, Floyd Charles Gappinger, Elliott Dale Langer, Larry Lewis Lovstrom, Roger P. McCabe, James L. Paez, Antonio C.	78-222 78-372 78-363 78-309 78-347 78-233 78-262 78-264 78-234 78-331 78-306	Parts Parts Parts Parts Parts Parts Parts Parts	3 and 1,2,3 1,2,3 3 and 3 and 3 and 1,2,3 1,2,3 3 and	and 4 4 4 4 4 and and	4	
Reimer, Ronald Gene Saksena, Dhirendra K. Sever, Bert William Spain, James Clark Sublette, William Robert	78-373 78-367 78-356 78-308 78-313	Parts Parts Parts Parts Parts	3 and 1,2,3 and 3 and 3 and	4 and 4 4 4	4	
ELECTRICAL ENGINEERS Chittenden, Rolland Royce Cooper, Marvin Glynn Jones, Kenneth Joseph Ward, John	78-374 78-240 78-320 78-246 78-339	Parts Parts Parts	3 and 1,2,3 1,2,3 3 and 3 and	and and 4		

			- 10
GEOLOGICAL ENGINEER			
South, David L.	78-316	Parts 1,2,3 and	4
HIGHWAY ENGINEER			
St. Jeor, LeRoy Afton	78-285	Parts 3 and 4	
INDUSTRIAL ENGINEER			
Hoffman, Albert	78-355	Parts 3 and 4	
MECHANICAL ENGINEERS			
Bagby, Philip Stephan Fox, Andrew John Gin, Donald M. Ishii, William Rin Jernberg, Evert Herman Spinks, John Lee Thrall, Patrick James White, Noel Robinson	78-104	Parts 1,2,3 and Parts 1,2,3 and Parts 1,2,3 and Parts 3 and 4 Parts 3 and 4	4
METALLURGICAL ENGINEER			
Nelson, Roger Raymond	78-282	Parts 3 and 4	
SANITARY ENGINEER			
Moore, Terry Lee	78-343	Parts 3 and 4	
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS			
Furman, Hal M. McClanahan, Keith John Nelson, Fred Martin Parker, Barry Gale Pascoe, Morton Gerrard Singh, Hari Narain Zwickl, Keith Duane	78-188 78-236	Parts 5 and 6 Parts 3,4,5 and Parts 5 and 6 Parts 5 and 6 Parts 1,2,3,4,5 Parts 1 and 2 Parts 3,4,5 and	and 6
ASSAYER Schloatman, Marvin Dean	78-364	Part 1	
GEOLOGISTS			
Cousins, Noel Boyd Cummings, David Scherffius, William Edward	78-280 78-258 78-318	Parts 3 and 4 Parts 3 and 4 Parts 1 and 2	
LAND SURVEYORS Corsaro, James V. Dale, Edward E. DeWitt, Wendell Fred Easter, Jack A. Jones, Arvel Ray Morgan, Raymond Dale Mouland, Dennis James Nykorchuk, David John Speth, Alan W.	78-278 78-260 78-304 78-176 78-390 78-230 78-276 78-346 78-644	Parts 1,2,3 and Parts 1,2,3 and Parts 3 and 4 Parts 1,2,3 and	4 4 4 4 4

3. The following applicants appeared before the committee, and it was determined that their applications should be denied for lack of experience of a character satisfactory to the Board under A.R.S. 32-122.A, with the refunds as shown:

Civil Engineer	78-317 Weaver, James Earl	\$10.00
Mechanical Engineer	78-326 Humphrey, David Michael	\$10.00
	78-366 Hill, Kenneth Allan	\$10.00
	78-259 Maakestad, Tallak Daniel	\$10.00

4. The following applicants were advised three times that they were scheduled for personal audience evaluation, and they made no response. Therefore, the Committee recommends to the Board that their applications be denied for failure to complete the requirements of the Board within a reasonable length of time:

Civil Engineer 77-107 Mann, Thomas Richard Land Surveyor 77-564 Yoder, Craig Dean

5. The Engineering Examination Committee met on August 18, 1978 and reviewed the results of the June 1978 Engineering Examination proctored by New Mexico and received after a delay on Robert Michael Robb. The committee recommends that the results as shown below be certified:

74-655 Robb, Robert Michael Fail

- 6. Cooper, Howard M. 75-546 Mechanical Engineer

  A letter from Mr. Cooper requesting reinstatement of his application (denied by the Board on June 16, 1978) is attached. The committee recommends reinstatement. Minute Page 4087.
- 7. Showalter, William Richard
  Mr. Showalter requests a waiver of a Board interview and authorization to take Parts 1 and 2 of the November 1978 exam. The committee recommends denial of the waiver request. The Committee further suggests that Mr. Showalter be advised to withdraw his application at this time, to take the E.I.T. exam in California for record purposes, and to reapply for Arizona registration after passing the E.I.T. exam. Minute Page 4088.
- 8. 11862 Barrow, John Talmage, Jr.
  Mr. Barrow requests his scores on Parts 3 and 4 of the April 1978 exam (which were passing scores). The Committee recommends that the Board policy of not releasing test scores be upheld and that this request be denied. Minute Page 4089.
- 9. 76-10 Mercer, Donald Jay- Civil Engineer
  Mr. Mercer requests reconsideration of his failing grade on Part 4 of the April 1978 exam. The committee recommends that Mr. Mercer's failing grade as previously certified by the Board be upheld. Minute Page 4090.
- 10. Langland, Leo Lee 75-244 Geologist
  Mr. Langland requests reconsideration of his failing grade on Part 3 of the April 1978 exam. The committee recommends that Mr. Langland's failing grade as previously certified by the Board be upheld. The committee

further recommends that a copy of Mr. Langland's suggestions and comments be forwarded to the exam preparers for their information. Minute Page 4091.

11. Singh, Rajinder 77-594 Mechanical Engineer

Mr. Singh requested reconsideration of his failing grade on Part 3 of the April 1978 examination. Mr. Singh based his request upon the belief that the language of Question 144 required a method of solution that could not be used. However, the NCEE solution guide suggested that very method of solution. The Committee recommends that Mr. Singh's failing grade as previously certified by the Board be upheld.

Mr. Singh further requested a Xerox copy of his solution book. The Committee recommends a personal review of the exam be allowed in the office of the Board under the direct supervision of the Executive Director or a Board member. Minute Page 4093-4094.

12. Kory, Raymond Edward 77-6000 Civil Engineer

Mr. Kory requested a personal review of Parts 3 and 4 of the April 1978 examination (which he failed). The Committee recommends a personal review be allowed in the office of the Board under the direct supervision of the Executive Director. Minute Page 4092.

13. Rosenstock, Hubert 76-260 Chemical Engineer

Mr. Rosenstock requested a personal review of Parts 3 and 4 of the April 1978 examination (which he failed). The Committee recommends a personal review be allowed in the office of the Board under the direct supervision of the Executive Director. Minute Page 4095.

14. McKlveen, John William 77-130 Nuclear Engineer

Mr. McKlveen again requests a waiver of examinations. The Committee recommends denial of the waiver request and further recommends denial of the application at the December 1978 Board meeting if Mr. McKlveen does not appear for the November 1978 examination. Minute Page 4096.

- 15. On June 16, 1978 the Board referred to the Engineering Evaluation Committee for their investigation and report the matter of the Geologist-In-Training exams, which was brought to its attention by letters from Cordy, Banks, Murphy and Dr. O'Bannon. After a review of the letters the Committee recommends that a copy of the comments and examples contained in the letters be forwarded to the exam preparers for their information and that a copy of these comments and examples be given to the Engineering Examination Committee for its use when reviewing the proposed November G.I.T. examinations. Minute Pages 4097-4099.
- 16. On March 3, 1978 the Board referred to the Engineering Evaluation Committee for its review the previous Board position that failure to record surveys (as required by A.R.S. 33-105) was not within the jurisdiction of the Board. This review was prompted by the letter of December 16, 1977 from William J. Ekstrom, Jr., Deputy County Attorney, Mohave County, after the Board had taken no action in the complaint filed by Mr. Ekstrom

against Mr. Herb Shipley. (See attached Pages 4100-4101.) The Committee recommends that the Board's position should be that all registrants should comply with all state laws affecting professional practice and that the Board should exercise its jurisdiction to assure such compliance.

The Committee recommends to the Board that Recommendations 1 through 16 of this report be accepted and implemented.

Respectfully submitted,

Sam Caruso, Chairman

#### REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

The 1979-80 budget was submitted on August 31 as required by law. It contains revisions suggested by the Budget Committee and a revision to estimated income based upon the general evidence that fee increases will be needed before July 1, 1979. Fee increases of 40-50 per cent were used in the budget submittal; the actual increase level is still to be determined.

Submitted budget expenses have included for the past several years about \$20,000 more for unforseen examination costs and enforcement costs than have actually been spent. Consequently, the submitted budgets have always predicted a faster rate of depletion of the Technical Registration Fund than has actually occurred. For instance, the 1979-80 budget predicted a Technical Registration Fund with a negative balance on June 30, 1980, using the current fee schedule. Of course this will not happen if we continue to underspend by \$20,000 per year as we have under our current level of operations.

Using the current level of operations as a guide, a fee schedule increase of 20-25 per cent seems adequate. However, if the Board chooses to move more actively into enforcement and increase its level of activity there, then a greater increase in the renewal fee income is needed.

The Board will need to decide on this matter in order to guide the Budget Committee and the Director on the selection of necessary fee increases.

A comparison of budgeted and current level of operations is attached. Also attached is the Cash Flow Report for Aug. 31, 1978 and the report of the Technical Registration Fund for Fiscal Year 1977-78.

In order that the fee increases be effective by July 1, 1979, Article 3 of the Rules and By-laws will have to be changed by the normal legal process, beginning with a final committee report for initial Board action at the next regular Board meeting in December. It would be convenient if any other proposed Rules and By-laws changes could be made at the same time, with a new Rules booklet published next summer.

It is suggested that the Board consider the revising of the engineer, geology, and land surveyor professional examinations format to eliminate the four-hour, four- to six-part examination and to conform more closely with the national policies of eight-hour exams, with the morning and afternoon sections combined for grading. NCEE and ETS structure their exams as eight-hour exams and make no conscious effort to sub-divide their content into four-hour packets.

It is recommended that the Board consider the deletion of the refund provisions of R4-30-01. The amount of money involved is small (\$383 in 1977-78), and the administrative procedures necessary to make refunds is complex and expensive. The current rule (R4-30-29) on penalties for late renewal fees is also cumbersome to enforce. Perhaps a flat rate penalty of \$5 for a late renewal would be sufficient; it would encourage payment on time; it would require no office calculations; and it would eliminate the somewhat ludicrous penalties on two- and three-year late renewals.

The Annual Report is becoming more costly each year, and the Board might consider the savings to be made by eliminating the numerical list which takes up about 30 per cent of the report.

#### TECHNICAL REGISTRATION FUND

Fis-		90% of Income	Budgeted Expenses	Budgeted Gain (Loss)	Budgeted Balance June 30	Current Level Expenses	Current Level Gain (Loss)	Current Level Balance June 30	Fee Schedule
197	7-78	115,000	160,000	(45,000)	NA	140,000	(25,000)	95,000	1977 Rules
197	8-79	119,000	166,000	(47,000)	48,000	145,000	(26,000)	69,000	1977 Rules
* 197	9-80	125,000	174,000	(49,000)	(1,000)	154,000	(19,000)	50,000	1977 Rules
* 197	9-80	153,000	174,000	(21,000)	27,000	154,000	(1,000)	68,000	20-25% Increase
* 197	9-80	179,000	174,000	5,000	53,000	154,000	25,000	94,000	40-50% Increase

<sup>\*</sup> Estimated incomes, gains (losses), and balances based on three fee alternates.

# CASH FLOW REPORT TECHNICAL REGISTRATION FUND Fiscal Year 1978-79

#### **AUGUST**

Fund Balance as of July 1, 1978	\$94,747.68
Total Allocated Receipts, Year-to-Date	2,958.53
Total Expenditures, Year-to-Date	(18,126.05)
Outstanding Encumbrances, Year-to-Date	(1,560.19)
Fund Balance as of August 31, 1978	\$78,019.97
Lump Sum Appropriation for 1978-79	\$167,000.00
Allotment for First Quarter	\$41,775.00

EXPENDITURES:	AUGUST	YEAR-TO-DATE
Personal Services	4,150.86	8,301.71
Employer-Related	783.90	1,135.85
Professional Services	-0-	200.00
Travel - In-State	89.80	300.40
Travel - Out-of-State	1,041.04	1,041.04
Other Operating Expenses:		
Data Processing	15.60	15.60
Maintenance & Repairs	-0-	71.50
Office Supplies	267.47	505.02
Subscriptions	-0-	24.00
Rent	4,051.50	4,051.50
Telephone	143.49	143.49
Postage	9.25	46.26
Printing	80.00	80.00
Freight	-0-	53.22
Insurance	-0-	335.00
Xerox	78.75	78.75
Equipment	742.70	742.70
Revolving Fund	-0-	1,000.00
	\$11,454.36	\$18,126.05
		The state of the s

#### TECHNICAL REGISTRATION FUND Fiscal Year 1977-78

Balance on deposit July 1, 1977	\$119,917.02
Total Allocated Receipts to Technical Fund	114,921.67
Total Expenditures	(140,091.01)
Balance on Deposit June 30, 1978	\$94,747.68
Lump Sum Appropriation for 1977-78	\$160,800.00

#### **EXPENDITURES:**

Personal Services	\$61,164.06
Employer Related	9,917.89
Professional Services	22,305.87
Travel - In-State	4,090.89
Travel - Out-of-State	3,872.71
Other Operating Expenditures	
Rent	8,381.00
Maintenance & Repairs	160.75
Office Supplies	4,037.54
Printing	8,648.74
Postage	7,930.64
Telephone	2,083.28
Freight	43.87
Repro.Equip. & Microfilm	1,070.76
Organizational Dues	2,632.00
Insurance	300.00
Data Processing	1,577.51
Refunds	382.50
Equipment	1,491.00
	\$140,091.01

### State Board of Technical Registration

FOR

ARCHITECTS, ASSAYERS, ENGINEERS, GEOLOGISTS, LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS AND LAND SURVEYORS

PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007 (602) 271-4053

#### SCHEDULE OF EXAMINATIONS NOVEMBER 1978

#### I. SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 1978

Tempe, Arizona - Report to Room G150, Ground Floor of the Engineering Center at Arizona State University. Rooms G224, G228 and G236 on the Second Floor will also be used.

Tucson, Arizona - Report to Room 102, Humanities Building (Bldg.23) at the University of Arizona.

#### 8:00 A.M. to 12:00 NOON

All professional engineering applicants held for Part I, Fundamentals of Engineering.

All professional geology applicants held for Part I, Basic Geology.

All land surveying applicants held for Part I, Surveying Techniques. All assaying applicants held for Section I, Assaying Examination.

All professional structural engineering applicants held for Part V,

Comprehensive Engineering Design.

All E.I.T. and G.I.T. applicants held for Section I of the in-training exam.

#### 1:00 P.M. to 5:00 P.M.

All professional engineering applicants held for Part II, Fundamentals of Engineering.

All professional geology applicants held for Part II, Basic Geology.

All land surveying applicants held for Part II, Computations.

All assaying applicants held for Section II, Assaying Examination.

All professional structural engineering applicants held for Part VI,

Structural Engineering Design.

All E.I.T. and G.I.T. applicants held for Section II of the in-training exam.

#### II. SUNDAY, NOVEMBER 5, 1978

Tempe, Arizona - Report to Room G150, Ground Floor of the Engineering Center at Arizona State University. Rooms G224, G228, and G236 on the Second Floor will also be used.

Tucson, Arizona - Report to Rooms 301 and 303 of the Civil Engineering Building at the University of Arizona.

#### 8:00 A.M. to 12:00 NOON

Part III - Engineering Analysis - All branches of engineering.

Part III - Applied Geology

Part III - Land Surveying Rules and Regulations.

#### 1:00 P.M. TO 5:00 P.M.

Part IV - Engineering Design - All branches of engineering.

Part IV - Geological Problems

Part IV - Land Surveying Legal Principles

#### ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

#### SCHEDULE OF PROFESSIONAL EXAMINATION FOR ARCHITECTS

#### DECEMBER 1978

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

## LOCATIONS arle M. Cassidy, Executive Director

PHOENIX, ARIZONA - Ramada East, Arcade Room, 3801 E. Van Buren TUCSON, ARIZONA - Tucson Community Center, Mohave Room, 260 South Church

## MONDAY, DECEMBER 11, 1978

8:00 A.M. - 11:30 A.M. Part I, Environmental Analysis

11:30 A.M. - 12:30 P.M. Lunch Time

12:30 P.M. - 4:00 P.M. Part II, Architectural Programming

not clear to me that I was required to reply for the very

## TUESDAY, DECEMBER 12, 1978

8:00 A.M. - 1:00 P.M. Part III, Design and Technology

1:00 P.M. - 1:30 P.M. Lunch Time

1:30 P.M. - 5:30 P.M. Part IV, Construction na request for my

to this request would be appreciated,

August 5, 1978

RECEIVED AUG 3 - 1978

STATE BOARD TECHNICAL RECEDITIONS

State Board of Technical Registration 1645 West Jefferson, Room 315 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Attn: Mr. Earle M. Cassidy, Executive Director

Re: Request for reinstatement of application for registration - Mechanical Engineer #75-546

Gentlemen:

Mr. Drewry's letter to me of March 7, 1978 indicated the board's decision with regard to my exam score for part 3 of the November 1978 exam. I was not able to read Mr. Drewry's letter until the last week in March because I was out of town.

Knowing that I did not have time to reply before the thirty day deadline for the April exam, nor the time to return to Arizona for the April exam, I decided to respond at a later time for the November exam. It was not clear to me that I was required to reply for the very next scheduled exam. I moved to Plano, Texas in December of 1977. My new job in Dallas has required extensive traveling and taking the April exam was just not possible.

When I received Mr. Cassidy's letter of June 16, 1978 I was confused but again could not respond until recently. Our recent telephone conversation indecated that my failure to respond prior to the April 1978 exam deadline caused my application to be classified inactive and reapplication in one year would be required. Mr. Cassidy then advised me that an explaination and request for my application to be reinstated could be reviewed at next committee meeting in August. Any consideration that can be given to this request would be appreciated.

Respectfully yours,

Howard M. Cooper

P. S. Please respond to:

1302 Drexel Dr. Plano, Texas 75074

#### CONSULTING ENGINEERS SHOWALTER & ASSOCIATES

RECEIVED

AUG 1 1 1978

STATE BOARD TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

August 8, 1978

Edward G. Drewry, Executive Director Arizona State Board of Technical Registration 1645 West Jefferson, Room 315 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Dear Sir:

I made application for reciprocal registration as a Civil Engineer with the State of Arizona in 1977. The Board, in their review, determined that I met the qualifications for reciprocal registration as a Land Surveyor but, since I had not taken the E.I.T. examination, could not be reciprocally licensed as a Civil Engineer. Subsequently, I was issued a reciprocal L.S. with a request that I refile and take the E.I.T. examination.

Attached is my application for registration as a Civil Engineer in the State of Arizona. I would request waiving Board review at this time and ask to be put on the next scheduled E.I.T. examination.

Please let me know if there are any difficulties with this. Thank you very much for your assistance in the past.

Yours very truly,

William R. Showalter

WRS: jc



JUL 281978 July 27, 1978
John T. Barrow, Jr.
STATE BOARD TECHNICAL RECISTRATIOARIZONA Registration #: 11862

Mr. Earle M. Cassidy
Executive Director
Arizona State Board
of Technical Registration
1645 W. Jefferson, Rm. 315
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Dear Mr. Cassidy:

Thank you for your prompt letter of notification of my registration.

Enclosed is a check for \$7.75 payable to Stationers Corporation, as per your letter, for my registration seal.

Additionally, would you please advise me of my aggregate test score and, if possible, my scores of each of the individual problems. I am requesting this in order to be able to fully complete this learning experience, into which I have invested much study time and effort.

Thank you again for your and the Board's consideration in this proceeding.

Very truly yours,

John T. Barrow, Jr.

JTBJr/iec Enclosure



Donald J. Mercer 4650 N. 29th Drive Phoenix, Arizona 85017

August 11, 1978

RECEIVED

State Board of Technical Registration 1645 W. Jefferson, Suite 315 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Dear Sir,

Re: Examination Review

In April of this year I took part 4 of the Civil Engineering examination. I am now registered as a Highway Engineer (#6336) by passing all four parts of the examination in 1966. I have been notified that I failed part 4 of the Civil Engineering exam this last April with a grade only 3 points below the passing grade (70). I respectfully request a review of my examination papers by the board at their convenience.

20

DM:ch

Donald J. Mercer



Arizona State Board of Technical Registration 1645 W. Jefferson AUG 1 1 1973 Re: Geologist 75-244

Dear Sirs:

STATE BOSED TERMEN DESIGNATION

On the results of the Part 3 of Geologists Exam (63%) which I took in April, 1978, I wish the Board to review the exam in the following areas.

- 1) Valuable time was lost as a 10 problem canswer sheet was given out for a minimum 12 problem exam.
- 2) The exam used to be a minimum 10 out of 15, now 12 out of 15, using roughly the same problems. Why?
- The test used multiple question problems this time which before each question was considered sufficient for a 10 out of 15 exam. For example: problem 14 asked for a definition of secondary porosity plus a well drawn down diagram of 2 types of wells. Problem 15 went into types of secondary permeability and porosity plus. How does one relate to the other. There are actually 4 problems or questions here which are given 2 problem credits on this test unlike when used before.
- 4) Problem <u>l</u> asked about engineering design problems in Yuma, and how to handle the earthquake forces. I answered using design criteria used by my office at Arizona Dept. of Transportation in dealing with earthquakes. How else?
- 5) Problem 2 was a trick question. The trick I quess was both mediums had the same velocity (implied by formula), therefore, no change in refraction. It was confusing to hide formulas away from the question.
- 6) Question 6 was about phosphate deposits in Arizona, a highly unlikely prospect economically now or in the future. Practical? Why not elements mined here now?
- 7) Question <u>ll</u> (I think) was on mid-ocean ridges depositing hydrothermal metals. Most of these new theories have not only come out long since I graduated, but change annually.

I don't remember exactly all of the questions, but I would feel better if Mr. Joseph E. Fowells, your Geologist, would look it over and consider at least the areas mentioned. Whatever your judgement is, I feel the 7% points could be in those 12 problems, somewhere. Maybe the next time there will be some more practical, applied geology questions and maybe an open book test.

Respectfully submitted,

Leo L. Langland



LLL/bel

40

## RECEIVED

AUG 2 1 1978

17 Augle 1978 TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

Arizona State Board of Technical Rigistration 1645 W. Jefferson Phoenix, Az. 85007

Gentelmen:

This letter is a request to review my April, Civil Engineering examination. My intent is not to protest the score but to learn from my mistakes. I am concerned that I failed even the areas that I thought I was strongest in. Review of the exam would greatly help in planning a study program. I appreciate your consideration.

Sincerely,

Raymond Kory

Enclosed please find the \$10.00 examination fee for the November P.E. Exam.

Tel: (602)938-5852 ECEIVED AUG 3-1978

STATE BOARD TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

Rajinder Singh 4309 W. Poinsettia Dr. Glendale, AZ-85304. August 2, 1978.

The Executive Director
State of Arizona
State Board of Technical Registration
For Engineers
1645 W. Jefferson, Room 315
Phoenix, AZ-85007.

Gentlemen:

I am in receipt of your letter dated July 26, 1978, informing me that I have to appear again in Part 3 of Mechanical Engineering because my score is 67 %. I am aware that the board has to draw a line somewhere below which the examinees would be declared unsuccessful. However my being a marginal case and there being some misunderstanding in the language of one question of the question paper, I am appealing for reconsideration of my score on the following grounds.

I think that the question which I am reffering to is the first question attempted by me in the answer book of part 3. If I am shown my answer book, then I can tell exactly the question which I am reffering to. This is a question on steam nozzle where steam velocity was givenand the candidates were asked to find steam conditions at various stages. The wording used in question was "CALCUTATE". With my twenty years of professional experience, I write that in these type of questions if the word "Calculate" is used, the general understanding is to solve the problem using steam tables only and that the use of Molliers Chart is prohibited. If Mollier Chart is allowed then some indication is given by using the words like 'Determine' or 'By Calculation or Otherwise' etc. As the problem under reference used only the word 'Calculate'; I calculated the first part without Mollier Chart but was struck for the second part. I spent lot of time on this question and finally skipped this portion because I could not solve without Molliers Chart, and worked the remaining parts of the problem. T understand that this skipped portion can not be solved without the use of Mollier Chart. On left page of answer book, which is not evaluated, I even scribbled using mollier chart the values of skipped portion. I am mentioning this to emphasize that I knew how to solve the problem but was mislead wording of problem.

Continued ....

On the grounds as explained on the previous page, I appeal to the board that I should not be penalised because of the doubtful language of the problem. The board members would themselves be aware and can even consult any person or persons of their choice, if the general understanding is not to use Mollier Chart when the problem states 'Calculate'

I request the board to give me credit on above grounds and review my score and result. I also request that I may please be given zerox copy of my answer book. If I am given an audiance before, I can perhaps explain my case in more details.

Thanking you,

Very truly yours,

(Rajinder Singh).

NoTU-

NCEE SOLUTION -

1- VSE STEAM TARLES, TRIAL + CARON SULUTION OR 2- USE MOLLIEN CHART August 1, 1978

RECEIVED

AUG 3 - 1978

STATE BOARD TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

Rosenstock

Mr. Cassidy
Arizona State Board of
Technical Registration
1645 West Jefferson
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

RE: Chemical Engineer #76-260

Dear Mr. Cassidy:

I am requesting permission to review my examination papers referred to in your letter of notification, dated July 25, 1978.

I would appreciate it if this review could be held before the next examination, which your letter of notification states is scheduled for November, 1978.

Very truly yours,

Hubert Rosenstock 13802 N. 34th Avenue

Phoenix, Arizona 85023



TEMPE, ARIZONA 85281

SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING
July 28, 1978

Mr. Earle M. Cassidy Executive Director State Board of Technical Registration Phoenix, Arizona



Dear Mr. Cassidy:

In your letter dated July 26, 1978 you noted that I did not appear for the previous examination. Please refer to my letter dated April 10 and your reply dated June 27 for details. To date I have not been able to participate in either the November 1977 or April 1978 examinations. In your letter you note that the next examination will be held in November. Please be advised that I have already made several commitments for this month and they appear below;

Nov. 5-7 5th Conference on Applications of Small Accelerators Denton, Texas

INVITED PAPER: Multidisciplinary Applications of Fast Neutron Generators

Nov. 12-17 American Nuclear Society Winter Meeting Washington, D.C.

INVITED PAPER: Education and High-Level Waste

Disposal
Nov. 17 Nuclear Engineering Department, College of Engineering

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia
INVITED PAPER: Environmental impacts of uranium
mining, milling and tailings disposal

(or related topic)

Thanksgiving Weekend: Traditionally we spend in Los Angeles.

Looking ahead to April, 1979, I expect to be in charge of the American Nuclear Society Western Regional Conference for Students. I expect to be kept quite busy since the conference will be attended by students (and faculty) from schools west of the Mississippi with nuclear programs, and I am the nuclear program at ASU.

In view of my schedule, can we again request a waiver? If you need more references or documentation I would be most happy to provide them. Should I send you another \$20 to hold my application open? Thank you very much.

Yours truly,
John W. MckIveen, PhD



## RECEIVED

MAY 25 1978

STATE BOARD TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

2206 S. Granada #5 Tempe, Arizona 85282 May 24, 1978

Arizona Board of Technical Registration 1645 W. Jefferson Phoenix, Arizona

Dear Sirs

On April 15 of this year we, the undersigned, took Parts I and II of the Geologist-in-Training examination. As practicing geologists employed by a consulting firm, we would like to bring to your attention several aspects of the exam which we feel lack the quality and professionalism necessary for a state registration examination. Considering the purpose of the exam, we feel that it was not a fair and reasonable evaluation of the knowledge and skills required of a professional geologist. The following are preparational and technical errors that we noted in the exam:

- -- Poor proof reading and/or typographical errors. Specifically on the question concerning identification of contributors to geology, the name, Rene Wavy appeared. Since we have been unable to find any such person in geology texts, it seems likely that this was in fact a gross misspelling of the name, Rene Hauy. Thus, the question was more of a guessing game than a straight-forward question. On the same question the name, Louis Agassiz, was misspelled (Lousi Agassiz).
- -- The question requiring interpretation of a pressure-temperature diagram gave no units for either axis of the diagram.
- -- None of the materials necessary for solving the stereonet problem (i.e., stereonet, tacks, tracing paper) were provided at the beginning of the exam (Part II), nor were we informed that we were allowed to bring in such materials. On the contrary, the instructions stated that we were not to bring any paper into the exam. Only after one of the G.I.T. candidates brought this to the attention of the proctors, were we given a stereonet, 2 hours into the exam. No tacks or tracing paper were provided, leaving only the front page of the exam to be used to solve the problem.

The following comments represent our subjective evaluation of the exam.

-- In comparison to the E.I.T. exam, the G.I.T. exam lacked a choice of questions. Engineers were given a choice of 150 questions on each section, of which they could answer all 150. The G.I.T. exam was comprised of 12 questions on each part, of which no more than 10 could be answered. The E.I.T. questions were multiple choice; the G.I.T. questions were essay.

- -- The E.I.T. exam is a national standardized examination, whereas the G.I.T. exam was obviously prepared without much thought or consideration of its purpose.
- -- Because the G.I.T. is an essay exam, scoring is necessarily subjective, while scoring of a multiple choice test such as the E.I.T. is objective and requires no interpretation on the part of the grader.
- -- The E.I.T. exam was open book, the G.I.T. was not. No reference materials were allowed. Why are Engineers-in-Training allowed this privilege, yet Geologists-in-Training are not?
  - -- Several questions were not of a general or basic geologic nature (i.e., Part I, question on "ductility contrast"; Part II, question on massive volcanic sulfide deposits).
  - -- Inequality of questions, i.e., some required a one-equation answer, others could only be clearly explained in several pages. However, all of the questions are equally weighted in scoring of the exam.
  - -- Ambiguous and poorly constructed questions were present in both parts of the exam, i.e., Part I, question on the meaning of "reaction" in Bowen's reaction series; Part II, question on the chemical criteria for geochemical age dating. Several of the other questions were quite unclear as to what information was wanted.

In general, we feel that the exam questions were not representative for evaluation of a general geology background. We believe that Parts I and II of the Geologist-in-Training exam are inappropriately designed to test basic geologic knowledge and skills of applicants. It appears to us that no real organization has gone into the effort to design a fair and appropriate examination for the registration of geologists.

In comparison to the Engineer-in-Training examination, the geological exam is a hodgepodge of questions which totally miss the mark, i.e., to test the basic geologic knowledge and skills of all applicants, regardless of experience. As evidence of this, we suggest that you review your records and compare the rate of first-time failures for the E.I.T. vs. the G.I.T.. Considering that most of the applicants would be competent in some area of geology in order to take the exam, we suspect that the ratio of first-time successes to first-time failures for the G.I.T. exam will substaniate our belief that the Geologist-in-Training exam is inappropriately designed and poorly administered.

The purpose of the above comments and criticisms is to encourage you to reevaluate the G.I.T. exam so as to provide a more thorough and reasonable examination as a basis for registration of geologists in the State of Arizona. Because registration is intended to safeguard the public from



incompetent geologic work, we feel that the G.I.T. examination must be reorganized and rewritten to fulfill this purpose.

We would be more than happy to meet with the Board at their convenience to discuss our comments. We also enclose a series of sample questions from the geologist examination for the State of California. We feel these questions exemplify what should be expected of a geologist, in particular a geologist-in-training. Naturally, questions on the geology of Arizona could be substituted for those pertaining to California.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely

Gail E. Cordy

Elizabeth Y. Banks

Barbara H. Murphy

# MOHAVE COUNTY ENGINEER - DEPARTMENT OF ENGINEERING

MOHAVE COUNTY COURTHOUSE • 4th & SPRING STREET • KINGMAN • ARIZONA 86401 PHONE 753-2141 EXT. 227, 228

Sam Tucker, P.E. County Engineer

January 27, 1977

M. Kesler, RLS, USMS County Surveyor

> Arizona State Board of Technical Registration 1645 West Jefferson Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Gentlemen:

Mohave County is attempting to urge compliance with ARS 33-105 and 33-106 by all persons performing surveys within said County. The office of the County Surveyor now maintains complete files on all U.S.C. & G.S., U.S.G.S., U.S.B.R. and partial files of U.S.G.L.O. monuments for use by the private land surveyor, hence we wish to add quality information to our records in accordance with current trends in the surveying profession by requesting strict compliance by all practioners.

The enclosed letter prepared by the Mohave County Attorney is an attempt to urge such cooperation and has been sent to the 11 Registered Land Surveyors residing in Mohave County. Is it possible to enclose this information in a news letter on a statewide basis? The legal opinion regarding enforcement of ARS 33-105, 33-106 in paragraph 3 and your opinions and position on same is respectfully requested. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely yours,

DEPARTMENT OF ENGINEERING

Jack M. Kesler, RLS, USMS County Surveyor

JMK:ep Enc. - 2

## MOHAVE COUNTY ATTORNEY

MOHAVE COUNTY COURTHOUSE . KINGMAN . ARIZONA 86401



D. B. BABBITT County Attorney

RECEIVED

December 16, 1977

Mr. Edward G. Drewry
Executive Director
State Board of Technical Registration
1645 West Jefferson
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Dear Mr. Drewry:

In light of your recent letter, it has become evident to me that there is either very little within the jurisdiction of your board or that you are not quite certain which if any matters are properly within your jurisdiction.

Accordingly, it is my intention to request the Governor and the Attorney General's Office to apprise us of the precise purpose of your existance. It seems incredible to me that you would insist that violation of a state law requiring recordation of surveys does not constitute professional misconduct by a licensed surveyor.

Very truly yours,

D.B. BABBITT Mohave County Attorney

William J EKSTROM, JR.
Deputy County Attorney

WJE/mh

# MINUTES OF THE STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION DECEMBER 7, 1978

The meeting of the State Board of Technical Registration was called to order by Chairman Mark Edson in Room ECG-324, Engineering Center at Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona on Thursday, December 7, 1978 at 9:55 A.M.

#### PRESENT:

Mark Edson, Chairman Rod Gomez, Vice Chairman Sam Caruso Wayne Earley Kemper Goodwin R. J. Jimenez Charles O'Bannon John Trimble

#### ABSENT:

Patrick Porcarello, Secretary

Those present constituted a quorum.

It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that the minutes of the meeting of the Board on September 8, 1978 be approved as presented. Motion carried.

#### REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

No report.

#### REPORT OF THE RULES AND BY-LAWS COMMITTEE

Mr. Trimble reported that the Committee had been working on the changes to the Rules and By-Laws. Discussion of the Committee's report was deferred until later in the meeting after the Report of the Executive Director. The report is shown as Minute Pages 4122-4125.

#### REPORT OF THE EVALUATION AND EXAMINATION COMMITTEES

#### Architectural Committee Report

Mr. Goodwin presented the Architectural Evaluation Committee report of November 14, 1978 which is shown as Minute Pages 4108-4110.

It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Dr. Jimenez that the Architectural Committee Report be accepted and implemented by the Board. Motion carried.

### Eaton, Bruce E., 78-1, Architect

Mr. Eaton's request that the Board review the scoring of Sections A,B,C,D of the June 1978 Qualifying Test and that he be given the grades was discussed in great detail. (Letter shown as Minute Page 4111.) It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Dr. Jimenez that Mr. Eaton's request for a review of the scoring of the test be granted and that he be given his passing grades as well as his failing grades. Motion carried.

It was moved by Dr. O'Bannon and seconded by Dr. Jimenez that all grades (passing or failing) be given to the applicants upon request. (This changes the Board's previous policy of releasing only failing grades.) Motion carried.

#### Code and Rules Test

The Architectural Committee referral to the Board for consideration the suggestion that the minimum passing score on the 20-question Code and Rules Test be raised from 14 to 15 was discussed.

It was moved by Dr. Jimenez and seconded by Mr. Caruso that the passing score of 14 be maintained, but that the applicants be notified that failure to pass would jeopardize the processing of the application by a period of time suggested by the Executive Director. Motion carried.

#### Qualifying Test and Experience Satisfactory To The Board

These two items which were a part of the Architectural Committee's report were deferred for discussion as part of the Rules and By-Laws changes.

#### Engineering Evaluation Committee

Linder, Harold William, 78-281 Mr. Linder had requested reconsideration of the Engineering Evaluation Committee's recommendation that he be held for written examinations. Mr. Caruso made a motion that the Committee's recommendation be upheld; the motion was seconded by by Dr. O'Bannon. Motion carried.

Eyrich, Henry Theodore, 78-453 Mr. Eyrich requested that the Board reconsider the Engineering Evaluation Committee's recommendation that he be held for written examinations. It was moved by Mr. Caruso and seconded by Dr. Jimenez that the Board uphold the Committee's recommendation. The motion carried.

Singh, Rajinder, 77-594 Mr. Singh appeared before the Board to request that he be given a passing grade on Question 144 of Part 3 of the April 1978 examination. Dr. O'Bannon read to the Board an opinion from Professor Thornton Price of Arizona State University regarding Question 144 and then Dr. O'Bannon made a motion that Mr. Singh's request be denied and that the Committee's recommendation be upheld. Mr. Caruso seconded the motion. Motion carried.

McKlveen, John W., 77-130 Mr. McKlveen appeared before the Board to explain that his busy schedule is preventing him from taking the examinations recommended by the Committee and to request that the Board either waive the requirement for his examination or grant another extension, rather than deny his application for failure to complete the requirements of the Board within a reasonable length of time.

It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Dr. Jimenez that the recommendation of the Committee be upheld and that Mr. McKlveen take Parts 3 and 4 of the Professional exam without delay. Motion carried.

Savicky, John Lee, 76-566 Mr. Savicky's request that the Arizona Board review his examination was considered fully by the Board. Mr. Earley moved and Dr. O'Bannon seconded that the Board uphold the Committee's recommendation that the Board not attempt to regrade Part 4 of the April 1978 Professional Engineering exam which was prepared and graded by NCEE. Motion carried.

Haines, Robert Thomas, 74-699 Mr. Haines appeared before the Board to request that the Board reconsider the Board's previous action to hold him for Parts 1 and 2 of the Professional Exam. Mr. Caruso made a motion that Mr. Haines be held for examination in Parts 1 and 2 as previously done by Board action; the motion was seconded by Dr. Jimenez. Motion carried.

The Engineering Evaluation Committee Report dated November 22, 1978 is shown on Minute Pages 4112-4115.

It was moved by Mr. Caruso and seconded by Mr. Trimble that Items 1 and 2 of the Engineering Evaluation Committee report be accepted and implemented by the Board. Motion carried.

Shelest, Timothy Charles, 78-174 It was moved by Dr. Jimenez and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that the Committee's recommendation to deny a waiver of R4-30-01 D be upheld. Motion carried.

Freeman, Gilbert Norvell, 69-198 It was moved by Dr. O'Bannon and seconded by Dr. Jimenez that the Committee's recommendation be upheld. Motion carried.

Banks, Elizabeth Young, 78-2 Cordy, Gaile E., 78-3

It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that Ms. Banks' and Ms. Cordy's requests to review their failed examinations at the office of the Board in the presence of the Executive Director be granted. Motion carried.

Complaint of GODSEY, ERNEST E. vs. BARRETT, GEORGE T.

Mr. Goodwin made a motion that Mr. Godsey be notified that Mr. Barrett has notified the Board that he will cease and desist from using the title of engineer and has destroyed all business cards on which he used the title, and that this complaint file be kept open for another six months at which time investigations are to be made to make certain Mr. Barrett has indeed complied. Motion carried.

Landscape Architectural Committee Report
No report.

DENIALS Shown on Minute Page 4116.

GRANTED REGISTRATION Shown on Minute Pages 4117 - 4119.

#### REPORT OF PUBLIC INFORMATION COMMITTEE

Dr. Jimenez brought before the Board some information regarding the Iowa State Board of Engineering Examiners proposed rules concerning continuing education and development.

Mr. Edson reported that he had attended NCARB's Task Force meeting regarding problem areas in the examination process.

Mr. Cassidy, Executive Director, commented regarding the Newsletter which was mailed to registrants along with renewal notices.

Mr. Cassidy reported that he had been a guest speaker at the Papago Chapter of ASPE.

Dr. O'Bannon complimented Mr. Cassidy as recent guest speaker at a recent meeting of the Phoenix ASCE.

#### REPORT OF BUDGET COMMITTEE

No report.

#### REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

The Executive Director's Report is shown as Minute Pages 4120 and 4121.

#### Engineering Examinations

It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Gomez that the engineering examinations be given on Thursday, Friday or Saturday but not on Sunday. Motion carried.

#### Evaluation Committee Meetings

It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that the evaluation meetings be scheduled in January, February, April, May, July, August, October and November. Motion passed.

Mr. Brian Dalton, Auditor General's Office Auditor, appeared before the board to discuss the Sunset Law and the initial review he will be making for the Joint Legislative Oversight Committee.

#### REPORT OF THE RULES AND BY-LAWS COMMITTEE

The Proposed Changes to Rules and By-Laws are shown as Minute Pages 4122-4125. This report was presented by Chairman Trimble.

It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that the proposed changes as shown in the Report from the Rules and By-Laws Committee be accepted and implemented with the exception of R4-30-14 B and that a Public Hearing be held at the next regular Board meeting for the proposed Rule changes. Motion carried.

R4-30-14 B was referred back to the Committee for further consideration.

The consideration of Item 2 of the Rules and By-Laws Committee report concerning By-Laws will be done at a later date.

#### READING OF COMMUNICATIONS

Kent, Dorothy (Mrs. Amos E.) Mrs. Kent's thank you letter for a letter of sympathy sent by the Board upon the death of her husband, Amos E. Kent, was read by Chairman Edson. Mr. Kent was President-Elect of NCEE.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Colosa, Joseph Thomas, 77-528. In the matter of COLOSA vs. BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION filed on October 19, 1978, an AGREEMENT was signed by both parties on December , 1978. It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Trimble that this Agreement be ratified by the Board. Motion carried. A copy of Agreement is shown as Minute Pages 4126-4127.

#### NEW BUSINESS

Amlee, Richard E. It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Gomez that Mr. Amlee be notified that he is in violation of the Technical Registration Act, A.R.S. 32-145 in that Mr. Amlee's firm name, Independent Architectural Inspection, indicates to the public that members of the firm are architects or qualified to practice as such, when, in fact, the firm does not have an officer or principal who is a registered architect. It was further moved and seconded that Mr. Amlee be notified that he is in violation of A.R.S. 32-142 in that a contract relationship, such as Mr. Amlee has with architects and engineers, does not satisfy the requirement that the construction of public works of the state or a political subdivision thereof shall be under the direct supervision of a registrant. It was further moved and seconded that Mr. Amlee be directed to cease and desist in these violations, that the proper legal authorities be contacted, if necessary, in order to halt these violations, and that notice of the Board's action be sent to all parties who wrote to the Board regarding Mr. Amlee's business operation. Motion carried.

Meeting Dates It was moved by Mr. Earley and seconded by Mr. Caruso that the following dates be approved. Motion carried.

Exam Committees (to certify grades)

February 1, 1979 (approx.)

Special Board Meeting (to register successful candidates)

February 2, 1979 (approx.)

Evaluation Committees Architectural,

January 12, 1979 February 9, 1979

## Meeting Dates (Continued)

Landscape Architectural Engineering

March 2, 1979

February 15, 1979 January 18-19, 1979

February 15-16, 1979

Board Meeting

Engineering Exam Committee (to review April exams)

March 20, 1979

EXECUTIVE SESSION At this point, Chairman Edson declared the Board to be in Executive Session to discuss personnel matters.

The Board returned to its regular business.

#### Executive Director's Salary

It was moved by Dr. Jimenez and seconded by all members present that Mr. Cassidy's salary be increased to \$27,727 (Grade 22, Step 5) effective December 31, 1978. Motion carried unanimously.

#### ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business before the Board, the meeting adjourned at 4:30 P.M.

Mark Edson, Chairman

Patrick Porcarello, Secretary

TO:

State Board of Technical Registration

FROM:

Architectural Evaluation Committee

SUBJECT:

Evaluation of Applicants

The Architectural Evaluation Committee met November 8-9, 1978 in Phoenix, Arizona with the following members present:

F. Mark Edson Kemper Goodwin Patrick H. Porcarello

There being a quorum present at all times, the committee reviewed the following applicants and makes to the Board the recommendations shown regarding registration in Arizona.

1. The following applicants, having appeared before the committee and satisfied the committee that they are fully qualified (including the treatise on seismic forces) to receive architectural registration in Arizona under ARS 32-123.A and ARS 32-126, are hereby recommended for registration:

Hirsch, Benjamin 78-432 Thomas, Leslie Hubert 78-35 Hoffman, Kent Richard 78-532 Unger, William L. 78-51 Hughes, James Glenn 78-325 Windes, Larry Russell 78-58 Jencen, Richard R. 78-62 Woldemar, Michael Walter 78-51 Klages, David 78-451 Wright, Donald Appleton 78-36	lirsch, Benjamin Hoffman, Kent Richard Hughes, James Glenn Hencen, Richard R. (Tages, David	78-432 78-532 78-325 78-62 78-451	Thomas, Leslie Hubert Unger, William L. Windes, Larry Russell Woldemar, Michael Walter Wright, Donald Appleton	78-263 78-411 78-272 78-553 78-509 78-394 78-425 78-273 78-96 78-350 78-513 78-584 78-515 78-360
				77-580

2. The following applicants appeared before the committee, and it was determined that their applications should be denied for lack of experience of a character satisfactory to the Board under ARS 32-122.A, with the refunds as shown:

Arbon, Craig Allan	78-526	\$10.00
Gorton, Adrian Homer	78-116	\$10.00
Rinck, Michael Stephen	78-518	\$10.00
Umber, Dennis C.	78-530	\$10.00
Woods, Fred Edwin	78-531	\$10.00

3. The following applicants, having appeared before the committee for a personal audience interview, need demonstration of additional evidence of their proficiency (ARS32-123.B), and it is recommended to the Board that these individuals be held for written examinations in December 1978 and June 1979:

Beall, Robert Glenn Bogott, Kent Gordon Briggs, Ronald Lee Broman, Ivan Lee Brower, Myron Riggs Campbell, Gary Alan Canales, James Chapman, Raymond E. Christensen, Danny Dale Guzman, Gabriel Fernando Hakim, Besim Selim Hankins, James R. (Jr.) Hryb, Roman John	78-487 78-574 78-469 78-472 78-536 78-379 78-488 78-221 78-516 78-539 78-291 78-348 78-217	Qual. Test, Sect. E; Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Qual.Test, Sect. E, Professional Qual.Test, Sect. E., Professional Qual.Test, Sect. E., Professional Qual.Test, Professional Qual. Test, Professional Qual. Test, Sect. E., Professional Qual. Test, Sect. E., Professional Qual. Test; Professional Professional Qual. Test; Professional
Kovacs, Laszlo	78-431	Qual. Test; Professional
King, Myson Brian	78-489	Professional
Lauser, Lawrence Charles	78-583	Professional
Lockhart, Brian	78-490	Qual. Test, Sect. E., Professional
Marcellus, Russell Philip	78-527	Qual. Test, Sect. E., Professional
Mayoras, Douglas Allen	78-491	Qual. Test, Sect. E., Professional
Mazziotti, Vincent G.	78-470	Qual. Test, Sect. E., Professional
Meyer, Walter Blaine	78-542	Qual. Test, Sect. E., Professional
Nickerson, Edwin Walter	78-471	Qual. Test; Professional
Nielsen, Tim Richard	78-528	Professional
Palmeri, Richard Paul	78-380	Qual. Test; Professional
Pokorski, Thomas C.	78-492	Professional
Power, Barbara Joyce	78-493	Professional
Roy, Arnold	78-529	Professional
Ruppel, Dennis Lee	78-519	Qual. Test, Sect. E.; Professional
Sakellar, Constantine Nichola	78-544	Qual. Test, Sect.E.; Professional
Saxton, Richard Earl	78-544	Professional
Scott, Alan VanCleave	78-545	Qual. Test, Sect. E.; Professional
Sipos, Henry Louis Stefano, Gerald Lee	78-511	Qual. Test; Professional Qual. Test, Sect. E.; Professional
Tone, Robert Charles	78-512	Qual. Test; Professional
Ward, Steven Wolford	78-496	Qual. Test, Professional
Windham, Scott Avery	78-514	Professional
Transfer of the state of the st	70 011	1 TOTOGOTOHUT

4. The following applicant, having appeared before the committee in August and having been referred to the Board for further consideration, has subsequently satisfied the Committee that he has complied with the Technical Registration Act, is hereby recommended for registration:

Read, Donald Lee 78-191

5. The following applicant, having previously appeared before the Committee and having subsequently satisfied the Committee that he is fully qualified (including the treatise on seismic forces), is hereby recommended for registration:

Karlsrud, Lloyd Allen

6. The following applicant, having been advised three times that he must submit a written treatise and having failed to do so, is hereby recommended to the Board for denial of his application for failure to complete the requirements of the Board within a reasonable length of time:

Rola, Alfred

78-74

7. Eaton, Bruce Edward, 78-1

The Committee refers Mr. Eaton's request for a review of the scoring on Sections A,B,C and D of the Qualifying Test to the full Board.

8. The following applicant, having previously passed the Professional Examination and Sections A,B,C and D of the Qualifying Test, having requested a review of the 1978 Section E (Design) part of the Qualifying Test, and having satisfied the Committee that he should be assigned a passing grade on said Section E of the Qualifying Test, is hereby recommended for registration:

Hubbard, Kenneth J. 75-98

The Committee recommends to the Board that Items 1 through 8 of this report be accepted and implemented.

#### CODE AND RULES TEST

The Committee refers to the full Board for consideration the suggestion that the minimum passing score on the twenty-question Code and Rules Test be raised from 14 to 15.

#### QUALIFYING TEST

The Committee recommends that applicants for registration who are not graduates of an accredited architectural program be required to pass the Qualifying Test before being allowed to take the Professional Examination.

#### EXPERIENCE SATISFACTORY TO THE BOARD

The Committee recommends that Appendix A of the NCARB Circular of Information No. 1, 1978, be adopted by the Board as its basic criteria for architectural experience "of a character satisfactory to the Board".

Respectfully submitted,

Kemper Goodwin

Chairman

# ENVIRONMENTAL GRAPHICS, INC.

7332 East Camelback Road, Suite 'D'

SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA · 85251

(602) 994 - 8320

September 21, 1978

Mr. Earl Cassidy Secretary Arizona State Board of Technical Registration 1645 West Jefferson, Room 315 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Subject: Certified Grades

Equivalency Examination

June, 1978

Dear Mr. Cassidy:

I received your letter dated September 8, 1978, on September 12, 1978, regarding above subject. Per our telephone conversation, I am requesting the grades of Sections A, B, C, and D, and with the Board's permission, request that the Board review the scoring on these sections as I believe myself to be strong in the areas of Construction Technology and Environmental Systems. Upon the Board's review of the test scores, I will be able to better prepare myself for the up-coming June, 1979, exams.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Very truly yours,

Bruce E. Eaton

ENVIRONMENTAL GRAPHICS, INC.

BEE/pr

CUSTOM DESIGNS . RESIDENTIAL

\* LANDSCAPE

· INTERIORS

. GRAPHICS

RECEIVED
SEP 85 1978
STATE BOARD TECHNICAL RESISTRATION

TO:

State Board of Technical Registration

FROM:

Engineering Evaluation Committee

SUBJECT:

Evaluation of Applicants

The Engineering Evaluation Committee met November 16-17, 20-21, 1978 in Phoenix, Arizona with the following members present:

Sam Caruso Rod J. Gomez R. A. Jimenez Charles E. O'Bannon John B. Trimble

There being a quorum present at all times, the committee reviewed the following applicants and now make to the Board the recommendations shown regarding their professional registration in Arizona.

1. The following applicants, having appeared before the Committee and having satisfied the Committee that they are fully qualified to receive registration in Arizona under A.R.S.32-123.A and 32-126 are hereby recommended for registration:

CHEMICAL ENGINEER		CIVIL ENGINEERS (Cont.)	
Moleux, Peter George	78-454	McCullagh, Frank R. Mathis, H. Kenneth	78-564 78-445
CIVIL ENGINEERS		Morrison, Neil Donald	78-464
Basham, William Harrison Bassett, Donal J. Beeby, Robert Glenn Bergman, Lynn A. Blodgett, Donn Winfield Butts, John Fitzgerald Carroll, Scott Richard Chambers, Richard Tex Defendorf, Charles Edward Dykhouse, Douglas James Gallagher, Richard Lugo Gardner, William Dmitri Griffin, George H. Harding, Richard Louis	78-416 78-371 78-571 78-418 78-499 78-341 78-452 78-447 78-413 78-459 78-434 78-479 78-403 78-310	Olson, Clarence Stanley Osborn, Jack Owens, Robert L. (III) Scott, Michael Patrick Shipley, Joseph Eliot Shogren, Richard Kirk Sidor, Joseph R. Stettner, Paul Stiehr, Patrick Lenard Storms, Lawrence Dale Villa, Thomas Spencer Wood, Donald Edwin Poedtke, Richard A. ELECTRICAL ENGINEERS	78-555 78-237 78-500 78-402 78-525 78-478 78-414 78-370 78-315 78-503 78-466 78-361 78-522
Hoekstra, Robert Sheridan	78-328	Chan, Paul Myo Aung	78-427
Houseal, Barry Lee	78-400 78-385	de Castro, Jose E.	78-66 78-540
Hu, Alfred Chao-Hsin Hyder, Clifton Dean	78-386	Hanks, Robert Charles Hornberger, Gary Roger	78-541
Jarol, David L.	78-506	Ho, Yui-To Danny	78-368
Johnson, Larry Edwin	78-392	Hudson, Ralph Washington, Jr	
Lodewyck, Richard D.	78-401	Lange, George M.	78-441
Lovelady, Frank Dee	78-438	Leslie, Dennis Gene	78-443
Smith, Thomas A.	78-417	Licht, Seymour	78-507

	MINING ENGINEERS	
78-508 78-483 78-546	Cape, Jerry J. Milne, Steven Lee	78-461 78-436
78-547	PETROLEUM ENGINEER	
	Mann, Robert Leo	78-44
78-329	STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS	
	Bosc, Lucien Gabriel Burke, Frank L.	78-335 78-521
78-349	Fratessa, Paul F.	78-465
		78-253
	Renyer, Phillip Walter	78-463
78-421	LAND SURVEYORS	
	Campbell, Ferel LaMar	78-189
	Hugg, Garry P.	78-231
78-449		78-455
78-568		78-214 78-523
		, 0 020
78-338	GEOLOGISTS	
	Scott, John Douglas Sydnor, Robert Hadley	78-238 78-502
	78-483 78-546 78-547 78-329 78-329 78-381 78-219 78-430 78-421 78-408 78-165 78-543 78-449 78-568 78-337 78-410	78-508 78-483 78-483 78-546 78-546 78-547 PETROLEUM ENGINEER Mann, Robert Leo  78-329 STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS Bosc, Lucien Gabriel Burke, Frank L. 78-349 Fratessa, Paul F. 78-381 Mendes, Stanley H. 78-219 Renyer, Phillip Walter 78-430 78-421 78-408 78-165 78-543 78-408 78-568 78-337 78-410 78-338 Capp, Jerry J. Milne, Steven Lee  PETROLEUM ENGINEER  Mann, Robert Leo  STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS  Bosc, Lucien Gabriel Burke, Frank L. Fratessa, Paul F. Genyer, Phillip Walter  LAND SURVEYORS Campbell, Ferel LaMar Hugg, Garry P. Miller, Michael Jon Montgomery, Floyd Eugene Small, Bruce Francis  GEOLOGISTS

2. The following applicants, having appeared before the Committee for a personal audience interview, need demonstration of additional evidence of their proficiency (A.R.S. 32-123.B), and it is recommended to the Board that these individuals be held for the written examinations in April 1979 as indicated:

#### CIVIL ENGINEERING

Alford, Richard Hallie	78-437	Parts 3 and 4	
Ahmed, Moizuddin	78-433	Parts 3 and 4	
Berthot, Charles A.	78-435	Parts 3 and 4	
Cox, Hugh Lenneous	78-444	Parts 3 and 4	
Feltovic, George Michael	78-405	Parts 3 and 4	
Fulton, James	78-210	Parts 3 and 4	
Harrington, Richard Gilman	78-446	Parts 3 and 4	
Joneja, Narendra Kumar	78-462	Parts 1,2,3 and 4	
Lacandola, Ruben Y.	78-439	Parts 1,2,3 and 4	
Maldonado, Larry Marvin	78-387	Parts 1,2,3 and 4	
Morea, Claudia Rae	78-388	Parts 3 and 4	
Nisbet, James Duncan	78-419	Parts 3 and 4	
Pummili, Verl Ray	78-186	Parts 3 and 4	
Sheridan, John Francis	78-593	Parts 3 and 4	
Showalter, William Richard	78-497	Parts 1 and 2	
Wolfe, Dennis Dean	78-457	Parts 3 and 4	
Zeller, Michael E.	78-384	Parts 1,2,3 and 4	
Zicafoose, Robert D.	78-560	Parts 1,2,3 and 4	

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING					
Barnes, Paul Oliver Brown, Lewis E. Hansen, Thomas Nobel Morris, James Allen	78-485 78-393 78-369 78-423	Parts Parts Parts	3 and 3 and 3 and 3 and	4 4 4	
Rex, Michael Buford Snyder, John Charles	78-519 78-409	Parts	1,2,3	and	4
Steinke, Lester A. Tang, James F.	78-484 78-476		3 and 1,2,3		4
INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING					
Kenna, LeRoy Austin	78-18	Parts	3 and	4	
MECHANICAL ENGINEERING					
Elliott, William Howard Lamson, Edward William, Jr. Lungren, Randolph William Patel, Dilipkumar Nathubhai Shepherd, Stanton Henry Smith, Dennis Wayne Smith, James Norman Wilcox, Gary Paul	78-406 78-16 78-422 78-215 78-424 78-359 78-298 78-302	Parts Parts Parts Parts Parts Parts	3 and 3 and 3 and 3 and 1,2,3 3 and 1,2,3	4 4 4 and 4	
METALLURGICAL ENGINEERING					
Stern, Roger	78-501	Parts	3 and	4	
SANITARY ENGINEERING					
Jefferson, Francis Thomas	78-399	Parts	3 and	4	
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING					
Barre, Ib Harabor, Mihai	78-319 78-404		3,4,5 5 and		6
Hale, Tom Henry	78-481	Parts	3,4,5	and	6
Jarol, David L. Lundwall, Dan Richard	78-505 78-288		5 and 5 and		
Spicer, Edward Lee	78-480	Parts	5 and	6	
Trummer, John Joseph Villa, Thomas Spencer	78-482 78-467	Parts	5 and 5 and	6	
GEOLOGY					
Cockle, Allen Robert Eyrich, Henry Theodore Linder, Harold William LAND SURVEYING	78-456 78-453 78-281	Parts Parts Parts	1,2,3 3 and 3 and	and 4 4	4
Baker, Fred L. Lacandola, Ruben Y. Naville, Patrick W. Nisbet, James Duncan	78-398 78-440 78-391 78-420	Parts	1,2,3 1,2,3 3 and	and	
Sanchez, Reynaldo Y. Stewart, Tommie Gene	78-389 78-460	Parts Parts	1,2,3	and	4
Tokarski, Norbert A. Speer, Kenneth Francis	78-588 78-534	Parts	1,2,3	and	4

Continued....

3. Shelest, Timothy Charles, 78-174 - Land Surveyor

Consideration was given to Mr. Shelest's request for a waiver of Rule R4-30-01(D) requiring three registered references. The Committee recommends to the Board that the waiver be denied.

4. Freeman, Gilbert Norvell, 69-198 - Geologist

Mr. Freeman, through his attorney, has requested a waiver of examination. The Committee recommends denial of waiver of examination pursuant to A.R.S. 32-126, since there is no evidence of registration by examination in another state or certification by examination by a national bureau of registration or certification.

5. Savicky, John Lee, 76-566 - Civil Engineer

Mr. Savicky's request that the Arizona Board review his examination was considered by the Committee. The Committee refers this request to the full Board with the recommendation that the Board not attempt to regrade Part 4 of the April 1978 Professional Engineering exam which was prepared and graded by NCEE.

6. Banks, Elizabeth Young, 78-2 - Geologist-in-Training

The Committee recommends that the Board grant Ms. Banks' request to review her failed exam at the office of the Board in the presence of the Executive Director.

7. Cordy, Gail E., 78-3 - Geologist-in-Training

The Committee recommends that the Board grant Ms. Cordy's request to review her failed exam at the office of the Board in the presence of the Executive Director.

8. Singh, Rajinder, 77-594 - Mechanical Engineer

After reviewing the April 1978 examination, the Committee recommends that the language and solution of Problem 144 of the NCEE exam be accepted and that the exam score of Part 3 of Mr. Singh's April 1978 examination be certified as correct.

The Committee recommends to the Board that Items 1 through 8 of this report be accepted and implemented.

The Committee also considered the complaint of GODSEY, ERNEST E. vs. BARRETT, GEORGE T. and recommended that the Executive Director investigate and determine whether non-registrant Barrett has ceased advertising and soliciting as an engineer. If Mr. Barrett has so ceased, the Committee recommends that the Board close this complaint and notify the parties concerned.

Respectfully submitted,

Sam Caruso

**Chairman** 

#### DENIALS

It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Dr. Jimenez that the following applicants be denied with neither prejudice nor refund at their request. Motion carried.

Clayton, George Allen	77-662	Electrical Engineer
Cummings, David	78-258	Geologist
Geyer, John Wayne	78-220	Architect
Hamilton, Drew Seth	78-292	Electrical Engineer
Hilfinger, Dean F.	78-196	Architect
McCreary, Dennis James	77-601	Civil Engineer
Richardson, Lawrence C., Jr.	77-611	Architect

It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Dr. Jimenez that the following applicants be denied for failure to complete the requirements of the Board within a reasonable length of time:

Ament, John Tehodore Athay, Dean J. Austin, Louis Roy Leisch, Jack E. Martinez, Francisco J. Weber, Howard I. Yuey, David Dahsoong Rola, Alfred Bicas, Enrique Bernardo Davis, Dean Leigh Fuller, Newell Judd Yates, Dennis Dean	78-79 78-99 78-147 77-519 78-205 77-436 77-222 78-74 76-462 76-470 75-331 77-168	Mechanical Engineer Electrical Engineer Civil Engineer Civil Engineer Civil Engineer Civil Engineer Electrical Engineer Nuclear Engineer Architect Architect Architect Architect Architect Architect
Anderson, Rodney E.R. Carson, Christopher Warren Comon, Gilbert Arnold, Jr. Koch, Christopher Lee Mansur, Charles Thom Rogers, James Ashbel Tuberty, Dennis J. Weiss, Peter M.	77-13 77-2 77-19 74-52 77-11 76-11 75-5 77-20	Architect-in-Training Architect-in-Training Architect-in-Training Architect-in-Training Architect-in-Training Architect-in-Training Architect-in-Training Architect-in-Training

It was moved by John Trimble and seconded by Kemper Goodwin that the following applicants for professional registration, having completed the personal audience and all other requirements of this Board, be granted registration and assigned the registration numbers as indicated. Motion passed.

	CIVIL ENGINEERING (Cont.)	
11939 12013 12014 12015 12016 12017 12018 12019 12020 12021 12022 12125 12023 12024 12025 12026 12028 12029 12030 12031 12032 12033 12034 12035 12034 12035 12037 12036	Gardner, William Dmitri Griffin, George H. Harding, Richard Louis Hoekstra, Robert Sheridan Houseal, Barry Lee Hu, Alfred Chao-Hsin Hyder, Clifton Dean Jarol, David L. Johnson, Larry Edwin Lodewyck, Richard D. Lovelady, Frank Dee McCullagh, Frank R. Mathis, H. Kenneth Morrison, Neil Donald Olson, Clarence Stanley Osborn, Jack Owens, Robert L. (III) Poedtke, Richard A. Scott, Michael Patrick Shipley, Joseph Eliot Shogren, Richard Kirk Sidor, Joseph R. Smith, Thomas A. Stettner, Paul Stiehr, Patrick Lenard Storms, Lawrence Dale Villa, Thomas Spencer	12056 12057 12058 12059 12060 12061 12062 12063 12064 12065 12066 12068 12070 12071 12072 12073 12126 12074 12075 12076 12077 12077 12067 12078 12079 12080 12081
12039 12040 12041 12042	Wood, Donald Edwin  ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING	12082
12027	Chan, Paul Myo Aung de Castro, Jose E. Hanks, Robert Charles	12083 12084 12085
12044	Ho, Yui-To Danny Hudson, Ralph Washington,Jr.	12086 12087 12088
12045 12046 12047 12048 12049 12050 12051 12052 12053 12054 12055	Lange, George M. Leslie, Dennis Gene Licht, Seymour Paul, Orville Vincent,Jr. Stewart, Larry Ray Sundar, N.V. Shama Talstad, Lars Naas  Continued	12089 12090 12091 12092 12093 12094 12095
	12013 12014 12015 12016 12017 12018 12019 12020 12021 12022 12125 12023 12024 12025 12028 12029 12030 12031 12032 12033 12034 12035 12036 12037 12038 12039 12030 12031 12032 12033 12044 12042 12040 12041 12042 12043 12044 12044 12044 12045 12044 12045 12044 12045 12046 12047 12048 12049 12049 12050 12051 12052 12053 12053 12054	Gardner, William Dmitri Collistic Griffin, George H. Collistic Harding, Richard Louis Collistic Hoekstra, Robert Sheridan Collistic Houseal, Barry Lee Collistic Hu, Alfred Chao-Hsin Collistic Dean Collisti

HIGHWAY ENGINEERING	
Lowry, Aaron Wilbur	12096
THO THE FOLING	
MECHANICAL ENGINEERING	
Giles, Robert Edgar	12097 12098
Hansen, E. Wayne Hayashi, Paul Hideyo	12099
Heitz, Richard Stewart	12100
Hobbs, Leon Merrette, Jr. Kornsand, Norman Jack	12101 12102
Malloy, Ross Thomasson	12103
Mitter, Richard Oliver O'Donnell, Brian Robert	12104 12105
Parupsky, Robert Michael	12106
Sturdevant, Bruce Layton Van Houten, John J.	12107 12108
Winarski, Daniel James	12109
MINING ENGINEERING	
Cape, Jerry J.	12110
Milne, Steven Lee	12111
PETROLEUM ENGINEERING	
Mann, Robert Leo	12112
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING	
Bosc, Lucien Gabriel	12113
Burke, Frank L. Fratessa, Paul F.	12114 12115
Mendes, Stanley H.	12116
Renyer, Phillip Walter	12117
LAND CURVEYENS	
LAND SURVEYING	
Campbell, Ferel LaMar Hugg, Garry P.	12118 12119
Miller, Michael Jon	12120
Montgomery, Floyd Eugene Small, Bruce Francis	12121 12122
Sincilly bruce Francis	16166
GEOLOGY	
Scott, John Douglas	12123
Sydnor, Robert Hadley	12124

It was moved by John Trimble and seconded by Kemper Goodwin that the following applicants for in-training registration, having satisfactorily completed the written examination, be granted in-training registration and assigned the in-training registrations numbers as indicated. Motion carried.

#### ENGINEER-IN-TRAINING

Babonis, Paul A.	2002
Black, Philip B.	2003
Capelik, Jaye Beverly	2004
Dangremond, John Robert	2005
Darlington, Elizabeth S.	2006
Domzalski, Mark William	2007
Gauthier, Dale	2008
Holberton, David Peirce	2009
Holler, Frank Eric	2010
McDonald, Jeffery Craig	2011
Montgomery, Gary E.	2012
Ortiz, Jesus Lorenzo	2013
Roden, David Bruce	2014
Sams, Keith Elam	2015
Scott, Bryant C.	2016
Stadnisky, Steven	2017
Toerpe, Daniel K.	2018
Travis, William H.	2019
Van Dyke, Richard Lee, II	2020
Wilkey, William P.	2021
Bradel, John Edward	2022
Hill, Charles C.	2023

#### LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT-IN-TRAINING

Abell, James Logan 15

#### REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

The fee changes recommended as part of the Rules and By-Laws changes are estimated to increase income to the Technical Fund by about 35% for the 1979-80 fiscal period. This increase should bring income and budgeted expenses into balance for that period.

A straw poll was held during the November evaluation meetings among those engineers being held for exams. They were asked, "Which days would you prefer to take the two-day exam, Thursday-Friday, Friday-Saturday or Saturday-Sunday?" The results were: Thursday-Friday, 13; Friday-Saturday, 14; Saturday-Sunday, 16. Based on this poll it would appear that Friday-Saturday is a good compromise and should be adopted for engineering exams in 1980 (when Sunday is dropped by NCEE) and perhaps even in 1979.

Since weekend engineering exams will not be given beginning in 1980 (1979?), exam sites other than the U of A and ASU campuses have been surveyed, and the Civic Centers in Phoenix and Tucson appear most satisfactory when they are available. Hotel convention center facilities are also available in both cities, but at a higher cost. It is necessary to make reservations many months, even years, ahead to be assured of space.

Evaluation committee meetings are now held in February, May, August and November, over a period of six days in less than ten working days. This works a hardship on the general routine of the Board office and may be a hardship on Board members, also. An alternative would be to schedule the evaluation committee meetings in January and February, April and May, July and August, October and November, for a maximum of three days each month. Committee members probably would serve no more than one day each month, and the office routine would be improved.

The NCEE Professionalism and Ethics Committee will hold a winter meeting in Scottsdale, February 16-18, 1979 at the Doubletree Inn (Fashion Square). Board members are invited to attend.

The Executive Director was a guest speaker at the Papago Chapter, ASPE, meeting on November 28, 1978. The program topic was "Continuing Education", including its use in recertification.

The Auditor General's Office met with the Executive Director on November 30 as a first step in its performance audit and program review under the Sunset Law review procedure. The Arizona Consulting Engineers Association and the Arizona Section, ASCE, have appointed Jim Anderson as their liaison with the Board on the Sunset review.

# CASH FLOW REPORT TECHNICAL REGISTRATION FUND OCTOBER 31, 1978

Fund Balance as of July 1, 1978	\$94,747.68
Total Allocated Receipts, Year-to-Date	23,123.93
Total Expenditures, Year-to-Date	(34,795.45)
Outstanding Encumbrances, Year-to-Date	(8,747.59)
Fund Balance as of October 31, 1978	\$74,328.57
Lump Sum Appropriation for 1978-79	\$167,000.00
Allotment for First Quarter	41,775.00
Allotment for Second Quarter	41,775.00

Expenditures:	October	Year-to-Date	
Personal Services	\$4,244.46	\$16,768.80	
Employer Related	828.99	3,080.73	
Professional Services	-0-	594.89	
Travel - In State	-0-	791.70	
Travel - Out of State	-0-	1,387.04	
Other Operating Expenses:			
Data Processing	96.58	203.30	
Maint. & Repairs	-0-	71.50	
Refunds	-0-	36.00	
Revolving Fund	-0-	1,000.00	
Rent	-0-	4,051.50	
Office Supplies	1,635.91	2,552.26	
Telephone	152.37	451.97	
Xerox Rental	-0-	168.63	
Gen.Comp. Insurance	-0-	335.00	
Postage	2,000.00	2,083.27	
Printing	-0-	393.69	
Subscriptions	-0-	24.00	
Advertising	5.25	5.25	
Freight	-0-	53.22	
Equipment	-0-	742.70	
	\$8,963.56	\$34,795.45	

November 28, 1978

TO:

State Board of Technical Registration

FROM:

Rules and By-Laws Committee

SUBJECT:

PROPOSED CHANGES TO RULES AND BY-LAWS

1. The Rules and By-Laws Committee proposes the following revisions to the Rules, Adopted July 15, 1977:

R4-30-02

(Delete "initial" from heading and first line of text. Change "thirty days" to forty-five days".)

R4-30-03

(Revise text as shown)
Qualifying experience, other than time allowed for education, shall in general be limited to that time in which an applicant has been directly employed or worked in a responsible position and directly supervised by a person(s) who is(are) registered in the same discipline as the applicant. The experience acquired by the applicant should be well diversified in his particular discipline and of a character satisfactory to the Board.

R4-30-13 F

(Revise as shown)
An applicant who fails to achieve a passing grade on an examination may be re-examined a maximum of five times before the Board may deny his application for registration.

R4-30-13 G

(Repeal)

R4-30-14 A (Change "thirty days" to forty-five days".)

R4-30-14 B

(Revise as shown)
Applicants for Engineer-in-Training, Geologist-in-Training and Landscape Architect-in-Training will may be permitted to take the in-training examination one year prior to graduation..... Applicants without college degrees desiring to enter the in-training program will may be permitted to take the examination prier-te after the completion of feur three years...

R4-30-14 D

(Change "thirty days" to "forty-five days")

R4-30-14 F

4 F (Change "Basic Geology" to "Fundamentals of Geology")

R4-30-14 G (

(Repeal)

R4-30-15 A

(Repeal) (Change R4-30-15 L to R4-30-15 A.)

R4-30-15 F.1 (Change "four parts" to "two parts", "six parts" to "three parts", "four hours" to "eight hours")

R4-30-15 F.3	(Revise as shown) The part parts of the professional engineering examinations are defined as follows:
	Part 1 Fundamentals of Engineering Part 2 Fundamentals-of-Engineering Principles and Practices of Engineering
	Part 3 Engineering-Analysis Structural Engineering Practices
	(Repeal Parts 4-6)
R4-30-15 G	(Change "principles" to "fundamentals" at end of sixth line)
R4-30-15 H	(Change "four parts" to "two parts" and revise as shown)The parts of the professional geology examination are as follows:
	Part 1 Basie-Geology Fundamentals of Geology Part 2 Basie-Geology Principles and Practices of Geology
	(Repeal Parts 3 and 4)
R4-30-15 K	(Change "four parts" to "three parts" and revise as shown)determine knowledge of the techniques fundamentals of land surveying, also knowledge of the rules-and-regulations principles and practices of land surveying and of the rules, regulations and laws
R4-30-27	(List the fees at the right margin as numbers; that is, \$25.00, \$50.00, and \$10.00 in a manner similar to exam fees listings)
R4-30-28	(Revise as shown) The fees, payable in advance for taking or retaking the examinations, over and above and exclusive of fees required with the an application for registration, shall be as follows listed below. When a subsection of an examination is given, the fee may be a pro-rata part of the listed fee.
	1. In-Training Examinations
	Architect Qualifying Test\$40.00 Professional Exam, Section A, Design\$40.00
	Engineer, Geologist Fundamentals\$30.00
	Landscape Architect Subjects A,C\$50.00

	2. Architect Examinations
	Qualifying Test\$40.00 Professional Exams Section A, Design\$40.00 Section B, Parts I-IV\$60.00 Treatise on lateral forces\$25.00
	Resubmittal of treatise\$25.00
	3. Professional Engineer Examinations  Part 1 - Fundamentals
	4. Geologist Examinations Part 1 - Fundamentals\$30.00 Part 2 - Principles and Practices\$30.00
	5. Landscape Architect Examinations  Subject A - History
	6. Land Surveyor Examinations  Fundamentals\$30.00  Principles and Practices\$15.00  Legal Principles\$15.00
	7. Assayer Examinations\$30.00
	8. Comprehensive Oral Examination\$100.00
R4-30-29	(Change to R4-30-30 and revise as shown) For renewal of a certificate of registration, if received prior to December 31 of each year (a certificate registration not renewed prior to December 31 of each year will accrue a penalty of 10 per cent of for each month or fraction of month delinquency), the following fees will be required:
	1. Renewal as, ten-dollars; except-that (persons age aged 70immediately prior to attaining-the-age of-70-or-older requesting exemption)\$15.00
	2. In-training certificates, -mone- renewal NONE
84-30-30	(Change to R4-30-29 and revise as shown)
	Miscellaneous Fees for Services
	Certificate and Seal, new registrant\$15.00 Replacement Certificate\$5.00 Replacement Seal\$10.00 Annual Report\$2.00 Document Copies, per page\$25

R4-30-31	(Revise as shown) An application applicant for professional registration which whose application has been denied by initial Board action will shall receive the following refunds 10.00
R4-30-43 A	(Change "the principal" to "a principal" at end of eleventh line)
R4-30-54	(Change "cost of manufacturing said seal" to "required fee")
R4-30-66	(Add to end of first sentence, "upon payment of the required fee.")

- The Rules and By-Laws Committee proposes the following revisions to the By-Laws, adopted July 15, 1977:
  - Section I,4

    At the regular meeting of the Board in June September of each year the Board shall elect.....at the close of this meeting. In the event that the newly elected chairman and vice chairman term on the board has expired and they have not been officially notified of their re-appointment, the senior member of the board will assume the duties of the chairman until the elected chairman and/or vice chairman are re-appointed. The chairman shall, as soon thereafter as.....
  - Section II,1 (Repeal second sentence beginning, "All claims....")
  - Section II,4 (Adopt as second sentence)
    All claims shall be signed by the Executive Director or the Secretary and one additional officer of the Board.
  - Section IV (Change "March" to "December" in second sentence)

Respectfully submitted,

John B. Trimble

#### AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT made this 24 day of December, 1978, by and between JOSEPH T. COLOSA, the ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION, and the STATE OF ARIZONA;

WHEREAS, Joseph T. Colosa was an applicant for the 1977 Professional Examination in architecture; and

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, Joseph T. Colosa was not certified as having passed Part III, Design and Technology, portion of said examination; and

WHEREAS, a genuine dispute exists as to the competence demonstrated to the Arizona State Board of Technical Registration on this examination, which resulted in the filing of Pima County Cause No. 176857; and

WHEREAS, both parties hereto desire to avoid litigation of this matter;

IT IS THEREFORE AGREED as follows:

- 1. That Joseph T. Colosa must take the Professional Examination, Section B, under the same circumstances as other applicants; however, only Part III, Design and Technology, portion must receive a satisfactory grade in order to pass the examination. This provision applies to the 1978 Professional Examination, Section B, as well as subsequent Professional Examinations, Section B.
- That Pima County Cause No. 176857 will be dismissed with prejudice.
- 3. That Joseph T. Colosa agrees not to challenge the Professional Examination, Section B, and procedures.

  Joseph T. Colosa will, however, retain the right to challenge the scoring of his examination. This provision applies to the 1978 examination as well as subsequent Professional Examinations, Section B.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have hereunto

set their hands the day and year first above written.

LOVALLO & STIRTON

CHARLES P. STIRTON
Attorney for Colosa

JOSEPH T. COLOSA

ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

E. M. Cassidy, Exedutive Director

DAVID W. RONALD

Assistant Attorney General Attorney for Arizona State Board of Technical Registration and State of Arizona

- 2 -

#### MINUTES OF THE STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

FEBRUARY 2, 1979

A special meeting of the State Board of Technical Registration was called to order by Mark Edson, Chairman, at 1645 West Jefferson, Phoenix, Arizona on Friday, February 2, 1979 at 9:15 A.M.

#### PRESENT

Mark Edson, Chairman Sam Caruso Wayne Earley Kemper Goodwin Charles O'Bannon John Trimble

#### ABSENT

Rod Gomez, Vice-Chairman Pat Porcarello, Secretary Rudy Jimenez

Those present constituted a quorum.

It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that the minutes of the meeting of the Board on December 7, 1978 be approved as presented. Motion carried.

#### REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

No report.

#### REPORT OF THE RULES AND BY-LAWS COMMITTEE

No report.

(Discussion of rules and by-laws changes was delayed until after the REPORT OF EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR.)

#### REPORT OF THE EVALUATION AND EXAMINATION COMMITTEES

#### Architectural Committee Report

Chairman Kemper Goodwin presented the report of the Architectural Examination Committee shown as Minute Pages 4130-4132.

It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Dr. O'Bannon that the Architectural Examination Committee Report be accepted and implemented by the Board. Motion carried.

#### Engineering Committee Report

Chairman Caruso presented the report of the Engineering Examination Committee shown as Minute Pages 4133 - 4141.

It was moved by Mr. Caruso and seconded by Mr. Goodwin that the Engineering Examination Committee Report be accepted and implemented by the Board. Motion carried.

#### Landscape Architectural Committee Report

No report.

REGISTRATIONS GRANTED Shown on Minute Pages 4142 - 4144.

#### REPORT OF THE PUBLIC INFORMATION COMMITTEE

No report.

There was a general discussion regarding licensing requirements of other State Boards.

There was a general discussion regarding the need for the publication of a newsletter to be sent to local professional societies, legislators and local newspapers.

#### REPORT OF THE BUDGET COMMITTEE

No report.

Mr. Cassidy explained to the Board how the budget has been reviewed by the Executive Budget Committee, the JLBC staff and the JLBC.

Mr. Trimble, on behalf of the Board, complimented Mr. Cassidy on his efficient handling of the Board's budget.

#### REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Mr. Cassidy presented to the Board the Executive Director's Report shown as Minute Page 4145.

Mr. Goodwin moved that the motion to accept the proposed rules changes, which was passed at the December 7, 1978 Board meeting, be rescinded. This motion was seconded by Dr. O'Bannon, and motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Goodwin then made a motion that Rule R4-30-29 be amended (as shown in the Executive Director's Report on Minute Page 4125) to change the annual renewal fee to TWENTY DOLLARS, and that the legal requirements for this amendment be implemented. The motion was seconded by Mr. Caruso.

After further discussion Mr. Trimble moved to amend this motion to change the annual renewal fee to SEVENTEEN DOLLARS. This amendment was seconded by Mr. Caruso. Amendment carried. Motion, as amended, carried.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS

None

#### NEW BUSINESS

Dr. O'Bannon moved to approve the Schedule of Examinations shown as Minute Page 4146. Mr. Trimble seconded; motion carried.

#### ADJOURMENT

Meeting adjourned at 10:40 A.M.

Mark Edson, Chairman

Patrick H. Porcarello,

Secretary

February 1, 1979

TO:

State Board of Technical Registration

FROM:

Architectural Examination Committee

The Architectural Examination Committee met on Thursday, February 1, 1979 in Phoenix, Arizona with the following members present:

F. Mark Edson Kemper Goodwin

The Committee meeting was held to review the results of the Architects' Professional Examination given December 11-12, 1978. The final results of the examinations are shown on the following pages.

The Architectural Examination Committee recommends to the Board that this report be accepted and implemented and that these grades be certified.

Respectfully submitted,

Kemper Goodwin

Chairman

#### RESULTS OF ARCHITECTS' PROFESSIONAL EXAMINATION DECEMBER 1978

NO.	NAME	GRADE	NO.	NAME	GRADE
77-525	Al-Alawi, Kamal	Fail	76-116	Lewis, Robert Ansley, Jr.	Fail
78-487	Beall, Robert Glenn	Pass	78-490	Lockhart, Brian	Pass
71-414	Biddle, Warren Robert	Fail	74-538	Lucero, Edward Horta	Fail
* 78-574	Bogott, Kent Gordon	Pass	77-663	Ludwig, Neil Milton	Pass
* 78-268	Bragg, Thomas Ingram	Pass	78-527	Marcellus, Russell P.	Fail
* 78-469	Briggs, Ronald Lee	Pass	78-491	Mayoras, Douglas Allen	Fail
* 78-472	Broman, Ivan Lee	Pass	78-470	Mazziotti, Vincent G.	Fail
* 78-536	Brower, Myron Riggs	Pass	78-194	McCollum, Tommy Robert	Pass
78-379	Campbell, Gary Alan	Pass	78-542	Meyer, Walter Blaine	Fail
* 78-488	Canales, James	Pass	77-154	Mogro, Carlos	Fail
78-221	Chapman, Raymond E.	Fail	71-391	Moos, Stephen Leon	Fail
75-460	Cheung, Douglas Chi-Hung	Fail	78-471	Nickerson, Edwin Walter	Fail
78-516	Christensen, Danny Dale	Pass	*78-528	Nielsen, Tim Richard	Pass
77-528	Colosa, Joseph Thomas	Fail	77-514	Nolan, James Arthur	Pass
* 77-339	Cosentino, Anthony P.	Pass	78-380	Palmeri, Richard Paul	Fail
* 78-152	Dercks, David William	Pass	73-212	Podolsky, William I.	Fail
76-396	Dong, Tillie	Fail	*78-492	Pokorski, Thomas C.	Pass
77-180	Dooling, David Paul	Fail	*77-565	Posedly, Jane Hamilton	Pass
77-539	Drakulich, Donald M.	Fail	*78-493	Power, Barbara Joyce	Pass
74-499	Duncan, Clarence Douglas	Fail	77-224	Pujadas, Jose	Fail
78-1	Eaton, Bruce Edward	Fail	77-135	Ralston, Philip Johns	Fail
* 78-136	Felder, Larry Joe	Pass	*78-529	Roy, Arnold	Pass
72-135	Fraunhoffer, Herman, Jr.	Fail	77-554	Rowlands, Russell Dewey	Fail
* 78-352	Froeb, Charles F., II	Pass	78-519	Ruppel, Dennis Lee	Fail
78-539	Guzman, Gabriel Fernando	Fail	78-494	Sakellar, Constantine N.	Fail
78-348	Hankins, James R., Jr.	Fail	*78-544	Saxton, Richard Earl	Pass
78-270	Heft, Barden Randolph	Fail	78-495	Scott, Alan Van Cleave	Pass
78-118	Jonas, Glenn Allen	Fail	*75-401	Seats, Timothy Alan	Pass
71-318	Kopecek, Josef Robert	Fail	78-545	Sipos, Henry Louis	Fail
* 78-489	King, Myson Brian	Pass	76-353	Slatewala, Abdul A.	Fail
78-431	Kovacs, Laszlo	Fail	*78-353	Solochek, Arlen Mark	Pass
77-512	Kuzmik, Michael Lee	Fail	78-511	Stefano, Gerald Lee	Pass
* 76-162	Larsen, Leon J.	Pass	78-250	Stockton, Paul Barten	Pass
* 78-583	Lauser, Lawrence Chas.	Pass	*77-475	Territo, Vincent M.	Pass

#### RESULTS OF ARCHITECTS' PROFESSIONAL EXAMINATION DECEMBER 1978

NO.	NAME	GRADE
78-512	Tone, Robert Charles	Pass
76-443	Trice, Glenn Eugene	Fail
*77-114	Walzer, Mitchell Barton	Pass
78-496	Ward, Steven Wolford	Pass
78-301	Wetzel, Thomas Joseph	Pass
*78-200	Wilson, David Walter	Pass
*77-134	Wilson, James Marvin	Pass
*78-514	Windham, Scott Avery	Pass

February 1, 1979

TO: State Board of Technical Registration FROM: Engineering Examination Committee

The Engineering Examination Committee met on Thursday, February 1, 1979 in Phoenix, Arizona with the following members present:

R. A. Jimenez Sam Caruso

The Committee meeting was held to review the results of the examinations given November 4-5, 1978. The final results of the examinations are shown on the following pages.

The Engineering Examination Committee recommends to the Board that this report be accepted and implemented and that these grades be certified.

Respectfully submitted,

Sam Caruso Chairman

		a haman labour a co	PART 1	PART 2	PART 3	PART 4	PASSED
	CHEMICA	L ENGINEERING					
	74-268	Cole, Edward Arthur	Ex	Ex	P	F	
*	76-193	Hammon, Lyndon Ray	Ex	Ex	P	P	3
k	78-256	Stephens, Frank Anderson	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4
	78-72	Travis, Stephen R.	Ex	Ex	F	Р	4
	75-558	Villemez, George Raymond	Ex	Ex	F	F	
	CIVIL E	NGINEERING					
	78-222	Arambel, Jean Marty	Ex	Ex	P	F	3
k	77-556	Babcock, Joseph Andrew	P	Р	Р	P	1,2,3
	78-372	Berkovitz, Barry Charles	F	F	F	F	
	70-58	Bertella, Guido Joseph	Р	F	P	P	
k	77-342	Bethell, John L.	Ex	Ex	P	Р	3
k	78-363	Bolduc, Stephen Louis	P	Р	P	P	1,2,3,4
	76-585	Buckley, Terry Vernon	F	Р	F	F	2
	76-508	Chen, James Shu Chih	Ex	Ex	F	P	
	78-309	Clark, John Edmund	Ex	Ex	P	F	3
k	78-347	Daemen, Jaak Joseph K.	Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
	70-311	Dalrymple, Guerry M.	F	F	F	Р	
	78-233	Edler, Floyd Charles	Ex	Ex	F	F	
	77-118	Fleet, Fred Everett	F	F	F	F	
	75-354	Funderburk, Harvey Alex.	F	Р	P	Р	
	78-262	Gappinger, Elliott Dale	Ex	Ex	Р	F	3
t	78-34	Glancy, Michael Owen	Ex	Ex	P	Р	3,4
k	77-433	Harabor, Mihai	Р	P	P	P	3
	77-297	Hope, Ronald Joseph	F	F	F	DNA	
k	77-261	Kimmel, Richard John	Ex	Ex	P	P	4
k	77-600	Kory, Raymond Edward	Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
	78-43	Laakso, Douglas Gordon	F	Р	F	P	2, 4
ŀ	78-264	Langer, Larry Lewis	Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
k	78-31	Lester, John Stewart	Ex	Ex	Р	P	3
	78-234	Lovstrom, Roger P.	F	F	Р	P	3,4
	75-231	Masowdi, Amir M.	Р	F	Р	F	
k	77-265	Matsushino, Anne Miyo	Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
	78-331	McCabe, James L.	F	F	F	F	

							5410
			PART	PART	PART	PART	PASSED
			1	2	3	4	
CI	VIL E	NGINEERING (Continued)					
* 77-	-392	McPherson, Dale Jon	P	P	P	Р	3
76-	-10	Mercer, Donald Jay	Ex	Ex	Ex	F	
* 77-	-621	Meinhardt, Robert Gerald	Ex	Ex	Р	P	3
76-	-103	Milicevic, Novica	F	Р	F	F	
75-	-421	Nixon, Charles Gilbert	P	F	P	P	
* 76-	-384	Ochoa-Romero, Ramon B.	Ex	Ex	Р	Ex	3
78-	-306	Paez, Antonio C.	Ex	Ex	P	F	3
* 77-	-253	Rausch, Robert Ralph	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4
* 78-	-373	Reimer, Ronald Gene	Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
* 78-	-367	Saksena, Dhirendra K.	P	P	P	Р	1,2,3,4
76-	-566	Savicky, John Lee	Ex	Ex	Р	F	
* 77-	-377	Scoble, Francis Matthew	P	P	Р	Р	3
* 78-	-45	Sebba, Jon Brigish	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4
* 78-	-356	Sever, Bert William	Ex	Ex	Р	P	3,4
78-	-85	Skibitzke, Herbert E.	Ex	Ex	F	Р	4
78-	-308	Spain, James Clark	Ex	Ex	F	F	
* 78-	-313	Sublette, William Robert	Ex	Ex	P	Р	3,4
* 77-	-623	Techapinyawat, Sompote	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3
* 78-	-57	Wetz, Norman Harold	Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
76-	-320	Wilkie, Paul Albert	F	Р	F	F	
* 78-	-374	Williams, Damon Scott	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4
76-	-68	Wilson, John Lawrence	Ex	Ex	F	F	
76-	-407	Yeager, Larry Richard	Ex	Ex	F	F	
ELE	ECTRI	CAL ENGINEERING			***************************************		
* 78-		Ames, Stephen Alexander	Р	Р	Р	Р	1,2,3,4
* 77-	-269	Ananthanarayanan, Bal.	Р	Р	Р	Р	4
77-	-555	Austin, Barry E.	Р	F	Р	F	1, 3
77-	-550	Baltier, Francisco V.L.	Р	Р	Р	F	
* 78-	-163	Bone, Dale Hansel	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4
75-	-483	Brown, David Canby	Р	F	Р	F	
77-	-255	Butkovich, James T.	Р	F	Р	Р	1
75-	-185	Campbell, William	Р	F	Р	Р	
76-	-52	Clark, Roger William	Ex	Ex	F	Р	
* 77-	-325	Davis, John Edward	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	4
* 78-	-115	Demcko, John Andrew	Р	Р	Р	Р	1,2,3,4

		PART 1	PART 2	PART 3	PART 3	PASSED
ELECTF	RICAL ENGINEERING (Continued					
77-214	4 Emmons, Glen T.	Ex	Ex	Р	F	3
77-589	9 Garringer, Hal Leslie,Jr.	F	Р	F	P	2, 4
74-669	9 Haines, Robert Thomas	F	Р	Р	P	2
77-308	8 Hall, Esrie Sherman,Jr.	Р	Р	P	Р	3
77-530	O Hartig, Richard Nicholas	Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
76-578	8 Hawkins, Dan J.	Ex	Ex	F	F	
77-661	1 Holmerud, Gene	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4
77-341	l Jolley, Virdes Allen	Р	Р	F	Р	
78-246	6 Jones, Kenneth Joseph	Ex	Ex	F	р	4
76-249	9 Kelly, John Howard	Ex	Ex	Р	P	3,4
78-3	Kroese, Arthur Jerome	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4
77-562	2 Krueger, Alan John	Р	Р	Р	F	
10529		Р	Р			1,2 (record
72-487	7 Loar, James Alpha	P	Р	Р	F	
77-615	5 McGlothin, Gerald Edward	Р	Р	Р	Р	1,2,3,4
70-240	O Martinez, Joseph B.	Ex	Ex	F	F	
77-592		Р	Р	P	Р	1,2,3
76-536		Ex	Ex	F	Р	11017 1917
78-41		Ex	Ex	Р	Р	4
* 78-339		Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4
77-121		Ex	Ex	F	Р	4
GEOLOG	GICAL ENGINEERING					
* 78-316	6 South, David L.	Р	Р	Р	Р	1,2,3,4
70-226	6 Youell, James Robert	Р	F	Р	Р	
HIGHWA	AY ENGINEERING					
74-166	6 Blazzard, Max Sharon	F	F	F	Р	
74-271	1 Bloom, Norman Kenneth	Р	F	F	Р	
76-291	1 Boren, Ervin Lester	F	F	F	F	
76-151	1 Foster, Thomas Daniel	F	Р	Р	F	2
73-44	Key, Orin William	Р	F	Р	Р	
74-173	3 Lay, George John	Р	F	P	Р	
70-413	3 Patty, John Harlan	F	P	Р	Р	
73-290	O Snyder, Jack Duane, Sr.	F	Р	Р	Р	3
* 75-492		Р	Р	Р	Р	4
76-85		F	F	Р	F	

	P	ART 1	PART 2	PART 3	PART 4	PASSED
INDUSTR	IAL ENGINEERING					
78-355	Hoffman, Albert	Ex	Ex	F	F	
MECHAN I	CAL ENGINEERING					0,1,11,1
75-546	Cooper, Howard M.	Ex	Ex	P	P	3
77-655	Drummond, David Thomas	F	F	F	F	
78-269	Fox, Andrew John	F	F	DNA	DNA	
78-323	Gin, Donald M.	P	Р	P	P	1,2,3,4
78-130	Hannifin, Edmund Normand	F	F	F	P	4
76-20	Hartman, Paul Oliver	P	Р	F	P	
77-549	Hero, John Richard, Jr.	P	Р	Р	Р	1,2,3,4
77-642	Laine, Roger Alan	P	Р	F	F	
77-401	Meraz, Julio Cesar	F	F	Р	Р -	
70-432	Miller, Marion Edward	F	F	P	Р	
78-10	Schwartz, Steven Douglas	Ex	Ex	F	Р	
77-213	Shaub, Fred Eugene	P	Р	F	P	4
77-660	Shonerd, Wesley A.	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3
77-594	Singh, Rajinder	Ex	Ex	F	P	
76-333	Skiles, Donnie Grugett	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	4
78-81	Sullivan, Paul Eugene	Ex	Ex	F	P	4
77-252	Thies, Joel O.	P	P	Р	F	
77-641	Thornberry, Harold Louis, Jr	Р	Р	F	F	
78-358	Thrall, Patrick James	Ex	Ex	Р	P	3,4
76-535	Weakland, Bernard Harold, Jr	P	Р	Р	Р	4
78-267	White, Noel Robinson	Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4
77-509	Williams, Meredith L.	Ex	Ex	F	P	
77-425	Woolsoncroft, Howard Lee	Р	Р	F	P	
METALLU	RGICAL ENGINEERING	-	-			
78-282	Nelson, Roger Raymond	Ex	Ex	P	Р	3,4
MINING	ENGINEERING					
11641	Attaway, Michael Patrick	P	P			1,2 (recor
SANITAR	Y ENGINEERING					
78-343	Moore, Terry Lee	Ex	Ex	P	P	3,4
78-146		Ex	Ex	Р	Р	3,4
	Willis, Brayton P., Jr.	F	F	Р	F	

						1.41
		PART 3	PART 4	PART 5	PART 6	PASSED
STRUCTU	RAL ENGINEERING					
78-257	Furman, Hal M.	Ex	Ex	F	F	
78-30	Hess, Steven Alan	P	Р	P	Р	3,4,5,6
78-287	McClanahan, Keith John	P	P	P	P	3,4,5,6
76-374	Marwah, Dharam Pal	Ex	Ex	P	F	
78-48	Narasimhan, Anantan. K.	Ex	Ex	Р	F	5
78-212	Nelson, Fred Martin	Ex	Ex	P	P	5,6
78-311	Parker, Barry Gale	Ex	Ex	P	Р	5,6
75-593	Soto, Edward Monriquez	Ex	Ex	F	Р	
78-8	Stevens, Alexander M.	Ex	Ex	F	F	
78-275	Zwickl, Keith Duane	P	Р	Р	Р	3,4,5,6
ASSAYIN	G	1	2			
78-364	Schloatman, Marvin Dean	P	Р			1,2
Stollog"		PART 1	PART 2	PART 3	PART 4	PASSED
GEOLOGY						
77-1	Armstrong, Edward J.	F	F	P	Р	4
78-280	Cousins, Noel Boyd	Ex	Ex	P'	F	3
75-244	Langland, Leo Lee	Ex	Ex	P	P	3
78-318	Scherffius, William Edw.	Р	Р	Ex	Ex	1,2
LAND SU	RVEYING					
77-290	Alcocer, Richard	P	P	P.	F	3
76-26	Andrews, Jeffrey Lynn	P	P	Р	P	2
77-349	Barbee, William Francis	Р	P	F	F	2
74-419	Bowlin, Gerald Ray	Р	Р	P	F	3
77-467	Braden, Larry David	Р	Р	P	F	2
77-418	Buchanan, Jack Alfred	Р	Р	Р	P	4
77-634	Brugger, Norman Dale	Р	F	F	F	
76-304		Р	Ex	Р	F	
77-315	Carne, James Louis, Jr.	Р	Р	Р	Р	3,4
78-278		Р	Р	Р	F	1,2,3
76-65	Courtney, John Everett	Р	Р	Р	P	4
78-260	Dale, Edward E.	Р	F	P	F	1, 3
		Р	Р	p	F	1,2,3
10-304						and the second
78-304 77-257	Dryden, Charles Wyatt	Ex	Ex	P	F	

						64139
		PART 1	PART 2	PART 3	PART 4	PASSED
LAND SI	JRVEYING (Continued)					
72-653	Engle, Thomas Edwin	P	Р	P	F	3
77-608	Evans, Roy Lee	F	P	F	F	2
74-181	Gardiner, Theodore E., Sr.	P	F	Р	F	
77-598	Gingles, William James, Sr	. F	P	Р	F	2,3
76-268	Hall, James Joseph	P	P	P	F	3
76-47	Horacek, Jerry Lee	P	Р	P	F	1,2
78-390	Jones, Arvel Ray	P	F	F	F	1
76-34	Kehart, Richard William	P	Р	P	F	3
* 78-230	Morgan, Raymond Dale	P	P	Р	Р	1,2,3,4
78-276	Mouland, Dennis James	P	Р	Р	F	1,2,3
77-293	Murrey, David Howard	P	Р	F	F	
77-109	Muth, James Edward	Р	P	Р	F	2,3
78-346	Nykorchuk, David John	P	Р	Р	F	1,2,3
74-297	Payton, Donald Wayne	F	F	F	F	
71-281	Pidskalny, Robert Andrew	P	P	Р	F	
77-12	Prescott, Steven Reed	Р	Р	Р	F	
77-226	Rice, George William	P	F	P	F	3
77-57	Robberson, Allen Gregory	Р	F	Р	F	3
77-174	Sanchez, Carlos	P	F	F	F	1
72-628	Smith, Romain Harold	P	P	F	F	
*77-645	Stone, Dale Wayne	Р	P	Р	P	1,2, 4
78-148	Sturniolo, Fredrick J.	P	P	Р	F	1,2,3
* 76-194	Thornhill, James Dewey	Р	Р	Р	Р	4
69-77	Torres, Alfonso	Р	F	P	F	
77-119	Whitmer, Larry Kay	Р	Р	Р	F	1, 3
76-237	Wight, Dan Lynn	Р	P	Р	F	

### ENGINEER-IN-TRAINING

LINGTINEE	211 11112113				
78-149	Anderson, Peter Eric	F	78-230	Hvidsten, Mark Lee	P
78-199	Antonio, Joseph J.	F	*78-159	Jackson, James B.	P
*78-200	Arakaki, Gerald K.	P	78-181	Jacquemin, Christopher L	P
*78-150	Ash, Nancy Katherine	P	78-231	Johnson, Karl P.	P
76-8	Ballesteros, Louis C.	F	78-232	Johnson, Mark Howard	P
*78-174	Ballis, Robert James	P	78-233	Jones, Kermit	F
78-201	Barber, Dennis A.	P	78-234	Jones, Michael D.	P
78-208	Barnes, John Philip	P	78-235	Kahler, David R.	P
78-209	Barnes, John S.	P	*78-160	Keiser, Ronald Jeffrey	P
78-202	Baxter, James Robert	P	78-236	Kelly, George J.	P
78-210	Belshe, Mark Lawrence	P	78-180	Klensin, Barbaraann L.	Ė
*78-211	Blais, Russell V.	P	78-55	Krall, George W.	P
78-203	Bradford, Jon C.	P	78-161	Krosting, Ronald Roy	F
*78-40	Bunch, Michael John	P	78-237		P
78-212	Cagle, Donald Wayne	P	78-238	Kucko, Kenneth	P
78-205	Cannon, Lawrence D.	D		Lane, Richard	P
78-206		D	78-239	Laughlin, James T., Jr.	
	Cannon, Mark Russell	-	78-162	Lester, Billy Royce	F
78-39	Casper, Ronald James	F	78-240	Lewis, Wade E.	P
77-136	Cawood, Carl G.	P	78-241	Lorentz, David R.	P
78-265	Cole, Glen Charles	P	75-205	Luebkin, Dennis	F
*78-151	Collard, Leonard B.	2	78-36	Lyall, Albert Neil, III	F
*78-42	Collings, William E.	P	78-242	Lyon, Charles Crosby	P
78-153	Connell, Christopher V.	F	*77-131	Maldonado, Larry M.	P
*78-154	Crabb, David Hans	Р	*78-163	Martini, Albert D.	P
78-214	Cunningham, Thomas Glenn, Jr	Р	78-243	Meyer, Jama K.	P
77-14	Daily, Michael Dennis	F	78-182	Mills, Barbara Louise	P
78-207	Davis, Gregory	Р	78-244	Mills, Stephen A.	P
78-190	Davis, Robert Allen	P	77-142	Morreale, Antonio	F
78-155	DeCorse, Gretchen L.	P	78-164	Morton, Chester M.	P
78-176	Dentz, Alice H.	F	78-245	Neenan, James Joseph	P
78-215	Ehman, Zina Maria	P	78-165	Nickel, Patrick Brian	P
78-216	Ellefson, Chris S.	P	78-246	Palmer, James Stewart	P
*78-46	Emerson, Asher D.	P	77-132	Passarelli, Raymond J.	P
78-217	Esquibel, Ignacio B.	F	*78-192	Passmore, Clifford Alan	P
78-218	Euwema, Michael S.	P	*78-184	Paul, Clifford Robert	P
78-219	Evjen, Randolph M.	P	*77-23	Perez, Joe E.	P
78-156	Fairweather, Robert Kenneth	F	*78-193	Pierce, Raymond E.	P
78-220	Falletta, Robert	P	78-10	Rakkrathok, Somchet	F
78-263	Fitzsimonds, Michael R.	F	78-267		P
78-221	Genaux, Maia E.	P	78-247	Reed, Walter D.	P
78-178	Ginsburg, Claude William	P	78-248	Rieffer, Stephen	P
78-179	Grosvenor, Peter James	P	78-268	Riley, Henry W., Jr.	F
78-223	Hafker, Jon Stephen	P	78-249	Rockwell, Karl P.	P
78-224	Hanson, Johnnie L.	F	78-254	Rosoff, Henry	P
78-225	Hastings, Brian Lee	P		Budy Thomas	P
78-226	Havican, Patrick M.	P	78-67	Rudy, Thomas	P
78-177	Heckathorn, Lawrence John	P	78-255	Russell, Michael Edw.	P
78-227	Helmer, Paul Krez	P	78-250	Saathoff, Gary Dean	P
78-228		P	*78-194	Schebler, Steven P.	P
78-19	Henry, Thomas S.		78-251	Seubert, Stuart H.	P
	Hinderer, Grant Kelly	F	78-256	Sherman, Charles E.	P
78-157	Hlobil, Zena	P	78-252	Sherry, Robert William	P
78-191	Hofferber, John Edward	P	78-186	Shipione, Michael Jerome	
78-158	Holder, Michael D.	F	*78-257		14
78-229	Hosea, Thomas James	P	78-185	Sisley, Tina Marie	F
*78-9	Huber, Ronald D.	Р	*78-4	Small, George N.	P

#### ENGINEER-IN-TRAINING (Continued)

Smith. Jeffrey C.	Р
	P
	P
	P
	P
Stulak, Larry	F
Tadano, Richard Shiro	P
Teale, Johnny Lynn	P
Telson, Stanley A.	P
Turner, Paul Wesley	P
Vana, Bruce D.	F
Van Loo, E. Thompson	P
	F
Waller, Donald J.	P
	Р
	F
	F
	F
	P
	P
	P
1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	P
Wong, Yan Chun	P
	Tadano, Richard Shiro Teale, Johnny Lynn Telson, Stanley A. Turner, Paul Wesley Vana, Bruce D. Van Loo, E. Thompson Vyas, Manoj Navinchandra

#### GEOLOGIST-IN-TRAINING

*77-1	Bales, James Thomas	P
*78-2	Banks, Elizabeth Young	P
*78-3	Cordy, Gail E.	Р
*78-6	Kluth, Mary JoAnne	P
78-1	Murphy, Barbara Harrington	F
78-4	Niccoli, Mary Ann	F

It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the following applicants for professional registration, having completed the personal audience and all other requirements of this Board, be granted registration and assigned the registration numbers as indicated. Motion passed.

Bogott, Kent Gordon   12127   Bragg, Thomas Ingram   12128   McPherson, Dale Jon   12165   Briggs, Ronald Lee   12129   Broman, Ivan Lee   12130   Ochoa-Romero, Ramon B.   12168   Rausch, Robert Garald   12167   Choangero, Ramon B.   12168   Rausch, Robert Ralph   12169   Choa-Romero, Ramon B.   12168   Choanger Ralph   12169   Choa-Romero, Ramon B.   12169   Choa-Romero, Ramon B.   12168   Choanger Ralph   12169   Choan	ARCHITECTURE		CIVIL ENGINEERING (Contin	ued)
Posedly, Jane Hamilton Power, Barbara Joyce Roy, Arnold Saxton, Richard Earl Seats, Timothy Alan Solochek, Arlen Mark Territo, Vincent M. Walzer, Mitchell Barton Wilson, David Walter Wilson, James Marvin Windham, Scott Avery  CHEMICAL ENGINEERING Hammon, Lyndon Ray Stephens, Frank Anderson  CIVIL ENGINEERING Babcock, Joseph Andrew Bethell, John L. Bolduc, Stephen Louis Babcock, Mischael Owen Harabor, Minai Kory, Raymond Edward 12163  ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING Ames, Stephen Alexander Anathanarayanan, Balanarayanan,	Bragg, Thomas Ingram Briggs, Ronald Lee Broman, Ivan Lee Brower, Myron Riggs Canales, James Cosentino, Anthony P. Dercks, David William Felder, Larry Joe Froeb, Charles Frederick, I King, Myson Brian Larsen, Leon J. Lauser, Lawrence Charles Nielsen, Tim Richard	12128 12129 12130 12131 12132 12133 12134 12135 I 12136 12137 12138 12139 12140	McPherson, Dale Jon Meinhardt, Robert Gerald Ochoa-Romero, Ramon B. Rausch, Robert Ralph Reimer, Ronald Gene Saksena, Dhirendra K. Scoble, Francis Matthew Sebba, Jon Brigish Sublette, William Robert Techapinyawat, Sompote Wetz, Norman Harold	12166 12167 12168 12169 12170 12171 12172 12173 12174 12175 12176
Roy, Árnold Saxton, Richard Earl Saxton, Richard Earl Seats, Timothy Alan Solochek, Arlen Mark Territo, Vincent M. Walzer, Mitchell Barton Wilson, David Walter Wilson, James Marvin Windham, Scott Avery  CHEMICAL ENGINEERING Hammon, Lyndon Ray Stephens, Frank Anderson  CIVIL ENGINEERING Babcock, Joseph Andrew Babcock, Joseph Andrew Babcock, Joseph Andrew Babcock, Joseph Andrew Babcock, Joseph Louis Bolduc, Stephen Louis Balcock, Michael Owen CIVIL ENGINEERING Babcock, Michael Owen CIVIL Engineering Anathanarayanan, Balanarayanan, Balanarayanan Bone, Dale Hansel Davis, John Edward 12180 Demcko, John Andrew 12182 Hartig, Richard Nicholas Hartig, Richard Nicholas 12184 Holmerud, Gene Kelly, John Howard Kroese, Arthur Jerome McGlothin, Gerald Edward 12188 Pinter, Duane Leo 12189 Ward, John 12191  CIVIL ENGINEERING  GEOLOGICAL ENGINEERING  South, David L. 12192  HIGHWAY ENGINEERING Taylor, Allen Richard 12193  Taylor, Allen Richard 12193			ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING	
Babcock, Joseph Andrew Bethell, John L. Bolduc, Stephen Louis Daemen, Jaak Joseph K. Glancy, Michael Owen Harabor, Mihai Kimmel, Richard John Kory, Raymond Edward Langer, Larry Lewis Langer South, David L. Langer South, Langer South, Langer South, Lang	Roy, Arnold Saxton, Richard Earl Seats, Timothy Alan Solochek, Arlen Mark Territo, Vincent M. Walzer, Mitchell Barton Wilson, David Walter Wilson, James Marvin Windham, Scott Avery  CHEMICAL ENGINEERING Hammon, Lyndon Ray	12144 12145 12146 12147 12148 12149 12150 12151 12152	Anathanarayanan, Balan- arayanan Bone, Dale Hansel Davis, John Edward Demcko, John Andrew Hall, Esrie Sherman, Jr. Hartig, Richard Nicholas Holmerud, Gene Kelly, John Howard Kroese, Arthur Jerome McGlothin, Gerald Edward Pinter, Duane Leo Slick, David Peter	12179 12180 12181 12182 12183 12184 12185 12186 12187 12188 12189 12190
Bethell, John L. 12156 Bolduc, Stephen Louis 12157 Daemen, Jaak Joseph K. 12158 Glancy, Michael Owen 12159 Harabor, Mihai 12160 Kimmel, Richard John 12161 Kory, Raymond Edward 12162 Langer, Larry Lewis 12163	CIVIL ENGINEERING		GEOLOGICAL ENGINEERING	
Lester, John Stewart 12164 Continued	Bethell, John L. Bolduc, Stephen Louis Daemen, Jaak Joseph K. Glancy, Michael Owen Harabor, Mihai Kimmel, Richard John Kory, Raymond Edward Langer, Larry Lewis	12156 12157 12158 12159 12160 12161 12162 12163	HIGHWAY ENGINEERING Taylor, Allen Richard	
			Continued	

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING	
Cooper, Howard M. Gin, Donald M. Hero, John Richard, Jr. Shonerd, Wesley A. Skiles, Donnie Grugett Thrall, Patrick James Weakland, Bernard Harold, Jr. White, Noel Robinson	12194 12195 12196 12197 12198 12199 12200 12201
METALLURGICAL ENGINEERING	
Nelson, Roger Raymond	12202
SANITARY ENGINEERING	
Moore, Terry Lee Scoutten, Woodrow C., Jr.	12203 12204
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING	
Hess, Steven Alan McClanahan, Keith John Nelson, Fred Martin Parker, Barry Gale Zwickl, Keith Duane	12205 12206 12207 12208 12209
ASSAYING	
Schloatman, Marvin Dean	12210
GEOLOGY	
Langland, Leo Lee Scherffius, William Edward	12211 12212
LAND SURVEYING	
Andrews, Jeffrey Lynn Buchanan, Jack Alfred Carne, James Louis, Jr. Courtney, John Everett Morgan, Raymond Dale Stone, Dale Wayne Thornhill, James Dewey	12213 12214 12215 12216 12217 12218 12219

20

21

22

23

It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the following applicants for in-training registration, having satisfactorily completed the written examination, be granted in-training registration and assigned the in-training registration numbers as indicated. Motion carried.

#### ENGINEER-IN-TRAINING GEOLOGIST-IN-TRAINING 2024 Banks, Elizabeth Young Arakaki, Gerald K. Ash, Nancy Katherine 2025 Cordy, Gail E. Kluth, Mary Jo Anne Ballis, Robert James 2026 Blais, Russell V. 2027 Bales, James Thomas Bunch, Michael John 2028 2029 Collard, Leonard B. Collings, William E. 2030 Crabb, David Hans 2031 Emerson, Asher D. 2032 Jackson, James B. 2033 Keiser, Ronald Jeffrey 2034 2035 Maldonado, Larry M.

2036

2037

2038

2039 2040

2041

2042

2043 2044

2045

2046

2047

Martini, Albert D.

Pierce, Raymond E. Schebler, Steven P.

Small, George N.

Sterling, Carl D. Teale, Johnny Lynn

Van Loo, E. Thompson

White, Daniel Howard

Perez, Joe E.

Passmore, Clifford Alan

Shirvani-Kenareki, Grish

Paul, Clifford Robert

## REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FEBRUARY 1, 1979

The proposed revisions to the Rules accepted by the Board at its December 7, 1978 meeting and scheduled for a public hearing on March 2, 1979 were reviewed by Mr. Ronald, the Assistant Attorney General assigned to the Board. His comments and suggestions on the proposed changes were extensive. Because of these comments and suggestions (which envision considerable difficulty in gaining approval of the revisions as presented), it is recommended that the proposed Rule revisions accepted at the last Board meeting be withdrawn for additional study and review. It is further recommended that Rule R4-30-29 be considered for immediate revision, public hearing and adoption as follows:

#### R4-30-29. Renewal Fees

For renewal of a certificate of registration, if received prior to December 31 of each year (a certificate of registration not renewed prior to December 31 of each year will accrue a penalty of 10 per cent of each month or fraction of month delinquency), the following fees will be required:

- 1. Renewal as an Architect, Assayer, Engineer, Geologist, Landscape Architect, or Land Surveyor, ten FIFTEEN dollars; except that persons age 70 or older shall be exempt from the registration fee provided they have been registered in Arizona for ten consecutive years immediately prior to attaining the age of 70 or older.
- 2. In-Training certificates, none.

This renewal fee increase to fifteen dollars (with no accompanying increased in exam and miscellaneous fees) will result in an estimated budget deficit for 1979-80 of about \$14,000. A delay in the build-up of enforcement activity could reduce that deficit substantially. Alternatively, an increase of the renewal fee to \$17 would result in a balanced budget.

Respectfully submitted,

Earle M. Cassidy Executive Director

### State Board of Technical Registration

FOR

ARCHITECTS, ASSAYERS, ENGINEERS. GEOLOGISTS. LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS AND LAND SURVEYORS
1645 W. JEFFERSON, ROOM 318

PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007 (602) 255-4053

## SCHEDULE OF EXAMINATIONS APRIL 1979

#### I. SATURDAY, APRIL 7, 1979

Tempe, Arizona 7:30 A.M. Report to Room G150, Engineering Center, Arizona State University

Tucson, Arizona 7:30 A.M. Report to Room 103, Center for English as a Second Language (Bldg.23), University of Arizona

#### 8:00 A.M. - 12:00 NOON

Part 1 - In-Training Exams - E.I.T. and G.I.T.

Part 1 - Fundamentals of Engineering - All branches

Part 1 - Basic Geology

Part 1 - Fundamentals of Land Surveying

Part 5 - Comprehensive Engineering Design - Structural Engineers

#### 1:00 P.M. - 5:00 P.M.

Part 2 - In-Training Exams - E.I.T. and G.I.T.

Part 2 - Fundamentals of Engineering - All branches

Part 2 - Basic Geology

Part 2 - Fundamentals of Land Surveying

Part 6 - Structural Engineering Design

#### II. SUNDAY, APRIL 8, 1979

Tempe, Arizona 7:30 A.M. Report to Room G150, Engineering Center, Arizona State University

Tucson, Arizona 7:30 A.M. Report to Rooms 301 and 303, Civil Engineering (Bldg.72), University of Arizona

#### 8:00 A.M. - 12:00 NOON

Part 3 - Principles and Practices of Engineering - All branches

Part 3 - Applied Geology

Part 3 - Principles and Practices of Land Surveying

#### 1:00 P.M. - 5:00 P.M.

Part 4 - Principles and Practices of Engineering - All branches

Part 4 - Geological Problems

Part 4 - Land Surveying Legal Principles

#### MINUTES OF THE STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

MARCH 2, 1979

The regular quarter-annual meeting of the State Board of Technical Registration was called to order by Mark Edson, Chairman, at the University of Arizona, Education Building, Room 211, Tucson, Arizona on Friday, March 2, 1979 at 10:00 A.M.

#### PRESENT

Mark Edson, Chairman Rod Gomez, Vice-Chairman Wayne Earley Kemper Goodwin Rudy Jimenez John Trimble

#### ABSENT

Pat Porcarello, Secretary Sam Caruso Charles O'Bannon

Those present constituted a quorum.

It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Goodwin that the minutes of the special meeting of the Board held on February 2, 1979 be approved as presented. Motion carried.

#### REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

No report.

#### REPORT OF THE RULES AND BY-LAWS COMMITTEE

The public hearing for the adoption of the proposed change to Rule R4-30-29, Renewal Fees, was held at 10:15 A.M. There were no persons present to present statements, arguments or contentions, and there was no correspondence received regarding this proposed change in the rules.

It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Gomez that the proposed rule change to Rule R4-30-29, Renewal Fees, as shown on Minute Page 4153 be adopted subject to certification by the Attorney General. Motion carried.

Mr. Trimble then presented to the Board the proposed By-Laws changes in the report shown on Minute Page 4154.

It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Earley that Section I, 4 be revised to change "June" to "September", but to eliminate the proposed change as shown by the sentence underlined. Motion passed.

Mr. Trimble moved that Section II, 1 and Section II, 4 be revised as shown in the report on Minute Page 4154. Mr. Earley seconded the motion; motion carried.

Mr. Trimble moved that Section IV be revised to change "March" to "December" in the second sentence. Mr. Earley seconded this motion. Motion carried.

#### REPORT OF THE EVALUATION AND EXAMINATION COMMITTEES

#### Architectural Committee Report

Mr. Goodwin, Chairman, presented the Report of the Architectural Evaluation Committee shown on Minute Pages 4155 and 4156.

- 1. Mr. Goodwin moved that Item 1 of this report be approved and implemented by the Board. Mr. Earley seconded this motion. Motion carried.
- 2. Mr. Goodwin moved and Mr. Trimble seconded that Item 2 be approved and implemented by the Board. Motion carried.
- 3. Rosen, Sheldon Douglas, 78-295 It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Gomez that Mr. Rosen's application be referred back to the Architectural Evaluation Committee for further determination of his qualifications by oral examination and review of his architectural design projects. Motion carried.
- 4. It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Trimble that Item 4 of the Architectural Evaluation Committee report be accepted and implemented by the Board. Motion passed.

#### Qualifying Test

It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Gomez that applicants for registration who are not graduates of an accredited architectural program be required to pass the Qualifying Test before being allowed to take Section B of the Professional Examination. Motion passed unanimously.

#### Experience Satisfactory to the Board

It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Gomez that Appendix B of the NCARB Circular of Information No. 1, 1978, be adopted by the Board as its basic criteria for architectural experience "of a character satisfactory to the Board". Motion carried.

#### NCARB and WCARB Conventions

Mr. Earley made a motion to approve the delegates nominated and authorize out-of-state travel funds to these conventions. Mr. Gomez seconded the motion; motion carried.

NCARB June 20-23, 1979, Cambridge, Mass. F.Mark Edson/Earle Cassidy WCARB March 15-17, 1979, Salt Lake City F.Mark Edson/Kemper Goodwin

Technical Registration Board vs. Amlee, Richard E. (Complaint 78-007)

It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Gomez that this file be closed. Motion carried.

#### Engineering Committee Report

The Engineering Evaluation Committee Report shown as Minute Pages 4157-4162 was presented to the Board by Mr. Gomez.

- 1. Mr. Gomez moved that Item 1 of the report be accepted and implemented by the Board. Mr. Jimenez seconded this motion; motion carried.
- It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Earley that the applicants listed in Item 2 of the Engineering report be held for examination with the exception of those who had requested reconsideration of the Committee's recommendation; namely, Jack M. Geller, Jan L. Hunsaker, and Kenneth King Isaacson. Motion carried.
- 3. It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the two applicants listed in Item 3 of the report be denied and given refunds as recommended. Motion carried.
- 4. It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the applicants listed in Item 4 of the report be approved for registration. Motion carried.
- 5. <u>Lacandola, Ruben Y</u>. Civil Engineer 78-439, Land Surveyor 78-440 It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the Committee's recommendation be approved and that Mr. Lacandola be approved for registration. Motion carried.
- 6. Boren, Ervin Lester 76-291, Highway Engineer
  It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Goodwin that the recommendations of the Committee shown in Item 6 of the report be approved by the Board. Motion carried.

#### 7. Highway Engineering Branch

It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Goodwin that the Committee's recommendation be adopted to eliminate the branch of Highway Engineering. Motion carried. This matter was referred to Rules and By-Laws Committee for preparation of the Rule change involved.

#### 8. <u>Geology Examinations</u>

It was moved by Mr. Gomez moved and Mr. Trimble seconded the acceptance of the Committee's recommendation as shown in Item 8 of Minute Page 4161 regarding the Geology Examinations. Motion carried.

#### 9. Registration of Hydrologists

It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Jimenez that the Committee's recommendation be approved. Motion carried.

#### 10. Committee Policies

It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Goodwin that the Committee's recommendation shown as Item 10 of the report be approved as presented with the words "to the Board" added under A.(1) after experience. Motion carried.

#### Engineering Committee Report (Continued)

#### 11. NCEE and WESZONE Conventions

It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the Board approve the expenditure of out-of-state travel funds to send delegates as indicated to the following meetings of the NATIONAL COUNCIL OF ENGINEERING EXAMINERS:

Western Zone, Santa Fe, New Mexico May 6-8, 1979

Rod J. Gomez Charles E. O'Bannon

NCEE Annual Meeting, Norfolk, Virginia Rudolph A. Jimenez August 5-8, 1979 Earle M. Cassidy or John B. Trimble

#### 12. Complaints

Renner & Staton

It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Jimenez that this file be closed and that all parties be so notified. Motion carried.

Golder Associates, Inc.
It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Gomez that this file be closed and that all parties be so notified. Motion carried.

French vs. Havill and French vs. Mathein
It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Gomez that these complaints be dismissed for lack of evidence of misconduct on the parts of Havill and Mathein, and that all parties be so notified. Motion carried.

#### Landscape Architectural Committee Report

The Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee Report shown on Minute Page 4163 was presented to the Board by Mr. Earley, Chairman.

It was moved by Mr. Earley and seconded by Mr. Goodwin that the recommendation regarding Mr. Rohr be approved and implemented by the Board. Motion carried.

DENIALS (Shown on Minute Page 4164.)

GRANTED LIST (Shown on Minute Pages 4165-4167.)

#### REPORT OF LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

Dr. Jimenez discussed the current status of several legislative bills affecting registration.

#### REPORT OF PUBLIC INFORMATION COMMITTEE

No report.

#### REPORT OF BUDGET COMMITTEE

No report.

#### REPORT OF EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Mr. Cassidy presented the report shown as Minute Page 4168. Cash Flow Report is shown as Minute Page 4169.

#### HUNSAKER, JAN L. 78-722, Mechanical Engineer

Mr. Hunsaker appeared before the Board to appeal the Committee's recommendation to hold him for examination. After hearing Mr. Hunsaker's appeal, it was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Dr. Jimenez that the recommendation of the Engineering Evaluation Committee be upheld and that Mr. Hunsaker be held for examination, Parts 3 and 4 of Mechanical Engineering.

#### READING OF COMMUNICATIONS

Ahmed, Moizuddin, 78-433 Mr. Ahmed requested reconsideration of the Board's decision to hold him for Parts 3 and 4 of the Civil Engineering examination. Mr. Gomez was excused from the discussion of this question. It was moved by Mr. Trimble that the Board's decision be upheld and that Mr. Ahmed be held for examination, Parts 3 and 4 of the Civil Engineering examination. The motion was seconded by Mr. Goodwin. Mr. Gomez abstained from voting. Motion passed.

Isaacson, Kenneth K. 78-642 Land Surveyor. Mr. Isaacson requested reconsideration of the Board's policy that concurrent work experience is not applicable toward multiple registration requirements and that his work experience for surveyor registration also be allowed as work experience for civil engineer registration. Mr. Gomez moved that the request be denied. The motion was seconded by Dr. Jimenez. Motion carried.

Geller, Jack M., 78-626, Mechanical Engineer. Mr. Geller requested reconsideration of the Committee's recommendation to hold him for Parts 3 and 4 of the Mechanical Engineering examination. It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Dr. Jimenez that this request be denied and that Mr. Geller be held for examination as recommended. Motion carried.

Berkovitz, Barry Charles 78-372, Civil Engineer. Mr. Berkovitz requested that he be allowed to review his November 1978 examinations. It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Dr. Jimenez that Mr. Berkovitz' request be granted. Motion carried.

It was moved by Mr. Gomez and seconded by Dr. Jimenez that requests for review of failed examinations be done by the Executive Director or the Exam Committees as appropriate at the office of the Board. Motion passed.

#### NEW BUSINESS

The Schedules of Examinations as shown on Minute Pages 4170 and 4171 was approved by the Board in the passing of a motion made by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Goodwin.

#### Meeting Dates

It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Gomez that the 1979 Schedule of Meetings shown on Minute Page 4172 be approved. Motion carried.

#### ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 3:12 P.M.

F. Mark Edson, Chairman

Patrick H. Porcarello, Secretary

#### ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

#### PROPOSED RULE

Pursuant to A.R.S.§32-106 the State Board of Technical Registration proposes to adopt a rule, similar in substance, terms and conditions to the wording of the following:

Part 1. Section R4-30-29 is amended to read as follows:

#### R4-30-29. Renewal Fees

For renewal of a certificate of registration, if received prior to December 31st of each year (a certificate of registration not renewed prior to December 31st of each year will accrue a penalty of 10 per cent of each month or fraction of month delinquency), the following fees will be required:

- 1. Renewal as an Architect, Assayer, Engineer, Geologist, Landscape Architect, or Land Surveyor, tem SEVENTEEN dollars; except that persons age 70 or older shall be exempt from the registration fee provided they have been registered in Arizona for ten consecutive years immediately prior to attaining the age of 70 or older.
- 2. In-Training certificates, none.

February 22, 1979

T0:

State Board of Technical Registration

FROM:

Rules and By-Laws Committee

SUBJECT:

Proposed Changes to By-Laws

The Rules and By-Laws Committee proposes the following revisions to the By-Laws, adopted July 15, 1977:

Section I,4

At the regular meeting of the Board in June

September of each year the Board shall elect...

at the close of this meeting. In the event that
the newly elected chairman and vice chairman term
of the board has expired and they have not been
officially notified of their re-appointment, the
senior member of the board will assume the duties
of the chairman until the elected chairman and/or
vice chairman are re-appointed. The chairman
shall, as soon thereafter as.....

Section II,1 (Repeal second sentence beginning, "All claims...)

Section II,4

(Adopt as second sentence)

All claims shall be signed by the Executive Director or the Secretary and one additional officer of the

Board.

Section IV

(Change "March" to "December" in second sentence)

These revisions were presented to the Board on December 7, 1978, and were deferred by the Board.

Respectfully submitted,

John B. Trimble, Chairman

okn B. Tumble

February 15, 1979

TO:

State Board of Technical Registration

FROM:

Architectural Evaluation Committee

SUBJECT:

Evaluation of Applicants

The Architectural Evaluation Committee met January 12, 1979 and February 9, 1979 in Phoenix, Arizona with the following members present at both meetings:

F. Mark Edson Kemper Goodwin

There being a quorum present at all times, the Committee reviewed the following applicants and makes to the Board the recommendations shown regarding registration in Arizona.

1. The following applicants, having appeared before the Committee and satisfied the Committee that they are fully qualified (including the treatise on seismic forces) to receive architectural registration in Arizona under ARS 32-123.A and ARS 32-126, are hereby recommended for registration:

Adams, Harold Lynn	78-577	Mayeux, Paul Elliott	78-641
Baxter, Dale Wilcox	78-653	McGarrity, Jack Johnston	78-474
Bolles, Peter Piper	79-59	Nelson, Richard Dubois (Jr.	)78-652
Crabb, James David	78-611	Russell, George W.	79-57
Curtis, John F. (Jr.)	78-655	Sanchez, Gilbert Arnold	79-32
DeLaMare, Winter L.	78-639	Schmidt, Richard Karl	78-687
Dvorak, Robert W.	78-473	Sharp, Richard Giles	78-614
Frew, Stephen Richard	78-728	Smith, Joseph Earl (III)	79-33
Geddes, Donald Cutler	78-656	Staniunas, Joseph Paul	78-609
Graves, Jack (Jr.)	79-29	Stauffer, Randolph Jay	78-686
Heidebrecht, Kenneth Dean	79-60	Taliaferro, Francis Tournie	r78-580
Jennings, Richard Wayne	78-729	Tylka, Stephen J.	78-616
Kossak, Krzysztof J.	79-101	Woodruff, Eugene J.	78-640

2. The following applicant appeared before the Committee, and it was determined that his application should be denied for lack of experience of a character satisfactory to the Board under ARS 32-122.A, with a refund as shown:

Kilbane, Terry Lee

78-651

\$10.00

3. The following applicant appeared before the Committee, and the Committee refers his application for a full Board review:

Rosen, Sheldon Douglas

78-295

continued...

4. The following applicants, having appeared before the Committee for a personal audience interview, need demonstration of additional evidence of their proficiency (ARS 32-123.B), and it is recommended to the Board that these individuals be held for written examinations in June 1979:

de la Torre, Jorge Miguel	78-612	Prof. Exam	, Sect.A - Design
Kellock, George	78-684	Prof. Exam	, Sect.A - Design
Reece, Michael Eugene	78-608	Prof. Exam	, Sect.A - Design
Smith, Ronald Wilmer	78-615	Prof. Exam	, Sect.A - Design
Tudan, Jonathan Alan	78-548	Prof. Exam	, Sect.A - Design

The Committee recommends that Items 1 through 4 of this report be accepted and implemented.

#### QUALIFYING TEST

The Committee recommends that applicants for registration who are not graduates of an accredited architectural program be required to pass the Qualifying Test before being allowed to take the Professional Examination.

#### EXPERIENCE SATISFACTORY TO THE BOARD

The Committee recommends that Appendix B of the NCARB Circular of Information No. 1, 1978, be adopted by the Board as its basic criteria for architectural experience "of a character satisfactory to the Board".

#### NCARB and WESCARB CONVENTIONS

The Committee nominates delegates to the conventions as shown and recommends that out-of-state travel funds be authorized:

NCARB	June 2	20-23,	1979,	Cambridge,	Mass.	F.	Mark	Edson	
WCARB	March	15-17,	1979,	Salt Lake	City	F.	Mark	Edson/Kemper	Goodwin

#### COMPLAINT

#### Technical Registration Board vs. Amlee, Richard E.

The Committee recommends to the Board that this complaint file be closed, since Mr. Amlee has ceased operations.

Respectfully submitted,

Kemper Goodwin, Chairman

TO:

State Board of Technical Registration

FROM:

Engineering Evaluation Committee

SUBJECT:

Evaluation of Applicants

The Engineering Evaluation Committee met January 18-19, 1979 and February 15-16, 1979 in Phoenix, Arizona with the following members present:

January 18, 1979	Sam Caruso, Charles E. O'Bannon
January 19, 1979	Rod J. Gomez, Charles E. O'Bannon
February 15, 1979	Rudy A. Jimenez, John B. Trimble
February 16, 1979	Rod J. Gomez, John B. Trimble

There being a quorum present at all times, the Committee reviewed the following applicants and makes to the Board the recommendations shown regarding registration in Arizona.

1. The following applicants, having appeared before the Committee and satisfied the Committee that they are fully qualified to receive registration in Arizona under ARS32-123.A and ARS32-126, are hereby recommended for registration:

#### CIVIL ENGINEERING

Asaud, Samuel Bergman, Robert Allen Bossert, Ralph Orland Creager, Michael Alex Duren, Fred Kenneth, Jr. Evans, David Roland Faulkner, Larry Reid Flint, Julian Winston Gery, John Raymond Gookin, Thomas Allen Jaudon Hanford, Richard Warren, Jr. Hermann, William Anthony Harvey, David Bennett Hirniak, Bohdan Paul Huff, Clark Kern Leslie, Phylip John Little, Douglas Ray Lynch, John B. Marvin, William Lawrence McCabe, Edward M.	78-710 78-597 78-597 78-562 78-561 79-16 79-2 78-694 78-704 78-621 78-590 78-703 78-635 78-618 78-622 78-587 79-8 78-362 78-599 78-689 79-65	Mickelson, Robert Paul Miller, William Ernest Nall, Kenneth L. Nicol, Joseph Christian Novak, Robert L. Paffendorf, James A. Parrott, Robert C. Payne, William Edward, Jr. Reyes, Jaime Rugaard, Lawrence C. Russell, George W. Sain, Charles Haskell Scianni, Richard Allen Sever, Bert William Shervington, Roger Alan Smith, Joseph Earl, III Stovall, Jack Lee Vasatka, Richard James Wengenroth, Reece H. Whitmer, Arthur H.	78-706 78-556 78-570 78-700 78-572 78-661 78-632 78-575 78-594 78-415 78-677 78-678 79-14 78-356 78-356 78-679 78-679 78-674 78-636 79-75
McNutt, James Edward	78-535	Zimmerman, Robert Maurice	
		Kolker, Robert Jack	78-524

continued...

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING		STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING	
Dull, Charles Bernerd, Jr. Fanning, Thorley L.	78-585 78-604	Hammond, Thomas Elliott	78-702
Fitzgerald, Edwin Michael Foote, Paul R. Hsu, James Chi Hwang, Kai-Shiu Kell Lemmon, George Newton	78-720 78-605 78-648 79-58 78-606	Lindskog, Edward Nour, Ramez William Vasatka, Richard James GEOLOGY	79-6 78-468 78-675
Lundquist, Thomas George Lutsch, Gene Charles Radnoti, Thomas O. Steiner, Sheldon Miles	79-61 78-602 78-682 78-610	Duren, Fred Kenneth, Jr.  LAND SURVEYING	79-15
Theodore, George James Zeiger, Ronald Dean MECHANICAL ENGINEERING	78-669 78-650	Bossert, Ralph Orland Dalrymple, Hugh Andrew Elam, Lynn Ray Fish, Robert James	78-561 78-554 79-20 79-44
Comstock, Ralph James Faber, Kaare Olav Jackola, Thor A. Menendez, Carlos Ramon Mora, Raymond Frank Tang, William	78-428 78-665 78-627 78-638 78-477 78-629	Kleinke, Frank Elliott Leslie, Phylip John Taft, Howard William Vincent, Lawrence Wilburn, Harold Allen	78-620 79-7 78-688 78-672 79-11

2. The following applicants, having appeared before the Committee for a personal audience interview, need demonstration of additional evidence of their proficiency (ARS32-123.B), and it is recommended to the Board that these individuals be held for the written examinations in April 1979 as indicated:

#### CIVIL ENGINEERING

OTVIL LIMITELIMA		
Braunstein, Paul Eric		Parts 3 & 4
Clemmens, Albert Jonathan	78-714	Parts 3 & 4
Danelowitz, Mark Xavier	78-697	Parts 1,2,3,4
DiSanza, Edmund Frank	78-691	Parts 3 & 4
Fisher, Deborah Williams	79-49	Parts 3 & 4
Gahl, Robert Allen	78-558	Parts 3 & 4
Gasper, Jerone Gerald	79-4	Parts 3 & 4
Graves, Albert Lynn	78-498	Parts 3 & 4
Higgins, William J.	78-690	Parts 3 & 4
Inti, Ramakrishna	78-557	Parts 3 & 4
Kitt, John Andrew	78-663	Parts 3 & 4
Koenekamp, Thomas Maurice	78-645	Parts 3 & 4
Larchick, Robert Emil	78-712	Parts 3 & 4
Liebendorfer, Paul John	79-37	Parts 3 & 4
Maish, Charlie A.	78-232	Parts 1,2,3,4
Mihalek, Jay Edward	78-634	Parts 3 & 4
Nassi, Richard B.	78-576	Parts 1,2,3,4
Offenburger, Neil Ronald	78-591	Parts 1,2,3,4
Rice, Robert Reven	78-643	
Schwindt, Jon Clark	78-713	Parts 3 & 4
Shuler, Thomas Scott	78-673	Parts 3 & 4

CIVIL ENGINEERING (continued	)	
Sonnenklar, Adam Eric Thon, Curtis Lee Tychowski, Christopher Roman Wright, William Anthony Young, Robert Charles Zwickl, Keith Duane	78-573 78-701 79-5 78-345 78-644 79-34	Parts 1,2,3,4 Parts 3 & 4 Parts 3 & 4 Parts 1,2,3,4 Parts 3 & 4 Parts 3 & 4
ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING		
Barrett, Brian Bernard Bloomfield, A. Kent Brown, Maurice Churchill Daemmer, Johnathan Eric DeCorse, Charles F. Moreaux, Francis J. Pearson, Jaryl Michael Plumb, David Carlton Sharp, Thomas Allen Voss, George W.	79-53 78-664 78-625 78-719 78-537 78-442 78-724 78-667 78-696 79-27	Parts 3 & 4 Parts 1,2,3,4 Parts 1,2,3,4 Parts 3 & 4 Parts 1,2,3,4 Parts 3 & 4
MECHANICAL ENGINEERING		
Basu, Amiya Behee, Ronald Dennis Furry, James Leroy Geller, Jack M. Humphrey, David Michael Hunsaker, Jan L. Kulkarni, Yashavant Vishnu Lusby, Ralph William, Jr. Mann, David Archie Mattison, Jeffrey R. Peterson, Mark Jon Ringger, Hans R. Simko, William Michael Stuart, Curtiss Nolan Vroom, David Henry	78-717 79-55 79-24 78-626 78-721 78-722 78-666 79-54 79-28 78-607 78-628 79-26 78-726 78-351 78-670	Parts 3 & 4 Parts 1,2,3,4 Parts 3 & 4 Parts 1,2,3,4
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING		
Lewis, James Walter Paffendorf, James A. Whalin, Clarence H. Whitmer, Arthur H.	78-692 78-662 79-9 79-74	Parts 5 & 6 Parts 5 & 6 Parts 5 & 6 Parts 5 & 6
GEOLOGICAL ENGINEERING Visca, Paul Joseph	78-693	Parts 3 & 4
risca, raui ousepii	70-093	rarts 3 & 4

#### LAND SURVEYING

Burer, Alfred Earl	78-716	Parts 1,2,3,4
Isaacson, Kenneth King	78-642	Parts 1,2,3,4
Knight, Jerrold E.	79-22	Parts 1,2,3,4
Pashen, Peter John	79-36	Parts 3 & 4
Sullivan, Michael Keith	79-63	Parts 1,2,3,4
Wisner, Norman Walter	78-715	Parts 1,2,3,4

3. The following applicants appeared before the Committee, and it was determined that their applications should be denied for lack of experience of a character satisfactory to the Board under ARS32-122.A with the refunds as shown:

Branham, Phillip Edmond 78-624 Electrical Engineer \$10.00 Yankovich, Catherine Francisca 78-589, Geologist \$10.00

4. The following applicants have completed all requirements for registration as Engineers-in-Training and are recommended to the Board for registration:

Alexander, Douglas E.	78-77	Orlowski, John Michael	78-18
Allison, Craig	77-43	Owen, Thomas Charles	78-60
Anastopoulos, Perry	78-7	Rauscher, John Alfred	78-86
Clester, Donald Michael	78-41	Sherman, Charles E.	78-256
Daniels, Brian L.	78-43	Smith, Stephen Hall	78-13
Gonzales, Steven Charles	78-49	Sutton, Thomas W., Jr.	78-123
Isaacson, Kenneth K.	76-17	Tom, Jeffrey J.	78-28
Lowry, William Edward	78-122		

5. LACANDOLA, RUBEN Y. 78-439, Civil Engineer LACANDOLA, RUBEN Y. 78-440, Land Surveyor

Based upon new documentation received, the Committee recommends that the Board reconsider its previous action (see Board Minute Pages 4113 & 4114) and that the Board now grant registration to Mr. Lacandola based upon previous registration in the Philippines by written examination.

6. BOREN, ERVIN LESTER 76-291, Highway Engineer

The Committee, upon review of the examination scores, recommends denial of reconsideration of the examination grades for Parts 3 & 4 of the November 1978 Highway Engineering Examination. The Committee recommends that Mr. Boren be allowed to review the subject exams in the presence of the Executive Director in the office of the Board.

#### 7. HIGHWAY ENGINEERING BRANCH

The Committee recommends that a Rule change be considered to eliminate the branch of Highway Engineer. The NCEE exams for Civil Engineers contain a selection of highway and traffic problems sufficient to accommodate the highway specialty. No local exams would be required after this change. Dr. Jimenez has discussed the matter with the Department of Transportation; they see no real need to continue the Highway Engineering branch.

continued...

#### 8. GEOLOGY EXAMINATIONS

The Committee met with representatives of the professional geology community on February 15, 1979. Based upon previous letters from this group and the subject meeting, the Committee recommends that the Board accept the offer by the geologists (as represented by the Association of Professional Geological Scientists) to (1) provide the Board with current information on registration practices for geologists in other states, and (2) assist the Board in the preparation of a variety of suitable examination questions for use in the professional examination (Parts 3 & 4).

#### 9. REGISTRATION OF HYDROLOGISTS

Hydrologists currently register in Arizona as geologists or civil engineers. The Arizona Section, American Water Resources Association, is proposing that a separate registration for hydrologists be considered. The Committee recommends that the Association be advised that (1) a branch of hydrological engineering would not be considered by the Board under Rule R4-30-01 E., since it is not a major branch of engineering included in the college curricula approved by the Board, and (2) a category of registration known as Hydrologist would have to be established by the legislature through a revision of the Technical Registration Act, based upon testimony of need developed by the community of hydrologists and the general public.

#### 10. COMMITTEE POLICIES

In order to have full Board support and to assure consistency among the full membership of the Committee, the following policies are hereby formalized and recommended for the guidance of the Engineering Evaluation Committee:

A. Waiver of Examination, Parts 1 and 2

(1) Waive Parts 1 and 2 if applicant has an engineering (geology)
degree and has more than twenty years of satisfactory experience
in the category in which registration is desired.

(2) Don't waiven for non graduates, regardless of experience

Board \*

(2) Don't waiver for non-graduates, regardless of experience.

(3) Don't waiver because of advanced degrees.

B. Evaluation of education and experience when in different branches.

- Applicant whose educational program is in a branch area different from his work experience (and his registration application branch) will receive reduced credit for his education time.
- (2) Evaluation of education credit to be allowed in these instances will be made by the Committee upon full review of the applicant's file.

#### 11. NCEE and WESZONE CONVENTIONS

The Committee recommends that the Board approve the expenditure of out-of-state travel funds to send two delegates as indicated to the following meetings of the NATIONAL COUNCIL OF ENGINEERING EXAMINERS:

Western Zone, Santa Fe, New Mexico May 6-8, 1979 Rod J. Gomez Charles E. O'Bannon

<sup>\*</sup> Added by Board action. See Minute Page 4149, Item 10

NCEE Annual Meeting, Norfolk, Virginia August 5-8, 1979

Rudolph A. Jimenez Earle M. Cassidy or John B. Trimble

#### 12. COMPLAINTS

Technical Registration Board vs. Renner & Staton After reviewing the correspondence in this file, the Committee believes the corrective actions taken by the parties involved will comply with the requirements of the Technical Registration Act and recommends that no further action be taken by the Board and that all parties in this matter be so notified.

Technical Registration Board vs. Golder Associates, Inc. After reviewing the correspondence in this file, the Committee believes the corrective actions taken by the parties involved will comply with the requirements of the Technical Registration Act and recommends that no further action be taken by the Board and that all parties in this matter be so notified.

French vs. Havill and French vs. Mathein After reviewing the complaints and affidavits filed by Mr. French and the responses filed by Messrs. Havill and Mathein, the Committee recommends that the Board dismiss the complaints for lack of evidence of misconduct on the part of Havill or Mathein. It is believed that the allegations made involve such contractual items as fees for services and ownership of engineering drawings, all of which should be settled by mutual agreement between the parties involved. Notification of the Board's action to all concerned is also recommended.

Respectfully submitted,

Sam Caruso, Chairman

February 21, 1979

T0:

State Board of Technical Registration

FROM:

Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee

SUBJECT:

Evaluation of Applicants

The Landscape Architectural Evaluation Committee met February 16, 1979 in Phoenix, Arizona with the following members present:

Wayne O. Earley Rod J. Gomez John B. Trimble

The Committee reviewed the following applicant in a personal audience interview and found that additional evidence of his proficiency is needed (ARS32-123.b); therefore, the Committee recommends to the Board that he be held for the written examinations in June 1979 as indicated:

Rohr, Alfred F.

78-683

Exams A-D

The Committee recommends to the Board that the recommendation above be accepted and implemented.

Respectfully submitted,

Wayne O. Earley, Chairman

#### DENIALS

It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Dr. Jimenez that the following applicants be denied with neither prejudice nor refund at their own request: Motion passed.

Lamb,	Charles Edwin	78-578	Architect
Lynn,	Michael John	78-412	Architect
Jehn,	Joseph A.	78-595	Civil Engineer

It was moved by Dr. Jimenez and seconded by Mr. Goodwin that the following applicants be denied for lack of experience of a character satisfactory to the Board under A.R.S. 32-122.A, with a refund as shown. Motion carried.

Branham, Phillip Edmond	78-624	Electrical	Engineer	\$10.00
Kilbane, Terry Lee	78-651	Architect	The state of the s	\$10.00
Yankovich, Catherine F.	78-589	Geologist		\$10.00

It was moved by Mr. Goodwin and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the following applicants be denied for failure to complete the requirements of the Board within a reasonable length of time. Motion carried.

Rashkin, Samuel D.	78-510	Architect
Mochon, Clinton	77-633	Architect

It was moved by Mr. Trimble and seconded by Mr. Goodwin that the following applicants for professional registration, having completed the personal audience and all other requirements of this Board, be granted registration and assigned the registration numbers as indicated. Motion carried.

ARCHITECTURE		CIVIL ENGINEERING (Continued)				
Adams, Harold Lynn Baxter, Dale Wilcox Bolles, Peter Piper Crabb, James David Curtis, John F., Jr.	12220 12221 12222 12223 12224	Harvey, David Bennett Hirniak, Bohdan Paul Huff, Clark Kern Lacandola, Ruben Y	12258 12259 12260 12261			
DeLaMare, Winter L. Dvorak, Robert W. Frew, Stephen Richard Geddes, Donald Cutler Graves, Jack, Jr.	12225 12226 12227 12228 12229	Leslie, Phylip John Little, Douglas Ray Lynch, John B. Marvin, William Lawrence	12262 12263 12264 12265			
Heidebrecht, Kenneth Dean Jennings, Richard Wayne Kossak, Drzysztof J.	12230 12231 12232	McCabe, Edward M. McNutt, James Edward Mickelson, Robert Paul Miller, William Ernest	12266 12267 12268 12269			
Mayeaux, Paul Elliott McGarrity, Jack Johnston Nelson, Richard Dubois, Jr. Russell, George W.	12233 12234 12235 12236	Nall, Kenneth L. Nicol, Joseph Christian Novak, Robert L.	12270 12271 12272			
Sanchez, Gilbert Arnold Schmidt, Richard Karl Sharp, Richard Giles Smith, Joseph Earl, III Staniunas, Joseph Paul	12237 12238 12239 12240 12241	Paffendorf, James A. Parrott, Robert C. Payne, William Edward, Jr. Reyes, Jaime Rugaard, Lawrence C. Russell, George W.	12273 12274 12275 12276 12277 12278			
Stauffer, Randolph Jay Taliaferro, Francis Tournier Tylka, Stephen J. Woodruff, Eugene J.	12242 12243 12244 12245	Sain, Charles Haskell Scianni, Richard Allen Sever, Bert William Shervington, Roger Alan Smith, Joseph Earl III Stovall, Jack Lee	12279 12280 12281 12282 12283 12284			
Asaud, Samuel Bergman, Robert Allen Bossert, Ralph Orland Creager, Michael Alex Duren, Fred Kenneth, Jr. Evans, David Roland	12246 12247 12248 12249 12250 12251	Vasatka, Richard James Wengenroth, Reece H. Whitmer, Arthur H. Zimmerman, Robert Maurice Kolker, Robert Jack	12285 12286 12287 12288 12309			
Faulkner, Larry Reid Flint, Julian Winston Gery, John Raymond Gookin, Thomas Allen Jaudon Hanford, Richard Warren, Jr.	12252 12253 12254 12255 12256					

12257

Hermann, William Anthony

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING		LAND SURVEYING
Dull, Charles Bernerd, Jr. Fanning, Thorley L. Fitzgerald, Edwin Michael Foote, Paul R. Hsu, James Chi Hwang, Kai-Shiu Kell Lemmon, George Newton Lundquist, Thomas George	12289 12290 12291 12292 12293 12294 12295 12296	Bossert, Ralph Orland Dalrymple, Hugh Andrew Elam, Lynn Ray Fish, Robert James Kleinke, Frank Elliott Lacandola, Ruben Y. Leslie, Phylip John Taft, Howard William Vincent, Lawrence
Lutsch, Gene Charles Radnoti, Thomas O. Steiner, Sheldon Miles Theodore, George James Zeiger, Ronald Dean	12297 12298 12299 12300 12301	Wilburn, Harold Allen
MECHANICAL ENGINEERING		
Comstock, Ralph James Faber, Kaare Olav Jackola, Thor A.	12302 12303 12304	
Menendez, Carlos Ramon Mora, Raymond Frank Tang, William	12305 12306 12307	
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING		
Hammond, Thomas Elliott	12308	
Lindskog, Edward Nour, Ramez William Vasatka, Richard James	12310 12311 12312	
GEOLOGY	12.00	
Duren, Fred Kenneth, Jr.	12313	

It was moved by Dr. Jimenez and seconded by Mr. Trimble that the following applicants for in-training registration, having satisfactorily completed the written examination, be granted in-training registration and assigned the in-training registration numbers as indicated. Motion carried.

#### ENGINEER-IN-TRAINING

Alexander, Douglas E. Allison, Craig Anastopoulos, Perry Clester, Donald Michael Daniels, Brian L. Gonzales, Steven Charles Huber, Ronald D. Isaacson, Kenneth K. Lowry, William Edward	2048 2049 2050 2051 2052 2053 2054 2055 2056	
Orlowski, John Michael Owen, Thomas Charles Rauscher, John Alfred Sherman, Charles E.	2057 2058 2059 2060	
Smith, Stephen Hall Sutton, Thomas W., Jr. Tom, Jeffrey J.	2061 2062 2063	

#### REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Substantial changes to the Technical Registration Act are proposed in H.B. 2364 (copies were sent to all members of the Board on February 9, 1979). With the Sunset Review now in process a consensus opinion is that the provisions of H.B. 2364 should be considered along with all the other facts and testimony now being gathered for submittal to legislative hearings in September 1979.

A review of the Technical Registration Act by the Legislative Committee in preparation for these September 1979 hearings might be timely and worthwhile during the coming months.

Members of the Auditor General's staff continue to meet with the Board and the staff and to examine the office records in detail as they prepare their performance audit report as required by the Sunset Review process.

The NCEE Professionalism and Ethics Committee held a meeting in Scottsdale, February 17-18, 1979. The Director attended a portion of the sessions and welcomed the Committee to Arizona on behalf of the Board.

The June exams to be given by Arizona for Architects and Landscape Architects are scheduled for two days (the same as 1978). NCARB and CLARB recommend three days, the same as they recommended last year.

Respectfully submitted,

Earle M. Cassidy, Executive Director

Ehr Carridy

February 22, 1979

### CASH FLOW REPORT TECHNICAL REGISTRATION FUND JANUARY 31, 1979

Fund Balance as of July 1, 1978	\$ 94,747.68
Total Receipts, Year-to-Date	97,480.36
Total Expenditures, Year-to-Date	(62,942.04)
Outstanding Encumbrances	(8,232.93)
Fund Balance as of January 31, 1979	\$121,053.07
rund barance as or bandary 51, 1575	41213000.07

EXPENDITURES:	JANUARY	YEAR-TO-DATE		
Personal Services Employer Related Professional Services Travel - In-State Travel - Out-of-State	\$ 4,365.22 1,242.26 210.00 97.90 -0-	\$ 32,274.60 6,027.85 2,465.94 1,328.60 1,387.04		
Other Operating Expenses:    Data Processing    Maint. & Repairs    Refunds    Revolving Fund    Rent & Space    Office Supplies    Telephone    Copier Rental    Gen.Comp. Insurance    Postage    Printing    Subscriptions    Advertising    Freight    Equipment	359.13 -0- -0- -0- -0- 99.35 -0- -0- 1,037.01 -0- -0- -0- -0-	858.17 71.50 81.00 1,000.00 4,611.50 3,229.40 858.01 368.64 335.00 4,175.38 804.24 94.20 5.25 69.52 742.70		
Organizational Dues	1,735.00 \$9,145.87	2,035.00 \$62,942.04		

## State Board of Technical Registration

FOR

ARCHITECTS, ASSAYERS, ENGINEERS, GEOLOGISTS, LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS AND LAND SURVEYORS
1645 W. JEFFERSON, ROOM 315

PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007 (602) 255-4053

1979 SCHEDULE OF EXAMINATIONS

FOR

ARCHITECTS AND ARCHITECTS-IN-TRAINING

#### TWO LOCATIONS:

1. Tempe, Arizona

College of Architecture Building, South of University Drive on Forest Avenue, Arizona State University.

2. Tucson, Arizona

College of Architecture Building, Speedway and Olive Streets, The University of Arizona.

Tuesday,	Jur	ne i	12, 19	79	QUALIFYING	TEST
7:30	AM	to	9:30 /	MA	Section A:	Architectural History
9:30	AM	to	12:30	PM	Section B:	Structural Technology (Reference material is permitted)
1:00	PM	to	3:00	PM	Section C:	Materials & Methods of Construction
3:00	PM	to	5:00	PM	Section D:	Environmental Control Systems

Wednesday, June 13, 1979 PROFESSIONAL EXAMINATION

7:30 AM to 7:30 PM Section A: Design

## State Board of Technical Aegistration

ARCHITECTS, ASSAYERS, ENGINEERS. GEOLOGISTS, LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS AND LAND SURVEYORS 1645 W. JEFFERSON, ROOM SIB PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007 (602) 255-4053

1979

SCHEDULE OF EXAMINATIONS

FOR

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS AND LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS-IN-TRAINING

#### LOCATION:

Tempe, Arizona - College of Architecture Building, South of University Drive on Forest Avenue, Arizona State University

#### Tuesday, June 12, 1979

7:30	AM	-	8:30	AM	Subject	Α -	- Hist	cory	
8:30	AM	-	9:30	AM	Subject	С,	Part	I,	Design Theory
9:30	AM		11:30	AM	Subject	С,	Part	II,	Performance One
12:00	PM	-	2:00	PM	Subject	С,	Part	II,	Performance Two
2:00	PM	_	6:00	PM	Subject	С,	Part	II,	Performance Three

#### Wednesday, June 13, 1979

		-		-	Marine Co.				
7:30	AM	-	8:30	AM	Subject	В	- Prot	fessi	onal Practice
8:30	AM	40	11:30	AM	Subject	D,	Part	I,	Design Implementation (General)
12:00	PM	-	1:30	PM	Subject	D,	Part	II,	Design Implementation Performance One
1:30	PM	-	4:30	PM	Subject	D,	Part	II,	Design Implementation Performance Two
4:00	PM	-	5:00	PM	Subject	D,	Part	II,	Design Implementation Performance Three

# 1979 SCHEDULE OF MEETINGS of the Board and Its Committees

LOCATION	Unless otherwise indicated, all meetings will be held at the office of the Board, 1645 W. Jefferson, Suite 315, Phoenix.
March 15-17 March 20	WCARB Conference, Salt Lake City, UT Engineering Examination Committee (preview April exams)
April 11-12	Engineering Evaluations Landscape Architectural Evaluations
April 20	Architectural Evaluations
May 6-8	WESZONE, NCEE Meeting, Santa Fe, NM
May 11	Architectural Evaluations Landscape Architectural Evaluations
May 16-17	Engineering Evaluations
June 1	Regular Board Meeting
June 20-23	NCARB Annual Conference, Cambridge, MA
July 6	Architectural Evaluations
July 12-13	Landscape Architectural Evaluations Engineering Evaluations Engineering Exam Committee (certify April exam results)
July 20	Special Board Meeting (certify April exam results) Budget Committee (approve 1980-81 budget)
August 5-7	NCEE Annual Meeting, Norfolk, VA
August 16-17	Engineering Evaluations
August 24	Architectural Evaluations Landscape Architectural Evaluations Architectural Exam Committee (certify June exam results) Landsc.Architectural Exam Committee (certify June exam results)
September 6	Regular Board Meeting
September 7-8	CLARB Annual Meeting
October 11-12	Engineering Evaluations Engineering Exam Committee (preview November exams)
October 19	Architectural Evaluations Landscape Architectural Evaluations
November 9	Architectural Evaluations
November 15-16	Engineering Evaluations Landscape Architectural Evaluations
December 6	Regular Board Meeting