BEFORE THE ARIZONA STATE
BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

In the Matter of: Case No. AL14-003
JASON STOEPKER, FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS
Non-Registrant OF LAW AND ORDER
Respondent

On January 23, 2018, during a regularly scheduled Board meeting, the Arizona State
Board of Technical Registration ("Board") held an Administrative Hearing to hear evidence,
testimony and arguments regarding this case. Assistant Attorney General Scott Donald
represented the State. The Board received independent legal advice from Assistant Attorney
General Seth Hargraves. Jason Stoepker ("Respondent") did not appear and was not represented
by legal counsel. After hearing evidence and arguments from the State, the Board issues the
following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order:

Findings of Fact

1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the
practice of several professions, including that of Alarm Agent in the State of Arizona. A.R.S. §
32-101, et seq.

2. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-106.02, the Board has jurisdiction over both the subject
matter and Respondent in the instant case.

3. Respondent is not registered with the Board for any profession.

4. On or about June 2, 2014, Board staff received a complaint alleging Respondent
and another man, Rex Sorrenson ("Sorrenson") obtained the Complainant's signature on an
Authorized Dealer Sales and Monitoring Agreement for Arizona Security Agency, LLC
("Company") through providing false information. The complaint included a copy of a
Certificate of Completion Addendum to Authorized Dealer Sales and Monitoring Agreement signed by Respondent.

5. On or about June 9, 2014, an investigator for the Board contacted the Company to request information regarding the allegations. In the course of a telephone conversation, the investigator was able to confirm that Respondent was not employed by the Company, but had been an independent contractor.

6. On or about June 13, 2014, Board staff received a letter from the Company confirming the telephone conversation and providing a copy of tax form 1099-MISC for Respondent for tax year 2013.

7. On or about July 14, 2014, the Board investigator spoke with Respondent and the Respondent confirmed he practiced as an Alarm Agent without being registered with the Board.

8. As a result of the investigation, on October 28, 2014, the Board voted to offer Respondent a consent agreement with the matter to proceed to formal hearing should the consent not be signed within 30 days of offer. To date, Respondent has not accepted the offered consent agreement.

Conclusions of Law

9. The conduct and circumstances in the Findings of Fact above constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 32-106.02 for practicing, offering to practice or by implication purporting to be qualified to practice as an Alarm Agent without Board registration ("The board may initiate a hearing pursuant to title 41, chapter 6, article 10 on receipt of a complaint that a person who is not exempt from this chapter and is not registered or certified under this chapter is practicing, offering to practice or by implication purporting to be qualified to practice any board regulated profession or occupation.").

ORDER

Based on the Board’s adoption of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Board issues the following Order:

1. **COMPLIANCE:** Respondent shall comply with all laws and regulations.

2. **COST OF INVESTIGATION:** Within sixty (60) days from the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall pay cost of investigation to the Board in the amount of nine-hundred
forty dollars and seventy-four cents ($940.74) by certified check or money order, made payable to the Arizona State Board of Technical Registration, according to the provisions of A.R.S. § 32-128(H).

3. **CIVIL PENALTY:** Within sixty (60) days from the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall pay a civil penalty to the Board in the amount of two-thousand dollars ($2000.00) by certified check or money order, made payable to the Arizona State Board of Technical Registration, according to the provisions of A.R.S. § 32-128(H).

**Right to Petition for Rehearing or Review**

Respondent is hereby notified that he has the right to file a motion for rehearing or review. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.09(B) and A.A.C. R4-30-126(A) the motion for rehearing or review must be filed with the Board’s Executive Director within 30 days after service of this Order. Service of this Order is defined as five calendar days after mailing.

The motion for rehearing or review must set forth legally sufficient reasons for granting a rehearing or review. A.A.C. R4-30-126(C). If a petition for rehearing or review is not filed, the Board’s Order becomes effective 35 days after it is mailed to Respondent. Respondent is further advised that the filing of a motion for rehearing or review is required to preserve any rights of appeal to Superior Court.

DATED this 29 day of January, 2018.

Arizona State Board of Technical Registration

Alejandro Angel, Chairman
State of Arizona, Board of Technical Registration
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