BOARD OF TECHI	ARIZONA STATE	
	MINISTRATIVE HEARINGS	
In the Matter of:)) Case No. H118-024	
THOMAS CULPEPPER Home Inspector No. 39422, Respondent.))))))))))))))	
This matter came before the Arizona Board of Technical Registration ("Board") on		
September 24, 2019. Thomas Culpepper ("Respondent") was not present and was not		
represented by an attorney. Assistant Attorney General Deanie Reh appeared on behalf of the		
State. The Board received independent legal advice from Assistant Attorney General Marc		
Harris. After hearing evidence and arguments from the State and Respondent and Respondent		
Firm, the Board issues the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order:		
FINDINGS OF FACT		
1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice		
of home inspection, etc., and the firms under which registrants practice pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-		
101, et seq.		
2. Respondent, Thomas Culpepper holds active registration number 39422 to practice home		
inspection in Arizona.		
known address of record, and requested an ans	wer to it on January 31, 2018. In March and in	
	 Home Inspector No. 39422, Respondent. This matter came before the Arizona B September 24, 2019. Thomas Culpepper ("Re represented by an attorney. Assistant Attorney State. The Board received independent legal at Harris. After hearing evidence and arguments Firm, the Board issues the following Findings <i>FINDING</i> The Board is the duly constituted author of home inspection, etc., and the firms under w 101, et seq. Respondent, Thomas Culpepper holds at the seq. 	

1	April 2018, staff made two telephonic attempts to contact Respondent to discuss the complaint
2	and left messages on his voicemail. Staff also sent Respondent an email in April 2018.
3	Respondent failed to respond to these Board attempts to discuss this complaint.
4	6. On July 10, 2018, Board staff emailed Respondent with a request that he return the call to
5	discuss the complaint. He responded and indicated that he would call the Board the next day. On
6	July 11, 2018, Board staff called Respondent and left a message regarding the complaint.
7	Respondent failed to respond.
8	7. On August 30, 2018, the Board's Enforcement Advisory Committee ("EAC") convened
9	to review the complaint, the available evidence, including two investigative assessment reports
10	from the certified home inspectors against Respondent. The EAC found that Respondent did not
11	enter and inspect the home's attic. Furthermore, the EAC determined that Respondent failed to
12	conduct the home inspection in accordance with the Standards of Professional Practice for
13	Arizona Home Inspectors ("SOP") and found that his report was deficient in the following areas:
14	a. Respondent failed to include a signed agreement between the client or their
15	authorized agent as required in S.O.P. #22;
16	b. Respondent failed to report the methods used to observe the attic as required in
17	S.O.P. #4.2;
18	c. Respondent failed to report on the presence and condition of the water heater
19	automatic safety controls, as required in S.O.P. #7.1;
20	d. Respondent failed to report on air filters for system 1, as required in S.O.P. #10.1;
21	e. Respondent failed to identify the energy source for air conditioning as required in
22	S.O.P. #10.2;
23	f. Respondent failed to report on the presence and condition of attic ventilation as
24	required in S.O.P. #12.2;
25	g. Respondent failed to report on the type and condition of vapor retarder as required
26	in S.O.P. #12.2.
27	h. Respondent failed to report on the type and condition of vapor retarder as required
28	in S.O.P. #12.2.
	10/2/19 2

1	8. On September 11, 2018, Board investigative staff mailed Respondent a proposed Consent
2	Agreement and a cover letter requesting his response to his last known address of record, in an
3	attempt to resolve this complaint informally. Respondent failed to respond. On October 5, 2018,
4	staff checked ConnectSuite, the United States Postal Service mail-tracking website, to determine
5	whether Respondent received the mailed consent agreement. According to the tracking
6	information, service of the consent agreement was attempted, but no authorized recipient was
7	available to claim it. It was subsequently returned to the Board as 'unclaimed' in April 2019.
8	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
9	9. The conduct alleged above constitutes grounds for discipline pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-
10	128(C)(4) as it relates to A.A.C. R4-30-301.01, in that Respondent, Thomas Culpepper, failed to
11	conduct a home inspection in accordance with the Standards of Professional Practice for Arizona
12	Home Inspectors.
13	ORDER
14	Based on the Board's adoption of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the
15	Board issues the following Order:
16	1. ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY. Respondent shall pay a civil penalty in the
17	amount of one-thousand dollars (\$1,000) by certified check or money order made payable to the
18	State of Arizona Board of Technical Registration. Respondent.
19	2. COST OF INVESTIGATION –Respondent shall pay the costs of investigation in
20	the amount of five-hundred sixty-three dollars (\$563) by certified check or money order made
21	payable to the State of Arizona Board of Technical Registration.
22	3. COST OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND HEARING Respondent shall pay
23	attorney's fees in the amount of eighty-eight dollars and forty cents (\$88.40) by certified check
24	or money order made payable to the State of Arizona Board of Technical Registration.
25	4. RESTITUTION. Respondent shall pay restitution for the cost of the home
26	inspection of the property located at 14510 E. Yellow Sage Lane in the amount of four-hundred
27	twenty dollars (\$420).
28	5. LETTER OF REPRIMAND. Respondent is hereby issued a Letter of Reprimand.
	10/2/19 3

1 6. PEER REVIEW. Respondent shall accompany a supervising Certified Home 2 Inspector ("Peer Reviewer") for one Home Inspection, and perform an inspection at the same 3 time and location as the Peer Reviewer. Respondent shall prepare a Home Inspection Report, and 4 submit the written report to the Peer Reviewer for review. The Respondent may select his Peer Reviewer who shall be in good standing with the Board and shall not have received any S 6 disciplinary action from the Board as a Home Inspector for at least five (5) years and shall have 7 conducted at least two hundred fifty (250) Home Inspections in the State of Arizona. The 8 Respondent shall cause the Peer Reviewer to sign an 'Affidavit and Agreement to Conduct Peer 9 Review' with the Board affirming that the Peer Reviewer has met the Peer Review selection 10 criteria prior to conducting any Peer Reviews. At the conclusion of each peer reviewed home 11 inspection, Respondent will submit his work product, specifically a Home Inspection Report, to 12 the Peer Reviewer who will review and make all corrections to the Respondent's Home 13 Inspection Report necessary for the report to meet the Standards of Professional Practice for Arizona Home Inspectors. Respondent shall ensure that the Peer Reviewer provides a written 14 report to the Board after each peer reviewed Home Inspection, detailing any deficiencies in the 15 16 Respondent's practice, and certifying that the deficiencies have been explained and corrected, in 17 so far as the per reviewed Home Inspection is concerned. Respondent shall retain the Peer 18 Reviewers at his own expense.

19

7. REMEDIAL TRAINING. Respondent shall provide proof to the Board that he has successfully completed Board Staff approved home inspection professional education in the 20 21 following area:

22

a. Home Inspection Report Writing

23

STAY OF REVOCATION AND INDEFINITE SUSPENSION OF HOME 8.

INSPECTION CERTIFICATION. Respondent's certification as a Home Inspector, No. 39422 24 shall be revoked; however, the revocation is stayed and Respondent's certification as a Home 25 26 Inspector, No. 39422, shall be suspended indefinitely. During the stayed revocation and 27 indefinite suspension period, Respondent is prohibited from engaging in the practice of Home 28 Inspection. Upon proof that Respondent has complied with all terms and conditions of this

10/2/19

Order, Respondent may file a request with the Board to restore his certification as a home
 inspector to an active status. If Respondent fails to comply with any of the terms or conditions of
 this Order within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this Order, the stay of revocation shall
 be lifted and Respondent's certification as a home inspector, No. 39422, shall be revoked.

Right to Petition for Rehearing or Review

Respondent is notified that he has the right to file a motion for rehearing or review of this
Order. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.09(B) and A.A.C. R4-30-126(A), the motion for rehearing
or review must be filed with the Board's Executive Director within 30 days after service of this
Order. Service of this Order is defined as five calendar days after mailing.

The motion for rehearing or review must set forth legally sufficient reasons for granting a
rehearing or review. A.A.C. R4-30-126(C). If a petition for rehearing or review is not filed, the
Board's Order becomes effective thirty-five (35) days after it is mailed to Respondent and
Respondent Firm. Respondent and Respondent Firm are further advised that the filing of a
motion for rehearing or review is required to preserve any rights of appeal to Superior Court.

15 DATED this <u>3PD</u> day of <u>UCTOBER</u>, 2019. 16 TECHN 17 Arizona State Board of 80% **Technical Registration** 18 19 20 ason Foose, Chairman 21 22 ORIGINAL filed this 3 day of October, 2019, with: 23 24 Arizona State Board of Technical Registration 1110 W. Washington, Ste. 240

25 26

5

27 28

10/2/19

Phoenix, AZ 85007

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Thomas Culpepper 4400 W. Mountain Side Dr. Tucson, AZ 85754 thom@tlcproinspections.com COPY of the foregoing mailed this 3 day of <u>Contactor</u> , 2019, to: Deanie Reh Deani.reh@azag.gov
9	COPY of the foregoing mailed this <u>day of October</u> , 2019, to:
10	Marc Harris marc.harris@azag.gov
11	
12	By: Kurt Winter
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
22	
23	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	10/2/19 6