BEFORE THE ARIZONA STATE
BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

In the Matter of:

Jalw E. Murray
Controlling Person Registration No. 57078
And
Alliance Security, Inc.
Firm Registration No. 18520

Case No.: AL-15-002

CONSENT AGREEMENT
and
ORDER OF DISCIPLINE

Respondents

In the interest of a prompt and judicious resolution of the above-captioned matter before the Arizona State Board of Technical Registration ("Board") and consistent with the public interest, statutory requirements, and the responsibilities of the Board, and pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-101 et seq., the undersigned party, Jalw E. Murray ("Respondent"), holder of Controlling Person Registration No. 57078, and ("Respondent") Alliance Security, Inc. Registration No. 18520, and the Board enter into the following Recitals, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement") as a final disposition of this matter.

RECATALS

1. Respondents have read and understand this Consent Agreement and have had the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney, or have waived the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney.

2. Respondents understand that he has a right to a public administrative hearing concerning this case. He further acknowledges that at such formal hearing he could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondents knowingly, voluntarily, and irrevocably waives his right to such an administrative hearing, as well as rights or rehearing, review, reconsideration, appeal, judicial review or any other administrative and/or judicial action concerning the matters set forth herein.

///
3. Respondents affirmatively agree that this Consent Agreement shall be irrevocable.

4. Respondents understand that this Consent Agreement or any part of the agreement may be considered in any future disciplinary action by the Board against him.

5. The Consent Agreement, any record prepared in this matter, all investigative materials prepared or received by the Board and all related exhibits and materials, are public records upon acceptance by the Board of this Consent Agreement and may be retained in the Board's files pertaining to this matter.

6. Respondents understand this Consent Agreement deals with Board case number AL-15-002 involving allegations that the Respondents engaged in conduct that would subject them to discipline under the Board's statutes and rules. The investigation into these allegations against Respondents shall be concluded upon the Board's adoption of this Consent Agreement.

7. Respondents understand that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of any other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding.

8. Respondents also understand that acceptance of this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision, or officer of this State from instituting any other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement.

9. Respondents acknowledge and agree that, upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning this document to the Board's Executive Director, he may not revoke their acceptance of the Consent Agreement or make any modifications to the document regardless of whether the Consent Agreement has been signed on behalf of the Board. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually agreed by the parties in writing.
10. This Consent Agreement is subject to the approval of the Board and is
effective only when accepted by the Board and signed on behalf of the Board. If the
Board does not accept this Consent Agreement, the Board retains its authority to hold a
formal administrative hearing pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-128(15). In the event that the
Board does not approve this Consent Agreement, it is withdrawn and shall be of no
evidentiary value and shall not be relied upon nor introduced in any action by any party,
except that the parties agree that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and this
case proceeds to hearing, Respondents shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced
by its review and discussion of this document or any records relating thereto.

11. If a court of competent jurisdiction rules that any part of this Consent
Agreement is void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Consent Agreement
shall remain in full force and effect.

12. Respondents understand that this Consent Agreement is a public record that
may be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board.

13. Respondents understand that any violation of this Consent Agreement may
result in disciplinary action, including suspension or revocation of the registration under
A.R.S. § 32-150.

14. Respondents agree that the Board will adopt the following Findings of Fact,
Conclusions of Law and Order.

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of
the practice of Controlling Alarm Persons, and Alarm Businesses in the State of Arizona.

2. Respondents are Controlling Person Registration No. 57078, and firm
Alliance Security, Inc. Registration No. 18520.

3. On September 8, 2014, the Board received a complaint alleging that the
Respondents had participated in aiding or abetting two unregistered or uncertified
persons, Adam Conrad and Sunthiphab J. Boucha, AKA Joe Bough, by employing or
contracting them to conduct the business of selling and installing alarm services for the

Respondent’s business, Alliance Security, Inc. Based upon the complaint, the Board initiated an investigation.

4. On September 10, 2014, Board staff made contact with the Alleger, the 92-year-old homeowner of 3593 Cardinal Lane, Kingman Arizona, who stated two men identifying themselves as Adam Conrad and Sunthiphab J. Boupha, AKA Joe Bouph, came to her door in or around June, 2014, stating their company, Alliance Security, Inc., had recently bought out her current alarm company. They gained access to the house and then proceeded to change out the present alarm system with a new alarm system contracted by Alliance Security, Inc.

5. On September 10, 2014, Board staff made contact with the Respondent/Murray, who stated after further review of Alliance Security, Inc.’s records, he determined that the contract with the Alleger and Alliance Security, Inc. will be terminated due to the conduct of unlicensed alarm agents, and also agreed to make further restitution to the Alleger.

6. On October 1, 2014, Board staff executed a subpoena via certified mail, based upon the collected contractual evidence between the Respondents and the non-registered agents that was provided to the Alleger after installation was done. Board staff issued a subpoena requesting that the Respondents produce all documents and records related to the employment of and/or compensation of the non-registrants who provided alarm services to the Alleger. The subpoena mailed to Respondent specified a response deadline of October 17, 2014.

7. Respondent failed to respond to the Board’s subpoena by October 17, 2014, and on October 30, 2014, Board staff sent a letter to Respondents, following up on the subpoena.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Board has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-101, et seq.
2. The conduct alleged in the Findings of Fact, paragraphs 3 through 8, constitutes grounds for discipline under A.R.S. § 32-128(C)(3) in that the Respondents participated in aiding and abetting unregistered, uncertified persons by employing or contracting with non-certified alarm agents to conduct business of selling and installing alarm services for Alliance Security.
3. The conduct alleged in the Findings of Fact, paragraphs 3 through 8, constitutes grounds for discipline under A.R.S. § 32-128(C)(4) for violation of Board rule, namely, A.A.C. R4-30-301(14) in that the Respondents failed to comply with a Board subpoena.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Board issues the following Order:

1. LETTER OF REPRIMAND. Respondents are hereby issued a Letter of Reprimand.
2. STAYED SUSPENSION/PROBATION. Respondent's registration as Alarm Controlling Person Registration No. 57078, and Respondent's registration as Alarm Firm Alliance Security, Inc. Registration No. 18520, shall be suspended for six (6) months; however, the suspension is stayed for as long as Respondents remain in compliance with this order. During the stay of suspension, Respondent's registration as an Alarm Controlling Person and Alarm Firm are placed on probation for six (6) months. If Respondents are non-compliant with any terms of this order during the six (6) months stayed suspension/probation period, the stay of the suspension shall be lifted and Respondent's registration as an Alarm Controlling Person and Respondent's registration as an Alarm Firm shall be automatically suspended without a formal hearing, and remain suspended until Respondents is compliant with all terms of this order. If the Respondents complete all terms of this order prior to the six (6) months
stayed suspension/probation period, the Respondents may seek an early termination of
this order by requesting a formal review by the Board.

3. TERMS OF PROBATION. Respondents shall be placed on probation until
the following terms are satisfied:

A. ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY. Within sixty (60) days from the
effective date of this Consent Agreement, Respondents shall pay an administrative
penalty of Five Hundred Dollars ($500.00) by certified check or money order made
payable to the State of Arizona Board of Technical Registration.

B. COST OF INVESTIGATION. Within thirty (30) days from the
effective date of this Consent Agreement, Respondents shall pay the cost of investigation
of this case to the Board in the amount of Eight Hundred Fifty-Nine Dollars ($859.00) by
certified check or money order made payable to the State of Arizona Board of Technical
Registration, according to the provisions of A.R.S. § 32-128(H).

C. OBEY ALL LAWS. During the probationary period, Respondents
shall obey all federal, state and local laws, as well as, all rules governing the practice of
Controlling Alarm Person, and Alarm Firm in the State of Arizona. The Board shall
consider any violation of this paragraph to be a separate violation of the rules and statutes
governing the Arizona Board of Technical Registration.

D. RENEWAL OF REGISTRATION. During the probationary period,
Respondents shall timely renew their Arizona registration as a Controlling Alarm Person,
and Alarm Firm making timely payments on all required registration fees.

4. EFFECTIVE DATE. The effective date of this Consent Agreement is the
date the Respondents and Board sign the Consent Agreement. If the dates are different,
the effective date is the later of the two dates.

5. COSTS OF COMPLIANCE. Respondents shall pay all costs associated
with complying with this Consent Agreement.

///
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RECEIVED
MAY 18 2015
B.T.R.
ACCEPTED and ORDERED this __ day of MAY, 2015.

Edward T. Marley, Chairman
Arizona State Board of Technical Registration

Consent Agreement and Order, No. AL-15-002 accepted this __ day of MAY, 2015.

Jake E. Murray and
Firm Alliance Security, Inc.
Respondents

ORIGINAL filed this __ day of MAY, 2015, with:

Arizona State Board of Technical Registration
1110 W. Washington, Suite 240
Phoenix, AZ 85007

COPY of the foregoing mailed via Certified Mail
No. 2014-3490-002-1513-Aug3 and
First Class mail this __ day of MAY, 2015, to:

Jake E Murray
Alliance Security Inc.
51 Jackson Flat Rd.
Hope, RI 02831

By: ________________