BEFORE THE ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

In the Matter of:

Steven Helfrich Professional Engineer (Civil) Registration No. 38408

Respondent

Case No.: P23-105

CONSENT AGREEMENT and ORDER OF DISCIPLINE

In the interest of a prompt and judicious resolution of the above-captioned matter before the Arizona State Board of Technical Registration ("Board") and consistent with the public interest, statutory requirements, and the responsibilities of the Board, and pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-101 et seq., and A.A.C. R4-30-120(G), the undersigned party, Steven Helfrich ("Respondent"), holder of Registration No. 38408, and the Board enter into the following Recitals, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement") as a final disposition of this matter.

RECITALS

- 1. Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement and has had the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney, or has waived the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney.
- 2. Respondent understands that he has a right to a public administrative hearing concerning this case and acknowledges that at such formal hearing he could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondent knowingly, voluntarily, and irrevocably waives his right to such an administrative hearing, as well as rights of rehearing, review, reconsideration, appeal, judicial review or any other administrative and/or judicial action concerning the matters set forth herein.
- 3. Respondent affirmatively agrees that this Consent Agreement shall be irrevocable.

- 4. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement or any part of the agreement may be considered in any future disciplinary action by the Board against him.
- 5. Respondent understands this document, any record prepared in this matter, all investigative materials prepared or received by the Board, and all related exhibits and materials are public records upon acceptance by the Board of this Consent Agreement and may be retained in the Board's files pertaining to this matter.
- 6. Respondent understands this Consent Agreement deals with Board case number P23-105 involving allegations that Respondent engaged in conduct that would subject him to discipline under the Board's statutes and rules. The investigation into these allegations against Respondent shall be concluded upon the Board's adoption of this Consent Agreement.
- 7. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of any other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding.
- 8. Respondent understands that acceptance of this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision, or officer of this State from instituting any other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement.
- 9. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that, upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning this document to Board staff, he may not revoke his acceptance of the Consent Agreement or make any modifications to the document regardless of whether the Consent Agreement has been signed on behalf of the Board. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually agreed by the parties in writing.
- 10. Respondent understands this Consent Agreement is subject to the approval of the Board and is effective only when accepted by the Board and signed on behalf of the Board. If the Board does not accept this Consent Agreement, the Board retains its

10

8

13

16

21

22

19

27

authority to hold a formal administrative hearing pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-128(E). In the event that the Board does not approve this Consent Agreement, it shall be withdrawn and of no evidentiary value and shall not be relied upon nor introduced in any action by any party, except that the parties agree that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondent shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this document or any records relating thereto.

- 11. Respondent understands if a court of competent jurisdiction rules that any part of this Consent Agreement is void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Consent Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.
- 12. Respondent understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement may result in disciplinary action, including suspension or revocation of the registration under A.R.S. § 32-150.
- 13. Respondent agrees that the Board will adopt the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of Professional Engineering in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of Arizona Professional Engineer (Civil) Registration No. 38408, issued by the Board on November 12, 2002.
- At all times relevant to the allegations of this Consent Agreement, Arizona 3. Professional Engineer (Civil) Registration No. 38408 was active.
- 4. On or about March 11, 2020, Respondent entered into a contract with a general contractor ("Contractor") to prepare plans and calculations for the stabilization of a leaning residential retaining wall, via helical tieback anchors, for a residence in Gila County, Arizona.
- 5. On or about April 11, 2020, Respondent provided his complete sealed plans and calculations for the project to Contractor.
 - On or about May 27, 2020, Respondent verbally approved Contractor's 6.

request to install the helical tieback anchors at a more shallow depth and at a more horizontal angle than what was called for in Respondent's plans, which had been approved by Gila County. At this time, Respondent did not visit the site to verify the information provided by Contractor.

- 7. On or about June 29, 2020, Respondent prepared and sealed a special inspection report indicating that the helical tieback anchors were installed in accordance with the plans approved by Gila County. Respondent's special inspection report was based on information received by Contractor instead of an onsite visit, and failed to note that the helical tieback anchors were not installed at the depth and angle that was called for in the Gila County approved plans.
- 8. On or about March 24, 2023, Respondent and Contractor conducted an in person site visit at the Gila County residence in response to concerns voiced by the homeowner about the helical tieback installation possibly failing.
- 9. On or about April 10, 2023, Respondent prepared a site observation report documenting his findings during the March 24, 2023, in person site visit. In his report, Respondent indicated that:
 - A. The helical tieback anchors were not installed at the depth or angle that was called for in the Gila County approved plans.
 - B. He approved the alterations in the helical tieback anchor installation due to unexpected soil conditions.
 - C. He did not consider the frost depth of the area when preparing his plans and calculations.
- 10. On or about April 20, 2023, the Board received a complaint alleging that on or about June 29, 2020, Respondent prepared a special inspection report regarding the installation of 5 helical tiebacks anchors at a Gila County, Arizona, residence and concluded that the project was complete and had been installed in accordance with the plans and specifications; however, the site observation report documents signify changes were made in the field and approved by Respondent. It was also alleged that there is

deficiency in attention to detail and errors and omission found in the scope of work, calculation drawing, the engineered CAD drawing; the special inspection report and the site observation report suggest that a standard of care was not met.

- 11. On or about May 21, 2024, Respondent acknowledged that he:
 - A. Prepared the plans and calculations, as well as the special inspection report in question.
 - B. Did not visit the project site until March 24, 2023, more than two years after the project was completed.
 - C. Was unaware of the frost depth of the project area when preparing his plans and calculations.
 - D. Was unaware of the internal reinforcement, or lack thereof, of the affected retaining wall when preparing his plans and calculations.
 - E. Based his preparation of the plans and calculations solely on information provided by Contractor.
 - F. Approved Contractor's request to install the helical tieback anchors at a more shallow depth and at a more horizontal angle than what is called for in his plans, which had been approved by Gila County, based solely on information provided by Contractor without seeking approval from Gila County.
 - G. Prepared the special inspection report for the project based solely on information provided by Contractor, despite knowing that the helical tieback anchors were not installed in accordance with the plans that were approved by Gila County.
- 12. Respondent failed to do his due diligence, in that:
 - A. When preparing his initial design plans, Respondent:
 - I. Did not conduct an in person site visit.

///

- II. Based his design on minimal unverified information provided by Contractor.
- III. Failed to verify the presence of adequate internal reinforcement within the affected retaining wall.
- IV. Failed to verify the frost depth and consistency of the location soil.
- B. When verbally approving Contractor's request to install the helical tieback anchors at a more shallow depth and a more horizontal angle than what was called for in the Gila County approved plans, Respondent,
 - I. Did not conduct an in person site visit
 - II. Based his verbal approval on minimal unverified information provided by Contractor
 - III. Did not notify Gila County of the requested changes for approval.
- C. When preparing the special inspection report, Respondent:
 - I. Did not conduct an in person site visit
 - II. Based the report on minimal unverified information provided by Contractor.
 - III. Failed to note that the helical tieback anchors were installed at a more shallow depth and at a more horizontal angle than what was called for in the Gila County approved plans.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Board has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-101, et seq.
- 2. The conduct alleged in the Findings of Fact constitutes grounds for discipline pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-128(C)(4) as it relates to A.A.C. R4-30-301(6), in that Respondent failed to apply the appropriate technical knowledge and skill in the practice of a Board regulated profession.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Board issues the following Order:

- 1. LETTER OF REPRIMAND. Respondent is hereby issued a Letter of Reprimand.
- 2. ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY. Within Sixty (60) Days from the effective date of this Consent Agreement, Respondent shall pay an administrative penalty of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00) by certified check or money order made payable to the State of Arizona Board of Technical Registration.
- 3. COST OF INVESTIGATION. Within Sixty (60) Days from the effective date of this Consent Agreement, Respondent shall pay the cost of investigation of this case to the Board in the amount of Two Hundred Forty-Three Dollars (\$243.00) by certified check or money order made payable to the State of Arizona Board of Technical Registration, according to the provisions of A.R.S. § 32-128(H).
- 4. OBEY ALL LAWS. Respondent shall obey all federal, state, and local laws as well as all rules governing the practice of Professional Engineering in the State of Arizona. The Board shall consider any violation of this paragraph to be a separate violation of the rules and statues governing the Arizona Board of Technical Registration. The Board may also consider Respondent's non-compliance with this Order as a separate violation of A.R.S. § 32-150.
- 5. RENEWAL OF REGISTRATION. Respondent shall timely renew his Arizona registration as a Professional Engineer, and timely pay all required registration fees.
- 6. EFFECTIVE DATE. The effective date of this Consent Agreement is the date the Respondent and Board sign the Consent Agreement. If the dates are different, the effective date is the later of the two dates.
- 7. COSTS OF COMPLIANCE. Respondent shall pay all costs associated with complying with this Consent Agreement.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12 13
13
14
14 15
16
17
17 18
19
20
20 21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

8. NONCOMPLIANCE. If Respondent violates this Order in any way or fails to fulfill the requirements of this Order, the Board, after giving notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke, suspend or take other disciplinary actions against the registration. The issue at such a hearing will be limited solely to whether this Order has been violated.

ACCEPTED and ORDERED this 23rd day of July	, 2024
111/1/1/	1
Michael Kolejka, R.A., Chair	man
Arizona State Board of	1111111
Technical Registration	

Consent Agreement and Order, No. P23-105 accepted this / day of 2024.

Steven Helfrich, Respondent

1	ORIGINAL filed this 24th day of
2	July , 2024, with:
3	Arizona State Board of Technical Registration
4	1110 W. Washington, Suite 240
5	Phoenix, AZ 85007
6	COPY of the foregoing mailed via Certified Mail No. 9214 8901 9434 4600 0979 54 and
7	First Class mail this <u>24th</u> day of <u>July</u> , 2024, to:
8	
9	Steven Helfrich
10	30640 Kristin Court
11	Redlands, CA 92373
12	
13	
14	By: Daniel Carthel
15	
16	
17	
18	·
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	