BEFORE THE ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

In the Matter of:	Case No.: P22-083
Franklin Bingham P.E. (Structural) Registration No. 20986	CONSENT AGREEMENT and ORDER OF DISCIPLINE
Respondent	

In the interest of a prompt and judicious resolution of the above-captioned matter before the Arizona State Board of Technical Registration ("Board") and consistent with the public interest, statutory requirements, and the responsibilities of the Board, and pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-101 et seq., and A.A.C. R4-30-120(G), the undersigned party, Franklin Bingham ("Respondent"), holder of Registration No. 20986, and the Board enter into the following Recitals, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent

RECITALS

Agreement") as a final disposition of this matter.

- 1. Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement and has had the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney, or has waived the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney.
- 2. Respondent understands that he has a right to a public administrative hearing concerning this case. He further acknowledges that at such formal hearing he could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondent knowingly, voluntarily, and irrevocably waives his right to such an administrative hearing, as well as rights of rehearing, review, reconsideration, appeal, judicial review or any other administrative and/or judicial action concerning the matters set forth herein.
- 3. Respondent affirmatively agrees that this Consent Agreement shall be irrevocable.

- 4. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement or any part of the agreement may be considered in any future disciplinary action by the Board against him.
- 5. The Consent Agreement, any record prepared in this matter, all investigative materials prepared or received by the Board and all related exhibits and materials, are public records upon acceptance by the Board of this Consent Agreement and may be retained in the Board's files pertaining to this matter.
- 6. Respondent understands this Consent Agreement deals with Board case number P22-083 involving allegations that Respondent engaged in conduct that would subject him to discipline under the Board's statutes and rules. The investigation into these allegations against Respondent shall be concluded upon the Board's adoption of this Consent Agreement.
- 7. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of any other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding.
- 8. Respondent also understands that acceptance of this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision, or officer of this State from instituting any other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement.
- 9. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that, upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning this document to the Board's Executive Director, he may not revoke his acceptance of the Consent Agreement or make any modifications to the document regardless of whether the Consent Agreement has been signed on behalf of the Board. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually agreed by the parties in writing.
- 10. This Consent Agreement is subject to the approval of the Board and is effective only when accepted by the Board and signed on behalf of the Board. If the Board does not accept this Consent Agreement, the Board retains its authority to hold a

formal administrative hearing pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-128(E). In the event that the Board does not approve this Consent Agreement, it is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value and shall not be relied upon nor introduced in any action by any party, except that the parties agree that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondent shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this document or any records relating thereto.

- 11. If a court of competent jurisdiction rules that any part of this Consent Agreement is void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Consent Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.
- 12. Respondent understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement may result in disciplinary action, including suspension or revocation of the registration under A.R.S. § 32-150.
- 13. Respondent agrees that the Board will adopt the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of Professional Engineering in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of Arizona Professional Engineer (Structural) Registration No. 20986.
- 3. At the time of the project in White Mountain Lake, Arizona, Respondent was the Principal of Bingham Engineering Consultants, the Engineering firm that provided the completed structural fire damage report for the firm's clients.
- 3. On or about June 24, 2020, a structural fire damage report was completed for a cabin in White Mountain Lake, Arizona bearing the seal and signature of Respondent.
- 4. On or about June 16, 2022, a complaint was received alleging that the structural fire damage report lacked an accurate assessment and conclusion as to the structural damage that existed within the cabin. It was also alleged that Respondent failed to adequately supervise a non-registrant employee during the project and allowed the

employee to make professional judgements and render professional opinions that he was not qualified to offer.

- 5. The structural fire damage report was completed by a non-registrant employee ("Employee") of Respondent and Bingham Engineering Consultants without Respondent's review and/or direct approval.
- 6. Employee was directed to complete the structural fire damage report, which was staffed by Employee's direct supervisor and finalized with Respondent's seal and signature per the firm's process.
- 7. On or about March 24, 2023, Respondent acknowledged that he was not aware of the project and also acknowledged there may be additional projects, which were completed by employees of the firm and followed the same firm process, including using Respondent's electronic seal and signature.
- 8. On or about May 16, 2023, an Enforcement Advisory Committee ("EAC") meeting was convened to review the allegations. During the EAC meeting, Respondent acknowledged the following:
- a. Respondent allowed his employees routine access and use of his electronic seal and signature without his direct review of the project material.
- b. Respondent accepted responsibility for the structural fire damage report bearing his seal and signature.
- c. Respondent accepted responsibility for the level of technical knowledge within the report as the report contained his seal.
 - 9. During the EAC Meeting, the Committee found the following:
- a. Respondent did not provide adequate security over his seal and signature as he had an electronic copy available for use by other employees and non-registrants to use without his explicit direction and prior review of the documents.
- b. Respondent did not exercise or apply the appropriate technical knowledge and skill in the structural fire damage report containing his seal and signature, as evident in the assessment, which lacked calculations showing the existing members

were undersized and the report lacked any substantiation for the recommendations.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Board has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-101, et seq.
- 2. The conduct alleged in the Findings of Fact constitutes grounds for discipline pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-128(C)(4) as it relates to A.A.C. R4-30-301(6), in that Respondent may have failed to apply the appropriate technical knowledge and skill in the practice of a Board-regulated profession.
- 3. The conduct alleged in the Findings of Fact constitutes grounds for discipline pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-128(C)(4) as it relates to A.A.C. R4-30-304(G), in that Respondent may have failed to provide adequate security regarding the use of seal and signature.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Board issues the following Order:

- LETTER OF REPRIMAND. Respondent is hereby issued a Letter of Reprimand.
- 2. STAYED SUSPENSION AND PROBATION. Respondent's registration as a Professional Engineer (Structural), No. 20986, shall be suspended for 90 days; however, the suspension is stayed for as long as Respondent remains in compliance with this Order. During the stay of suspension, Respondent's registration as a Professional Engineer is placed on probation for 90 days. If Respondent is non-compliant with any terms of this Order during the 90 day stayed suspension and probation period, the stay of the suspension shall be lifted and Respondent's registration as a Professional Engineer shall be automatically suspended without a formal hearing, and remain suspended until Respondent is compliant with all terms of this Order.
- 3. ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY. Within ninety (90) days from the effective date of this Consent Agreement, Respondent shall pay an administrative penalty of One Thousand and Five Hundred Dollars (\$1,500.00) by certified check or money

///

///

///

28 ||///

order made payable to the State of Arizona Board of Technical Registration.

- 4. COST OF INVESTIGATION. Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of this Consent Agreement, Respondent shall pay the cost of investigation of this case to the Board in the amount of Eight Hundred and Fifty Seven Dollars (\$857.00) by certified check or money order made payable to the State of Arizona Board of Technical Registration, according to the provisions of A.R.S. § 32-128(H).
- 5. OBEY ALL LAWS. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, as well as, all rules governing the practice of Engineering in the State of Arizona. The Board shall consider any violation of this paragraph to be a separate violation of the rules and statues governing the Arizona Board of Technical Registration. The Board may also consider Respondent's non-compliance with this Order as a separate violation of A.R.S. § 32-150.
- 6. RENEWAL OF REGISTRATION. Respondent shall timely renew his Arizona registration as an Engineer and timely pay all required registration fees.
- 7. EFFECTIVE DATE. The effective date of this Consent Agreement is the date the Respondent and Board sign the Consent Agreement. If the dates are different, the effective date is the later of the two dates.
- 8. COSTS OF COMPLIANCE. Respondent shall pay all costs associated with complying with this Consent Agreement.
- 9. NONCOMPLIANCE. If Respondent violates this Order in any way or fails to fulfill the requirements of this Order, the Board, after giving notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke, suspend or take other disciplinary actions against the registration. The issue at such a hearing will be limited solely to whether this Order has been violated.

	1	
1	ACCEPTED and ORDERED this 27 day of 24	_, 2023.
2	1 110	
3	The state of the s	
4	Jack Gilmore, L.A., Chairman Arizona State Board of	
5	Teonnical Registration	
6		
7	Consent Agreement and Order, No. P22-083 accepted this Z day of	
8	JUNE, 2023.	
9	Franklin Bingham, Respondent	
10		
11	ORIGINAL filed this 27th day of	
12	June, 2023, with:	
13	Arizona State Board of Technical Registration	
14	1110 W. Washington, Suite 240 Phoenix, AZ 85007	
15	CODY of the foresting mailed via Contified Mail	
16	COPY of the foregoing mailed via Certified Mail No. 9214 890/ 9434 4600 0928 36 and	
17	First Class mail this 27^{+h} day of $JVhe$, 2023, to:	
18		
19	Franklin Bingham	
20	13416 N. 32 nd Street, Suite 100 Phoenix, AZ 85032	
21		
22		
23	By: Kautyn Crawfood	
24	By. / contract of the same of	
25		
26		
27		
28		